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## MESSAGE

Population ageing is a global phenomenon. India is also experiencing growth in the number and proportion of older persons in their population. The increasing share of older persons in the population-is poised to become one of the most significant social transformations of the twentyfirst century, with implications for nearly all sectors of society, including labour and financial markets, the demand for goods and services, such as housing, transportation and social protection, as well as family structures and inter-generational ties. Preparing for the economic and social shifts associated with an ageing population is thus essential to fulfill the pledge of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development - "No One To Be Left Behind".

The 2002 Madrid International Plan of Action on Ageing (MIPAA), adopted during the Second World Assembly on Ageing, highlighted the need to consider older persons in development planning, emphasizing that older persons should be able to participate in and benefit equitably from the fruits of development to advance their health and well-being, and that societies should provide enabling environments for them to do so. As populations become increasingly aged, it is more important than ever that governments design innovative policies and public services specifically targeted to older persons, including those addressing, inter alia, housing, employment, health care, infrastructure and social protection.

In order to meet the disaggregated data needs of policy makers\& planners and other stakeholders, National Statistical Office, Ministry of Statistics \& Programme Implementation (MoSPI), Government of India has been bringing out a publication entitled "Elderly in India" since 2000 and the current issue is the fifth in the series. Efforts have been made to improve the coverage and presentation of the publication by incorporating latest available information on different aspects of the subject.

I hope the publication would prove to be a valuable data source to planners, policy makers, research workers and academicians in their endeavor for promoting sustainable development.
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## FOREWORD

The world including India continues to experience a sustained change in the age structure of the population, driven by increasing life expectancy and decreasing levels of fertility. People are living longer lives, and both the share and the number of older people in the total population are increasing rapidly. The phenomenon of population ageing is becoming a major concern for the policy makers all over the world, for both developed and developing countries due to low birth rates coupled with long life expectancies.

For a country like India, the changing demographic profile has thrown many new challenges in the social, economic and political domains. The rapid socio- economic
transformations like declines in fertility, changes in patterns of marriage, cohabitation and divorce, increased levels of education among younger generations, and continued rural-to-urban migration of the population are reshaping the context in which older persons live, including the size and composition of their households and their living arrangements and also confronting the problems of financial and physical support. There is an emerging need to pay greater attention to ageing- related issues and to promote holistic policies and programmes for dealing with ageing society. The statistical tables on indicators presented in the publication provide footprints of progress of the country in achieving the goals sets in 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development "no one will be left behind".

The current issue is fifth in the series of the publication "Elderly in India" brought out by the National Statistical Office (NSO), Ministry of Statistics \& Programme Implementation, Government of India. Coverage of the publication has been enhanced by incorporating latest available information published by the different Ministries/Departments/Organizations. This publication will prove to be useful to the planners and policy makers, as well as to the research workers, and will help in enhancing their understanding of the problems of the elderly in the country.

The publication has been prepared in the Social Statistics Division of the National Statistical Office by the team led by Sh. Rakesh Kumar Maurya, DDG under the overall supervision and guidance of Sh. Awadhesh Kumar Mishra, Additional Director General. I wish to congratulate and place on record my sincere appreciation to the entire team who contributed in bringing out this publication.

I hope that the publication would be useful to all Ministries/Departments and Researchers/Organizations. Suggestions for further improvement in the publication will be appreciated.

(Dr. Shailja Sharma)
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## PREFACE

The Population ageing is a phenomenon that is ubiquitous and has implications for developmental paradigm of nations. The phenomenon is not only changing the shape of population pyramid but also bringing about new needs, demands for new and increased resources and new opportunities as well.

As per UN Report on World Population Ageing 2017, by 2030 the older persons 60 years or more are expected to outnumber the children under age 10 and that two-third of older persons would live in developing region. The phenomenon of population ageing is being experienced in India as anywhere else and focused planning for changing population structure is imperative.

Aged persons have not only different emotional, physical and resource needs, they also are a resource to be efficiently tapped. All this require relevant and timely data, disaggregated on different dimensions like age, sex, location, time usage etc. Though different Ministries/ Organizations are producing age-wise distribution of data on different aspects of the population, an effort was made in year 2000 by Social Statistics Division, National Statistical Office (NSO) to present all the relevant data on aged persons at one place. The present publication is the fifth in series.

I hope that this publication will provide the insights about the status of elderly persons to the planners and policy makers, as well as to the research workers for more comprehensive appreciation of problems of the elderly in the country. This publication may also prove to be useful to the elderly people as it provides useful information about various policies and programmés designed for welfare of elderly people, which they can use to their advantage.

I am thankful to different Department/ Ministries for providing age-segregated statistics related to their area of work. I also wish to place on record my appreciation to the team of officers of Social Statistics Division comprising of Shri Rakesh Kumar Maurya, Deputy Director General; Dr. Ziaul Haque, Joint Director; Ms. Deepika Verma, Deputy Director; Ms. Neha Singh, Deputy Director; Ms. Kulpreet Sokhi, Senior Statistical Officer, Shri Jai Prakash Kushwaha, Senior Statistical Officer, Shri Ravi Kumar, Junior Statistical Officer and Ms. Dipika Gupta, Junior Statistical Officer for their sincere efforts in bringing out this report.

Suggestions for improvement are welcome.
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( Awāhesh Kumar Mishra )
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

## INTRODUCTION

1.1 Every dimension of human existence requires meticulous planning. Human resources need it all or more. Knowing the age, sex structure and its changes over the period of time, assumes importance for keeping in place a robust mechanism for a dynamic population development.
1.2 Population ageing is a global phenomenon. With the passage of time, every country in the world is experiencing growth in the size and proportion of older persons in their population. Population ageing is an inevitable demographic reality that is associated with improvements in health and medical care system. With longevity and declining fertility rates, the population of older persons ( 60 years and above) is growing faster than the general population globally. This phenomenon is known as population ageing. When population age increases rapidly, this has implications on the socioeconomic and health status of the elderly.
1.3 The United Nations adopted the $1^{\text {st }}$ International Plan of Action on Ageing in Vienna in 1982, and it took until 1991 for the General Assembly to adopt the UN Principles for Older Persons (Resolution 46/91) and its 4 main themes - independence, participation, care, self-fulfillment and dignity. The Committee on Economic, Social and Culture Rights adopted the general comment on the Economic and Social, and Cultural Rights of Older Persons. In 1999, with the International Year of Older Persons, came the Conceptual Framework based on the Plan and Principles with 4 priority areas (i) the situation of older persons, (ii) individual life long development, (iii) the relationship between generations, (iv) the inter-relationship of population, aging and development. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ World Assembly on Ageing (WAA) had adopted unanimously a Political Declaration and an International Strategic Plan of Action on Ageing in Madrid in 2002. The 2004 report of the Secretary-General to the General Assembly recommends "assigning full-time focal points on ageing and providing them with adequate resources to further implementation". The International day of older persons is celebrated every year on $1^{\text {st }}$ October.
1.4 Declining fertility and increasing longevity lead to rising numbers of older persons as well as a continuously growing share of older persons in the population. Population ageing has lasting impacts on sustainable development. Sustainable Development Goal 3 gives attention to well-being for all at all ages and thus addresses ageing too. India is committed to SDGs and has been progressively streamlining global indicators into national development indicators.
1.5 Population ageing is one of the mega trends that is affecting sustainable development. It has significant impact on economies, societies and the environment. Besides sustained fertility decline and increased life expectancy, Population ageing is further positively influenced by reduced infant, child and maternal mortality, reduction in communicable diseases and better control of non-communicable diseases. People now live longer than before. Population ageing, thus, requires adequate policies and government action for countries to maintain sustainable economic growth, eradicate poverty and address inequalities. Though ageing is the natural stage of human life, it brings with it innumerable problems for the people who have grown old. The major problems of the aged people, as found in the various studies, are given below:

- Economic Problems: These are very basic to all the other problems faced by the aged. With increasing age, persons increasingly have to move out labour force leading to loss of employment and income. This also entails reduction in their self-esteem and well-being. Lack of adequate financial resources make it more difficult to handle old age related issues and requirements.
- Physiological Problems: With growing age, older persons experience various anatomical and physiological changes. These changes bring many psychological, behavioral and attitudinal changes in them. Loss of physical strength and stamina become more acute as a person grow older and require systematic handling.
- Housing related Problems: Housing for the aged need to be suitable to the conditions of failing health and illness, commonly associated with later years of life viz. failing eye sight of hearing, slowing and un-sureness of steps, diminishing energy and more acute disabilities, such as blindness, forgetfulness etc.
- Crime against Aged persons: Aged persons are most vulnerable to be victim of any crime, since they are soft targets. The cases of crime against the aged persons are rising. Aged persons are facing many problems such as murder, theft, hurt, cheating and bag snatching by the both known and unknown persons.
1.6 The problem associated with ageing requires availability for relevant data on the structure of population, various problems of aged and facilities available to them etc. The analysis of Population Ageing use Old Age Dependency Ratio as a proxy for the economic dependency of the older population. Population ageing has many societal and policy implications. The demographic shift threatens to lower labour force participation and savings rates, increase health expenditures, and strain pension and health schemes. The rising prevalence of non-communicable diseases disproportionately burdens the
elderly. Technological and institutional innovations that may mitigate the effects of population ageing are much needed to address the issues.
1.7 In order to mitigate the ill effects of ageing population, appropriate social and economic policies need to be made. For society to adapt to ageing as well as for older population to adapt to a changing society, social policies for the elderly needs to be critically examined and suitable redesigning are required. New priorities must be added to the scarce resources for social programs for elderly, while still having to deal with the problems of the younger populations. Women issues also are of paramount importance in considering social policies for elderly population. Due to better life expectancy women live longer than men. Exacerbated risks for women across their life course make them more vulnerable in old age. Appropriate care and support for them is a priority area.
1.8 This publication, $5^{\text {th }}$ in series, has been prepared with the objective of providing data help to policy and programme making for elderly population. Attempts have been made to cull out data on different aspects of elderly population from different sources and put them together at one place. Chapter-2 gives the details of the policies and programmes of Government of India for the welfare of elderly persons while Chapter-3, Chapter-4 and Chapter-5 provides the data on elderly population and certain vital statistics, economic status of elderly persons and health and social status as available from different data sources. Concepts and definitions for the terms used in the publication have also been included as Appendix.



## Chapter 2

Schemes and Programmes for the Welfare of Elderly Persons

## Schemes and Programmes of Government of India for the Welfare of Elderly Persons

## Administrative Setup

2.1 The Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment is the nodal Ministry for the welfare of senior citizens. The Ageing Division in the Social Defence Bureau of the Department of Social Justice and Empowerment develops and implements programmes and policies for the senior citizens in close collaboration with State Governments, Non-Governmental Organisations and Civil Society. The programmes for senior citizens aim at their welfare and maintenance, especially for indigent senior citizens, by supporting old age homes, mobile medicare units, etc. These programmes are implemented through providing support for capacity building of Government /Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs)/Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) /Local Bodies and the Community at large.

## National Policy on Older Persons (NPOP), 1999

2.2 The existing National Policy on Older Persons (NPOP) was announced in January 1999 to reaffirm the commitment to ensure the well-being of the older persons. The Policy envisaged State support to ensure financial and food security, health care, shelter and other needs of older persons, equitable share in development, protection against abuse and exploitation, and availability of services to improve the quality of their lives. The primary objectives were to:

- encourage individuals to make provision for their old age;
- encourage families to take care of their older family members;
- enable and support voluntary and nongovernmental organizations to supplement the care provided by the family;
- provide care and protection to the vulnerable elderly people;
- provide adequate healthcare facility to the elderly;
- promote research and training facilities to train geriatric care givers and organizers of services for the elderly; and
- create awareness regarding elderly persons to help them lead productive and independent life.
2.3 Keeping in view the changing demographic pattern, socio-economic needs of the senior citizens, social value system and advancement in the field of science and technology over the last decade, a new National Policy for Senior Citizens is under finalization.


## Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007

### 2.4 The Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens (MWPSC) Act

 was enacted in December 2007 to ensure need based maintenance for parents and senior citizens and their welfare. The Act provides for: -- Maintenance of parents/ senior citizens by children/ relatives made obligatory and justiciable through Tribunals;
- Revocation of transfer of property by senior citizens in case of neglect by relatives;
- Penal provision for abandonment of senior citizens;
- Establishment of Old Age Homes for Indigent Senior Citizens; and
- Adequate medical facilities and security for Senior Citizens
2.5 The Act has been notified and brought into force by all State Governments/UT Administrations. Consequential steps like notification of Rules (except Arunachal Pradesh and Nagaland), Maintenance Tribunals, Appellate Tribunals and Maintenance Officers have also been carried out by the States/UTs. The Act is not applicable to the State of Jammu \& Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh has its own Act for Senior Citizens.
2.6 The Act has been under implementation for more than a decade now. Based on the experience of implementation of the Act including the feedback received from the stakeholders, it has been found expedient to suitably amend the provisions of the Act in order to make it more contemporary and effective. The Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens (Amendment) Bill, 2019 has been introduced in the Lok Sabha on 11.12.2019. Major amendments introduced in the Bill are given below:
- Definition of "children" expanded to include son-in-law, daughter-in-law, biological/ adoptive/step son and daughter, minors (through legal guardian).
- Definition of "parent" expanded to include father-in-law, mother-in-law and grandparents, whether or not a senior citizen.
- Definition of 'senior citizen' expanded to include uniform adoption of age criteria for all benefits extended by the Central/State Govt. /Semi-Govt. /Pvt. to senior citizens. (This shall not adversely affect the already existing benefits being extended by these agencies to those below the age of 60 years).
- Definition of 'maintenance' and 'welfare' expanded to include housing, safety and security to enable parents and senior citizens to lead a life of dignity.
- Removal of ceiling of Rs. 10,000 as maximum maintenance amount to be awarded to senior citizens/parents (quantum of maintenance may be decided on the basis of earning and standard of living of senior citizens/parents and children/relative)
- Provision of Appeal extended to children/ relatives also, provided that on appeal, the children or relative who is required to pay maintenance amount, as per orders of the Maintenance Tribunal, continue to pay to such parent or senior citizen.
- Role of Maintenance Officer expanded (to ensure compliance of the order of the Tribunal; to act as point of contact for the senior citizens/parents)
- Provision for registration of Senior Citizens Care Home (erstwhile Old Age Home), Multi-Service Day Care Homes and agencies providing Home Care Services to senior citizens.
- Central Government to prescribe Minimum Standards required for the establishment, running and maintenance of Senior Citizens Care Homes.
- Every Police Station shall have a 'Nodal Officer for Senior Citizens' to deal with issues related to parents and senior citizens.


## National Action Plan for the Welfare of Senior Citizens (NAPSrC)

2.7 The Plan lays down in one document, the vision, mission and the plan of action of the Government of India for welfare and wellbeing of senior citizens of the country. It brings together articulation of each of the current schemes, future plans, strategies and targets and maps it with schemes/programmes, accountabilities, financials and clear outcomes. This Plan takes care of the top four needs of the senior citizens viz., financial security, food, health care and human interaction /life of dignity. It also includes the facets of safety/protection and general wellbeing of the elderly beginning from awareness generation and sensitization of the society.
2.8 The National Action Plan for the Welfare of Senior Citizens (NAPSrC) is an umbrella scheme, effective since 1st April 2020, has four sub-schemes under it, namely:
2.8.1 Scheme of Integrated Programme for Senior Citizens (IPSrC):Under the Scheme, assistance upto $100 \%$ of the project cost is given to the State Governments/UT Administrations through Registered Societies/ Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs)/Local Bodies Agencies, Non-Governmental/Voluntary Organizations, Institutions or Organizations set up by Government as autonomous /subordinate bodies and Government recognized Educational Institutions, Charitable Hospitals/Nursing Homes \& recognized youth organizations such as Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan subject to the terms and conditions laid down by this Ministry for running and maintenance the following projects: -
(i) Senior Citizens' Homes for 25 destitute Senior Citizens to provide food, care and shelter.
(ii) Senior Citizens' Homes for 50 Elderly Women including those under Sansad Adarsh Gram Yojana (SAGY) to provide food, care and shelter.
(iii) Continuous Care Homes and Homes for senior citizens afflicted with Alzheimer's disease/ Dementia for a minimum of 20 Senior Citizens who are seriously ill requiring continuous nursing care and respite or those who are afflicted with Alzheimer's disease/ Dementia.
(iv) Mobile Medicare Units to provide medical care to the Senior Citizens living in rural, isolated and backward areas.
(v) Physiotherapy Clinics for Senior Citizens for a minimum of 50 Senior Citizens per month.
(vi) Regional Resource and Training Centres (RRTCs) monitors and provides technical support, advocacy, networking, training and capacity building for effective delivery of service by the Centres for Senior Citizens, funded by the Ministry.
(vii) Other activities considered suitable to meet the objectives of the scheme, including implementation of the provisions of National Policy for Senior Citizens (NPSrC)
2.8.2 State Action Plan for Senior Citizens (SAPSrC): The Government of India perceives a major and critical role of all State Governments in partnering and implementing the Action Plan for welfare of senior citizens. Appreciating the critical and significant role of States/UTs, each State/UT is expected to plan and strategize taking into account their local considerations and frame their own State Action Plans for the welfare of their senior citizens. This State Action Plan may comprise a longterm strategy for five years as well as Annual Action Plans. Department of Social Justice and Empowerment shall release funds to the States/UTs for formulation and implementation of their State Action Plans. Under the SAPSrC, the States are expected to put in their own funds to augment the resources available for the purpose. While the states are free to include their own strategies and programmes as a part of their State Action Plans, the design of the SAPSrC for which funding will be admissible under this sub scheme from 2020-21 onwards has been finalised which should be implemented on priority by all the States/UTs out of the funds released under NAPSrC.

### 2.8.3 Convergence with Initiatives of other Ministries/Departments in

 Government of India in the field of Senior Citizens Welfare (CWMSrC): The NAPSrC has identified initiatives to be taken by different Departments for the benefit of senior citizens. These initiatives would be collated, department-wise andcommunicated to them for proposing Annual Action Plans in collaboration with this department.

### 2.8.4 Media, advocacy, capacity building, research and study, pilots and any other project aimed towards the welfare of the senior citizens and falling under the scope and coverage of the NAPSrC through NISD (NISDSrC): National Institute of Social Defence (NISD), an autonomous body of this Department, is expected to be the resource centre on senior citizens in the country. It will be equipped to implement directly any project in furtherance of the objectives of the NAPSrC.

## National Awards for Senior Citizens-Vayoshreshtha Samman

2.9 In order to recognize the efforts made by eminent senior citizens and Institutions involved in rendering distinguished services for the cause of elderly persons, especially indigent senior citizens, the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment (Department of Social Justice and Empowerment) has a Scheme of National Awards for Senior Citizens to showcase the Government's concern for senior citizens and its commitment towards senior citizens with the aim of strengthening their legitimate place in the society. The Scheme of National Awards for senior citizens was notified in the Gazette of India on 22.01.2013. The awards named 'Vayoshreshtha Samman' are conferred on the $1^{\text {st }}$ October every year on the occasion of International Day of Older Persons (IDOP).
2.10 Vayoshreshtha Sammans - National Award for Senior Citizens are conferred to eminent and outstanding institutions or organizations and individuals from different categories:

## Institutional Category

i. Best Institution for Research in the field of ageing (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Five Lakh Rupees).
ii. Best Institution for providing Services to Senior Citizens and Awareness Generation (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Five Lakh Rupees).
iii. Best District Panchayat in providing Services and Facilities to Senior Citizens. (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Ten Lakh Rupees).
iv. Best Urban Local Body in providing Services and Facilities to Senior Citizens. (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Ten Lakh Rupees).
v. Best State in Implementing the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 and providing Services and Facilities to Senior Citizens (Citation and Memento).
vi. Best Private Sector Organisation in promoting the wellbeing and welfare of Senior Citizens (Citation and Memento).
vii. Best Public Sector Organisation in promoting the wellbeing and welfare of Senior Citizens (Citation and Memento).

## Individual Category

i. Centenarian (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Two Lakh and Fifty Thousand Rupees).
ii. Iconic Mother (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Two Lakh and Fifty Thousand Rupees).
iii. Lifetime Achievement (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Two Lakh and Fifty Thousand Rupees).
iv. Creative Art (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Two Lakh and Fifty Thousand Rupees).
v. Sports and Adventure (one each for male and female): (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Two Lakh and Fifty Thousand Rupees).
vi. Courage and Bravery (one each for male and female): (Citation, Memento and Cash award of Two Lakh and Fifty Thousand Rupees).

## Walkathon

2.11 Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, being the nodal Ministry for the welfare of senior citizens, observes the International Day of Older Persons (IDOP) on $1^{\text {st }}$ October every year by organizing morning Walkathon in collaboration with Anugraha Regional Resource and Training Centre on Ageing, New Delhi. The event is participated by members of Senior Citizens Associations, Resident Welfare Associations, Walkers' Clubs, inmates of Old Age Homes, young generation from school \& college students, NCC and Bharat Scouts \& Guides.

## Rashtriya Vayoshri Yojana (RVY): Scheme for providing Physical Aids and Assisted-Living Devices for Senior Citizens

2.12 As per the announcement made in the Budget Speech 2015-16, the Scheme of Rashtriya Vayoshri Yojana (RVY) has been formulated and launched on $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2017 by Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment. Under the scheme, aids and assistive living devices are provided free of cost to senior citizens, belonging to BPL category, who suffer from age related disabilities/infirmities such as low vision, hearing impairment, loss of teeth and loco-motor disabilities. The aids and assistive devices viz. walking sticks, elbow crutches, walkers/crutches, tripods/quad-pods, hearing aids, wheelchairs, artificial dentures and spectacles are provided to eligible beneficiaries. The Scheme has been revised w.e.f. F.Y. 2020-21. Under the revised

Scheme, the criteria of selection of beneficiaries have been extended to include not only those senior citizens belonging to BPL category but also senior citizens with monthly income not more than Rs. 15000/- and who suffer from age related disabilities/infirmities as mentioned above. In addition, the number of devices hitherto provided has also been increased.
2.13 Rashtriya Vayoshri Yojana is a Central Sector Scheme funded from Senior Citizens' Welfare Fund (SCWF) and is being implemented by Artificial Limbs Manufacturing Corporation of India (ALIMCO) which is a Public Sector Undertaking under the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment. The scheme is under implementation in 373 districts.

## Senior Citizens Welfare Fund

2.14 A Senior Citizen Welfare Fund (SCWF) was established on 18.3.2016 as per Rule 3 of the Senior Citizens' Welfare Funds Rules, 2016 published in the Gazette of India (Extra Ordinary) dated 18.3.2016. The fund shall be utilized for such schemes for the promotion of the welfare of senior citizens, which are in line with the National Policy on Older Persons, including schemes for promoting financial security of senior citizens, healthcare and nutrition of senior citizens, welfare of elderly widows and other innovative schemes directed towards welfare of senior citizens. The Fund comprises of the amounts available under Saving Schemes of the Central Government that remain unclaimed for a period of seven years from the date of the account being declared as inoperative account. The Fund is administered by an Inter-Ministerial Committee (IMC) with the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment as the Nodal Ministry for administration of the Fund. The Committee is headed by Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment with the members from representatives of Department of Financial Services, Ministries of Health and Family Welfare, Rural Development, Housing \& Urban Affairs, and Labour and Employment.
2.15 Schemes such as Rashtriya Vayoshri Yojana (RVY), being implemented by this Ministry, is funded from this Scheme. Part funding has been also received by Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, under SCWF, towards Longitudinal Ageing Study in India (LASI) and Senior Citizens’ Health Insurance Scheme (SCHIS). Ministry of Civil Aviation's provision for electric Golf Carts at Airports has been also funded under SCWF.

## National Council of Senior Citizens

2.16 In pursuance of the National Policy for Older Persons (NPOP), a National Council for Older Persons (NCOP) was constituted in 1999 under the Chairpersonship of the Minister for Social Justice and Empowerment to oversee implementation of the

Policy and advise the Government in the formulation and implementation of policy and programmes for the aged. In 2012, the NCOP was reconstituted in order to encourage more participation from every region and renamed as National Council of Senior Citizens (NCSrC).
2.17 The NCSrC advises Central and State Governments on the entire gamut of issues related to welfare of senior citizens and enhancement of their quality of life. It has representatives from Central Ministries, State Social Welfare Departments, Senior Citizen Associations, Pensioners’ Associations, Non-Governmental Organizations, Experts in the field of Ageing and related matters. The members of the Council have tenure of three years and a meeting is held every year.

## Schemes from other Central Ministries for the welfare of Senior Citizens

2.18 The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW) had launched National Programme for Health Care of the Elderly (NPHCE) during 2010-11 to address various health related problems of elderly people. The National Programme for the Health Care for the Elderly (NPHCE) is an articulation of the International and National commitments of the Government as envisaged under the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), National Policy on Older Persons (NPOP) adopted by the Government of India in 1999 \& Section 20 of "The Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007" dealing with provisions for medical care of Senior Citizen. The programme is State oriented and basic thrust of the programme is to provide dedicated health care facilities to the senior citizens (>60 year of age) at various level of primary, secondary and tertiary health care. The objectives of the programme are:
i. To provide an easy access to promotional, preventive, curative and rehabilitative services to the elderly through community based primary health care approach
ii. To identify health problems in the elderly and provide appropriate health interventions in the community with a strong referral backup support.
iii. To build capacity of the medical and paramedical professionals as well as the care-takers within the family for providing health care to the elderly.
iv. To provide referral services to the elderly patients through district hospitals, regional medical institutions
v. Convergence with National Rural Health Mission, AYUSH and other line departments like Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment.
2.19 Under the National Health Mission (NHM) Component, Primary \& Secondary care service are delivered through District Hospitals (DH), Community

Health Centres (CHC), Primary Health Centres (PHC), Sub- Centre/Health \&Wellness Centres while Tertiary care services are supported by MoHFW under the tertiary component of the programme namely 'Rashtriya Varishth Jan Swasthya Yojana (RVJSY)'. These services are being provided though Regional Geriatric Centres (RGCs) located at 19 Medical colleges in 18 States of India and Two National Centres of Aging (NCAs) one in AIIMS, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi and another in Madras Medical College, Chennai. Further, an interactive and dynamic website cum MIS of the NPHCE program has been initiated through Centre for Health Informatics (CHI) to provide comprehensive information along with data regarding Geriatric facilities and services available through-out the country.
2.20 Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana (PM-JAY) under the Ayushman Bharat, launched by Ministry of Health and Family Welfare in 2018, is the largest health assurance scheme in the world which aims at providing a health cover of Rs. 5 lakhs per family per year for secondary and tertiary care hospitalization across public and private empanelled hospitals in India to poor and vulnerable families (approximately 50 crore beneficiaries). The households included are based on the deprivation and occupational criteria of Socio-Economic Caste Census 2011 (SECC 2011) for rural and urban areas respectively. The coverage mentioned under PM-JAY also includes families that were covered in RSBY but are not present in the SECC 2011 database. PM-JAY is fully funded by the Government and cost of implementation is shared between the Central and State Governments. PM-JAY provides cashless access to health care services for the beneficiary at the point of service, that is, the hospital. It covers up to 3 days of pre-hospitalization and 15 days' post-hospitalization expenses such as diagnostics and medicines. There is no restriction on the family size, age or gender.

### 2.21 The Ministry of Rural Development is implementing the Indira Gandhi

 National Old Age Pension Scheme (IGNOAPS) since 2007.This scheme is specially intended for senior citizens, who are aged above 60 years and fall below the line of poverty as per the Guidelines stated by the Government of India (GOI). Under this scheme, the beneficiary will be entitled to a monthly pension, and as this scheme is a non-contributing scheme, henceforth, the beneficiary is not required to contribute any sum in order to get the pension. The sum of pension will be given based upon the age of the beneficiary, if they are aged between 60 to 79 years, then a monthly sum of Rs. 200 is offered and for people above 80 years, a sum of Rs. 500 is credited.2.22 The Pradhan Mantri Vaya Vandana Yojana (PNVVY) is a Pension Scheme launched by Government of India in May 2017 to provide social security
exclusively for the senior citizens aged 60 years and above. The scheme was available from 4th May, 2017 to 31stMarch, 2020. The scheme is now extended up to 31st March, $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ for a further period of three years beyond 31st March, 2020. This is a simplified version of the VPBY and will be implemented by the Life Insurance Corporation (LIC) of India. Under the scheme, on payment of an initial lump sum amount ranging from Rs $1,62,162$ for a minimum pension of Rs 1000 per month to a maximum of Rs. 15, $00,000 /-$ for a maximum pension of Rs. 9,250 per month, subscribers will get an assured pension based on a guaranteed rate of return of $8 \%$ per annum payable monthly/quarterly/half-yearly/annually. The duration of the scheme will be for a period of ten years and the scheme is opened for subscription.
2.23 Ministry of Finance provides the following facilities for senior citizens for the assessment year 2020-21.

- Senior citizen (Age $\mathbf{6 0}$ years or more but less than $\mathbf{8 0}$ years): A senior citizen is granted a higher exemption limit compared to non-senior citizens. The exemption limit for the financial year 2020-21 available to a resident senior citizen is Rs. 3 lakhs. The exemption limit for non-senior citizen is Rs. 2.5 lakhs.
- Very senior citizen (of age above 80 years): A very senior citizen is granted a higher exemption limit compared to others. The exemption limit for the financial year 2020-21 available to a resident very senior citizen is Rs. 5 lakhs. The exemption limit for non-senior citizen is Rs. 2.5 lakhs.
- Section 80 TTB of the Income Tax law gives provisions relating to tax benefits available on account of interest income from deposits with banks or post office or co-operative banks of an amount up to Rs. 50,000 earned by the senior citizen (i.e., an individual of the age of 60 years or above). Interest earned on saving deposits and fixed deposit, both shall be eligible for deduction under this provision.
- Section 194A of the Income Tax law gives corresponding provisions that no tax shall be deducted at source from payment of interest to a senior citizen up to Rs. 50,000.
- Income tax rebates for Medical Treatment for Specified Diseases for Senior Citizens (U/S 80 DDB) and also rebate for Medical Insurance Premium for Senior Citizens. (U/S 80 D)
- Senior citizen pensioners who are of 75 years of age or above, Union Budget 2021-22 proposed to exempt them from the requirement of filing an income tax return (ITR) if the full amount of tax payable has been deducted by the paying bank.
- $0.5 \%$ high interest rate on fixed deposits by Senior Citizens.
2.2.4 Ministry of Road Transport and Highways provides the facilities of reservation of two seats for senior citizens in front row of the buses of the State Road Transport Undertakings. Some State Governments are giving fare concession to senior citizens in the State Road Transport Undertaking buses.
2.25 Ministry of Railways provides the following facilities to senior citizens:
i. Fare concession in all Mail / Express including Rajdhani / Shatabadi / Jan Shatabadi trains for senior citizens aged Female - 58 years and Male 60 years and above. The element of concession is $40 \%$ for Male and $50 \%$ for female.
ii. Indian Railways also have the facility of separate counters for Senior Citizens for purchase / booking / cancellation of tickets.
iii. Wheel Chairs for use of older persons are available at all junctions, District Headquarters and other important stations for the convenience of needy persons including the older persons.
iv. Ramps for wheel chairs movement are available at the entry to important stations.
2.26 The National Carrier, Air India under the Ministry of Civil Aviation provides air fare concession in up to $50 \%$ of basic fare of normal economy class for senior citizens who have completed 60 years of age on the date of commencement of journey and on production of proof of age (Photo-ID) and nationality.
2.27 Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution provide the following facilities for senior citizens.
- Under the Antyodaya Scheme, the Below Poverty Line (BPL) families which also include older persons are provided food grains at the rate of 35 kgs . per family per month. The food grains are issued @ Rs.3/- per kg. for rice and Rs.2/- per kg. for wheat. The persons aged 60 years above from the BPL category were given priority for identification.
- Under the Annapoorna Scheme being implemented by the States/UT Administration, 10 kgs . of food grains per beneficiary per month are provided free of cost to those senior citizens who remain uncovered under the old age pension scheme.
2.28 Chapter V of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 provides for "Protection of Life and Property of Senior Citizens". State Governments are required to prescribe a comprehensive Action Plan for providing protection of life and property of senior citizens. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India has also issued detailed advisories dated 27.03.2008 and
30.08.2013 to all the State Government /UTs, who are primarily responsible for prevention, detection, registration, investigation and prosecution of crime including crime against senior citizens as "Police" and "Public order" are state subjects. The Ministry of Home Affairs in its advisories has advised the States/UTs to take immediate measures to ensure safety and security and for elimination of all forms of neglect, abuse and violence against old persons through initiatives such as identification of senior citizens; sensitization of police personnel regarding safety, security of older persons; regular visit of the beat staff; setting up of toll free senior citizen helpline; setting up of senior citizen security cell; verification of domestic helps, drivers, etc.


Chapter 3

Population and Vital Statistics

## Population and Vital Statistics

## Highlights

- Population of the country is its most important demographic indicator. The population of elderly India had been increasing steadily since 1961. The growth in the elderly population became faster mainly due to decrease in the death rate because of various health interventions after the census 1981. The addition of the elderly population during 2001-2011 was more than 27 million. The projected population for future years are required for preparation of perspective plan in various socio-economic sectors. According to the Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, there are nearly 138 million elderly persons in India in 2021 comprising of 67 million males and 71 million females. (Table 3.1)
- It is interesting to note that upto Population Census 1991, the number of elderly females exceeded the number of elderly males. However, in the last two decades, the trend has been reversed and the elderly males outnumbered the elderly females. Further, it is projected that the number of elderly females will exceed the number of males in 2031. (Table 3.1)
- As per the Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, an increase of nearly 34 million elderly persons was seen in 2021 over the Population Census 2011 and is further expected to increase by around 56 million elderly persons in 2031. (Table 3.1)
- The growth in elderly population may be due to the longevity of life achieved because of economic well-being, better healthcare and medical facilities and reduction in fertility rates. The general population has grown up by $12.4 \%$ during 2011-2021 in comparison to around $18 \%$ in earlier decade while the elderly population grow by $36 \%$ each in the last two decades (2001-2011 and 2011-2021). High growth rate in elderly population vis-à-vis of general population was observed earlier also in the two decades between 1961 and 1981.
(Table 3.2)
- An increasing trend in percentage share of elderly persons to the total population of India is observed since 1961. In 1961, $5.6 \%$ of the population was in the age bracket of 60 years or more, the proportion has increased to $10.1 \%$ in 2021 and further likely to increase to $13.1 \%$ in 2031. A similar trend has also been observed in rural as well as in the urban areas. In rural areas, the proportion of
elderly persons has increased from $5.8 \%$ in 1961 to $8.8 \%$ in 2011 , whereas in urban areas it has increased from $4.7 \%$ to $8.1 \%$ during 1961 to 2011. (Table 3.3)
- Population plays an important role for the development of a country as the potential for economic development of the country. As per population census data, the proportion of children in the age group 0-14 years increased till 1971 and gradually decreased afterwards. In 2021, the proportion of children in the age group $0-14$ years is projected to be $25.5 \%$ whereas it was $30.8 \%$ in 2011. The proportion of elderly persons is ever increasing since 1951 and has projected to reach at $10.1 \%$ in 2021 and likely to further increase to $13.1 \%$ in 2031. The working age population has also an increasing trend since 1971 and has projected to reach $64.4 \%$ in 2021 and further increase to $65.2 \%$ in 2031as per the Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036. (Table 3.4)
- Age-wise distribution of population exhibits that there is an increasing trend in the proportion of population belonging to the age group 60 years and above from $7.4 \%$ in 2001 to the projection of $13.2 \%$ in 2031. (Table 3.5)
- As per the Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, State-wise data on elderly population of 21 major states divulge that Kerala has the maximum proportion of elderly people in its population (16.5\%) followed by Tamil Nadu (13.6\%), Himachal Pradesh (13.1\%), Punjab (12.6\%) and Andhra Pradesh (12.4\%) in 2021. On the contrary, proportion is the least in the States of Bihar (7.7\%) followed by Uttar Pradesh (8.1\%) and Assam (8.2\%). (Table 3.6)
- Similarly, for the year 2031, maximum proportion of elderly people in its population is projected in the state of Kerala (20.9\%) followed by Tamil Nadu (18.2\%), Himachal Pradesh (17.1\%), Andhra Pradesh (16.4\%) and Punjab (16.2\%). (Table 3.6)
- In comparison of the Population Census 2011 and Projected Population for the year 2021, it has been observed that the annual percentage growth rate of elderly population is $3.28 \%$. Among the States (except UTs and North Eastern States), maximum average increase has been observed in Delhi (6.60\%) followed by Gujarat (4.88\%) and West Bengal (4.27\%). However, average increase is least in state of Uttar Pradesh (2.16\%) followed by Bihar (2.28\%) and Madhya Pradesh (2.53\%). (Table 3.6)
- As per Census 2011, nearly 69 per cent of the households, 68 per cent in rural areas and 71 per cent in the urban areas do not have any aged person (age 60 years or more) in the household. Around $22 \%$ of the households had one aged person and 9 per cent had 2 aged members. In rural areas, the corresponding proportions are 22 per cent and 10 per cent and in urban areas 21 per cent and 8 per cent respectively. Only 0.5 per cent households have 3 or more aged members. (Table 3.7)
- Data on sex ratio reveal that the trend in sex ratio in elderly population is in tandem with that in general population. As per projected population of 2021, the sex ratio for general population is 948 in 2021 which is quite close to the levels that were in 1951 i.e. 946 whereas, the sex ratio for elderly population is much higher in 2021 than 1951. (Table 3.8)
- The life expectation gives a good idea about the general health status of the people. At a particular age, the life expectation is the number of years a person is expected to live, on an average, after attaining that particular age. It takes into account the expected mortality experiences during the whole life cycle of an individual, which depends on the availability of health facilities, nutritional level of the people etc. With the rapid advancement in medical science and technology it has now become easier to control various dreaded diseases which were the cause of high mortality earlier. This has resulted in a continuous increase in the life expectation. Life expectancy at birth in the country has increased in rural as well as urban areas. In rural areas, it has increased from 48 years in 1970-75 to 68 years in 2014-18, while in urban areas it has increased from 58.9 years to 72.6 years. At the age of 60 years, during the same period, it has increased from 13.5 to 17.6 years in rural areas and from 15.7 to 19.4 years in urban areas. (Table 3.9)
- As per SRS Report 2014-18, Kerala has got the highest life expectancy at birth for both male and female. The life expectancy at birth in Kerala is 72.5 years and 77.9 years for males and females respectively. In case of males, Maharashtra and Punjab placed at second and third position in life expectancy at birth whereas, in female, Himachal Pradesh, Punjab and Tamil Nadu were placed at second, third and fourth position. (Table 3.10)
- For males, at age of 60 years, Punjab has the highest life expectancy (20.2 years) followed by Maharashtra ( 18.8 years) and lowest value of life expectancy is observed in Uttar Pradesh (16.2 years) followed by Assam (16.5 years). For females, the highest life expectancy at age of 60 years is observed in Himachal

Pradesh (22.7 years) followed by Punjab (22 years) and lowest life expectancy is in Bihar (16.3 years) followed by Uttar Pradesh (17.5 years). (Table 3.10)

- As per SRS Report for the years 2008, 2013 and 2018, the Age Specific Death Rate of elderly people for the age group 60-64 years has decreased from 22.5 in 2008 to 19.5 in 2018. For age group 65-69 years, age specific death rate has also decreased from 33.5 in 2008 to 31.3 in 2018. Similar trend has been noticed for male, female as well as for rural and urban areas. (Table 3.11)
- Among the 20 major States/UTs, significant improvement in age-specific death rate for elderly persons aged more than 85 years has been noticed from 2013 to 2018 in all States/UTs except in the States of Karnataka, Kerala, Himachal Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh. (Table 3.12)


Distribution of Elderly population (aged 60 years \& above) in India by residence
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Percentage distribution by the number of aged members in the household-2001 \& 2011


Number of aged persons

## Age-Specific Death Rate (per 1000) of Elderly population in India



Table 3.1: Elderly Population (aged 60 years and above) in India
(In millions)

| Source | Total |  |  |  | Rural |  | Urban |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census 1961 | 24.7 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 21.0 | 3.7 |  |  |  |
| Census 1971 | 32.7 | 15.8 | 16.9 | 27.3 | 5.4 |  |  |  |
| Census 1981* | 43.2 | 21.1 | 22.0 | 34.7 | 8.5 |  |  |  |
| Census 1991** | 56.7 | 27.3 | 29.4 | 44.3 | 12.4 |  |  |  |
| Census 2001*** | 76.6 | 38.9 | 37.8 | 57.4 | 19.2 |  |  |  |
| Census 2011*** | 103.8 | 52.8 | 51.1 | 73.3 | 30.6 |  |  |  |
| Census <br> 2021(Projections) | 137.9 | 66.8 | 71.1 | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| Census <br> 2031(Projections) | 193.8 | 92.9 | 100.9 | NA | NA |  |  |  |

Source: Population Census Data and Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections November 2019, Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, Census of India 2011

* The 1981 Census could not be held in Assam owing to disturbed conditions. The population figures for 1981 of Assam were worked out by 'interpolation'.
** The 1991 Census was not held in Jammu \& Kashmir. The population figures for 1991 of Jammu \& Kashmir were worked out by 'interpolation'.
*** The figures include the estimated population of MAO Maram, Paomata and Purul sub-divisions of Senapati district of Manipur.

Table 3.2: Decadal growth in elderly population vis-a-vis that of general population
(\% change)

| Period | in general population | in elderly population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951-61 | 21.6 | 23.9 |
| 1961-71 | 24.8 | 33.7 |
| 1971-81* | 24.7 | 33.0 |
| 1981*-91** | 23.9 | 29.7 |
| 1991**-2001*** | 21.5 | 25.2 |
| 2001***-2011*** | 17.7 | 35.5 |
| 2011***-2021 | 12.4 | 35.8 |
| 2021-2031(Projections) | 8.4 | 40.5 |

Source: Population Census Data and Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections November 2019, Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, Census of India 2011

* The 1981 Census could not be held in Assam owing to disturbed conditions. The population figures for 1981 of Assam were worked out by 'interpolation'.
** The 1991 Census was not held in Jammu \& Kashmir. The population figures for 1991 of Jammu \& Kashmir were worked out by 'interpolation'.
*** The figures include the estimated population of MAO Maram, Paomata and Purul sub-divisions of Senapati district of Manipur.

Table 3.3: Percentage share of elderly population in total population

| Source | Person | Female | Male | Rural | Urban |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Census 1961 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 4.7 |
| Census 1971 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.0 |
| Census 1981* | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 5.4 |
| Census 1991** | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 5.7 |
| Census 2001*** | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 6.7 |
| Census 2011*** | 8.6 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 8.1 |
| Census 2021(Projections) | 10.1 | 10.7 | 9.6 | NA | NA |
| Census 2031(Projections) | 13.1 | 14.0 | 12.3 | NA | NA |

Source: Population Census Data and Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections November 2019, Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, Census of India 2011

* The 1981 Census could not be held in Assam owing to disturbed conditions. The population figures for 1981 of Assam were worked out by 'interpolation'.
** The 1991 Census was not held in Jammu \& Kashmir. The population figures for 1991 of Jammu \& Kashmir were worked out by 'interpolation'.
*** The figures include the estimated population of MAO Maram, Paomata and Purul sub-divisions of Senapati district of Manipur.

Table 3.4 Percentage Distribution of Population by Broad Age Groups, India

| Year | Age groups |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-14 | 15-59 | 60+ | Total |
| 1951 | 38.4 | 56.1 | 5.5 | 100 |
| 1961 | 41.1 | 53.3 | 5.6 | 100 |
| 1971 | 42.0 | 52.0 | 6.0 | 100 |
| 1981* | 39.7 | 53.9 | 6.4 | 100 |
| 1991** | 37.6 | 55.7 | 6.7 | 100 |
| 2001*** | 35.3 | 56.9 | 7.4 | 100 |
| 2011*** | 30.8 | 60.3 | 8.6 | 100 |
| 2021 | 25.5 | 64.4 | 10.1 | 100 |
| 2031 | 21.7 | 65.2 | 13.1 | 100 |

Source: Population Census Data and Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections November 2019, Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, Census of India 2011

* The 1981 Census could not be held in Assam owing to disturbed conditions. The population figures for 1981 of Assam were worked out by 'interpolation'.
** The 1991 Census was not held in Jammu \& Kashmir. The population figures for 1991 of Jammu \& Kashmir were worked out by 'interpolation'.
*** The figures include the estimated population of MAO Maram, Paomata and Purul sub-divisions of Senapati district of Manipur.

Table 3.5: Age-wise distribution of population

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (in years) } \end{gathered}$ | 2001 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2021(Projections) |  |  | 2031(Projections) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person | Female | Male | Person | Female | Male | Person | Female | Male | Person | Female | Male |
| 0-4 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.0 |
| 5-9 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.4 |
| 10-14 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.8 |
| 15-19 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 8.0 |
| 20-24 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.0 |
| 25-29 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.5 |
| 30-34 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.7 |
| 35-39 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 8.1 |
| 40-44 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| 45-49 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.4 |
| 50-54 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| 55-59 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 4.9 |
| 60-64 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| 65-69 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| 70-74 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| 75-79 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| 80+ | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Age not stated | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Population Census Data and Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections November 2019, Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, Census of India 2011.

* The figures include the estimated population of Mao Maram, Paomata and Purul sub-divisions of Senapati district of Manipur.

Table 3．6：State／UT－wise of size of elderly population（aged 60＋）and their share in Total Population

| States／UTs | 2001 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2021（Projections） |  |  |  | AnnualPercentageGrowthRate ofElderlyPopulation（2011－2021） | 2031（Projections） |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ of <br> elderly <br> people in <br> total <br> population <br> of <br> State／UT | Number（in thousands）of persons aged $60 \&$ above |  |  | \％ofelderlypeople intotalpopulationof State／UT | Number（in thousands）of persons aged $60 \&$ above |  |  | \％ofelderlypeople intotalpopulationofState／UT | Number（in thousands）of persons aged $60 \&$ above |  |  |  | $\%$ of <br> elderly <br> people in <br> total <br> populatio <br> n of <br> State／UT | Number（in thousands）of persons aged $60 \&$ above |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  |  | 会 |  | $\frac{\sqrt[0]{0}}{\sum_{i}^{5}}$ |  | n |  | $\frac{0}{\sum_{i}^{\pi}}$ |  | 域 |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{0}}{\sum_{i}^{5}}$ |  |  | 号 |  | $\frac{\text { 気 }}{\frac{1}{5}}$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | 7.6 | 5788 | 3030 | 2758 | 10.1 | 5025 | 2656 | 2369 | 12.4 | 6557 | 3521 | 3036 | 2.99 | 16.4 | 8863 | 4729 | 4135 |
| Bihar | 6.6 | 5501 | 2579 | 2922 | 7.4 | 7707 | 3601 | 4107 | 7.7 | 9464 | 4565 | 4899 | 2.28 | 9.5 | 13449 | 6632 | 6817 |
| Chhattisgarh | 7.2 | 1504 | 815 | 690 | 7.8 | 2004 | 1076 | 928 | 8.8 | 2586 | 1409 | 1177 | 2.90 | 11.7 | 3822 | 2068 | 1755 |
| Goa | 8.3 | 112 | 63 | 50 | 11.2 | 163 | 89 | 74 | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Gujarat | 6.9 | 3499 | 1871 | 1628 | 7.9 | 4787 | 2541 | 2246 | 10.2 | 7123 | 3732 | 3390 | 4.88 | 13.6 | 10562 | 5506 | 5055 |
| Haryana | 7.5 | 1584 | 789 | 795 | 8.7 | 2194 | 1105 | 1089 | 9.8 | 2890 | 1493 | 1397 | 3.17 | 12.3 | 4063 | 2110 | 1953 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 9.0 | 548 | 277 | 271 | 10.2 | 703 | 362 | 341 | 13.1 | 965 | 511 | 453 | 3.73 | 17.1 | 1320 | 715 | 607 |
| Jammu \＆Kashmir | 6.7 | 675 | 309 | 366 | 7.4 | 923 | 440 | 483 | 9.5 | 1268 | 630 | 637 | 3.74 | 13.2 | 1902 | 953 | 949 |
| Jharkhand | 5.9 | 1579 | 791 | 787 | 7.1 | 2357 | 1175 | 1182 | 8.4 | 3250 | 1629 | 1621 | 3.79 | 10.8 | 4672 | 2356 | 2315 |
| Karnataka | 7.7 | 4062 | 2138 | 1924 | 7.7 | 5791 | 3044 | 2747 | 11.5 | 7658 | 4007 | 3649 | 3.22 | 15.0 | 10594 | 5529 | 5065 |
| Kerala | 10.5 | 3336 | 1851 | 1484 | 12.6 | 4193 | 2310 | 1884 | 16.5 | 5853 | 3242 | 2611 | 3.96 | 20.9 | 7653 | 4371 | 3284 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 7.1 | 4281 | 2189 | 2092 | 7.9 | 5713 | 2944 | 2770 | 8.5 | 7161 | 3683 | 3479 | 2.53 | 11.1 | 10448 | 5395 | 5052 |
| Maharashtra | 8.7 | 8455 | 4522 | 3932 | 9.9 | 11107 | 5853 | 5254 | 11.7 | 14548 | 7548 | 7000 | 3.10 | 15 | 19974 | 10302 | 9672 |
| Odisha | 8.3 | 3039 | 1536 | 1503 | 9.5 | 3984 | 1990 | 1994 | 11.8 | 5194 | 2673 | 2520 | 3.04 | 15.8 | 7100 | 3714 | 3386 |
| Punjab | 9.0 | 2192 | 1080 | 1112 | 10.3 | 2866 | 1422 | 1444 | 12.6 | 3829 | 1927 | 1902 | 3.36 | 16.2 | 5201 | 2665 | 2536 |
| Rajasthan | 6.7 | 3810 | 1981 | 1829 | 7.5 | 5112 | 2680 | 2432 | 8.6 | 6833 | 3680 | 3154 | 3.37 | 11.2 | 9789 | 5328 | 4461 |
| Tamil Nadu | 8.8 | 5507 | 2772 | 2736 | 10.4 | 7510 | 3849 | 3661 | 13.6 | 10419 | 5429 | 4991 | 3.87 | 18.2 | 14210 | 7546 | 6664 |
| Telangana ${ }^{1}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | 9.2 | 3229 | 1693 | 1535 | 11.0 | 4160 | 2229 | 1929 | 2.88 | 14.5 | 5698 | 3021 | 2677 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 7.0 | 11649 | 5475 | 6175 | 7.7 | 15440 | 7403 | 8037 | 8.1 | 18772 | 9537 | 9236 | 2.16 | 10.3 | 25853 | 13339 | 12514 |
| Uttarakhand | 7.7 | 654 | 328 | 327 | 8.9 | 901 | 459 | 442 | 10.6 | 1209 | 644 | 563 | 3.42 | 13.2 | 1652 | 891 | 761 |
| West Bengal | 7.1 | 5700 | 2916 | 2784 | 8.5 | 7742 | 3891 | 3851 | 11.3 | 11048 | 5410 | 5638 | 4.27 | 15.7 | 16059 | 7990 | 8068 |


| States／UTs | 2001 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2021（Projections） |  |  |  | AnnualPercentageGrowthRate ofElderlyPopulation（2011－2021） | 2031（Projections） |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ of <br> elderly <br> people in <br> total <br> population <br> of <br> State／UT | Number（in thousands）of persons aged $60 \&$ above |  |  | \％of elderly people in total population of State／UT | Number（in thousands）of persons aged 60 \＆above |  |  | $\%$ of <br> elderly <br> people in <br> total <br> population <br> of <br> State／UT | Number（in thousands）of persons aged 60 \＆above |  |  |  | $\%$ of <br> elderly <br> people in <br> total <br> populatio <br> n of <br> State／UT | Number（in thousands）of persons aged $60 \&$ above |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\sqrt[0]{0}}{\sum_{n}^{\pi}}$ |  |  | 皆 | $\frac{\sqrt[y y]{0}}{\sum_{\pi}^{5}}$ |  | 耧 |  | $\frac{\sqrt[y y y]{0}}{\sum_{x}^{5}}$ |  |  | 耧 | 或 | $\frac{\text { 気 }}{\frac{0}{5}}$ |
| North Eastern States ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.8 | 1424 | 705 | 719 |  | 12.7 | 2232 | 1125 | 1107 |
| Assam | 5.9 | 1560 | 760 | 801 | 6.7 | 2079 | 1024 | 1055 | 8.2 | 2888 | 1435 | 1453 | 3.89 | 11.6 | 4439 | 2231 | 2208 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 4.5 | 50 | 23 | 26 | 4.6 | 64 | 30 | 33 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Manipur | 6.7 | 145 | 72 | 73 | 7，0 | 200 | 100 | 100 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Meghalaya | 4.6 | 106 | 52 | 54 | 4.7 | 139 | 72 | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Mizoram | 5.5 | 49 | 24 | 25 | 6.3 | 69 | 34 | 34 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Nagaland | 4.5 | 90 | 40 | 51 | 5.2 | 103 | 48 | 55 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Sikkim | 5.4 | 29 | 13 | 16 | 6.7 | 41 | 18 | 22 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tripura | 7.3 | 233 | 120 | 113 | 7.9 | 290 | 148 | 142 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Union Territories ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A \＆N Islands | 4.9 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 6.7 | 25 | 11 | 14 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Chandigarh | 5.0 | 45 | 21 | 24 | 6.4 | 67 | 32 | 35 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Dadra \＆Nagar Haveli | 4.0 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 4.0 | 14 | 8 | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Daman \＆Diu | 5.1 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 4.7 | 11 | 6 | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Delhi | 5.2 | 720 | 353 | 366 | 6.8 | 1147 | 571 | 577 | 9.3 | 1904 | 921 | 982 | 6.60 | 12.5 | 3057 | 1477 | 1580 |
| Lakshadweep | 6.1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8.2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Puducherry | 8.3 | 81 | 45 | 36 | 9.7 | 120 | 67 | 53 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| India | 7.4 | 76622 | 38854 | 37768 | 8.6 | 103849 | 52777 | 51072 | 10.1 | 137897 | 71116 | 66781 | 3.28 | 13.1 | 193787 | 100853 | 92934 |

Source：Population Census 2001， 2011 and Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections November 2019，Population Projections for India and States 2011 －2036
Note：
1．The projections are made for Telangana for 2011.
2．The projections are given for all North Eastern States combined（except Assam）．
3．The projections are given only for Delhi and not for other UTs
NA ：Not Available

Table 3.7: Percentage distribution by the number of aged members

| Number of aged persons <br> in the household | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban |
|  | 69.8 | 68.4 | 73.4 | 68.7 | 67.5 | 71.2 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 21.3 | 22.0 | 19.4 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 20.5 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 8.4 | 9.1 | 6.8 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 7.9 |
| 3 or more | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Soure: Population Censu 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Population Census 2001 and 2011.

Table 3.8: Trend in Sex Ratio (Number of Females per 1000 males) for the general and elderly population

| Population Census | General population | Elderly population |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 5 1}$ | 946 | 1028 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | 941 | 1000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | 930 | 938 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | 934 | 960 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 927 | 930 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 933 | 972 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 943 | 1033 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 ( P r o j e c t i o n s )}$ | 948 | 1065 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 3 1 ( P r o j e c t i o n s )}$ | 955 | 1085 |

Source: Population Censuses and Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections November 2019, Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, Census of India 2011

Table 3.9: Life Expectancy at selected ages by sex and residence over time

| At age (years) | Period | Total | Male | Female | Rural | Urban |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1970-75 | 49.7 | 50.5 | 49.0 | 48.0 | 58.9 |
|  | 1976-80 | 52.3 | 52.5 | 52.1 | 50.6 | 60.1 |
|  | 1981-85 | 55.5 | 55.4 | 55.7 | 53.7 | 62.8 |
|  | 1986-90 | 57.7 | 57.7 | 58.1 | 56.1 | 63.4 |
|  | 1991-95 | 60.3 | 59.7 | 60.9 | 58.9 | 65.9 |
|  | 1996-00 | 61.9 | 61.0 | 62.7 | 60.7 | 67.3 |
|  | 2011-05 | 63.2 | 62.3 | 63.9 | 61.8 | 68.5 |
|  | 2006-10 | 66.1 | 64.6 | 67.7 | 64.9 | 69.6 |
|  | 2009-13 | 67.5 | 65.8 | 69.3 | 66.3 | 71.2 |
|  | 2014-18 | 69.4 | 68.2 | 70.7 | 68.0 | 72.6 |
| 60 | 1970-75 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 15.7 |
|  | 1976-80 | 15.0 | 14.1 | 15.9 | 14.7 | 16.2 |
|  | 1981-85 | 15.4 | 14.6 | 16.4 | 15.1 | 16.9 |
|  | 1986-90 | 15.4 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 15.3 | 16.2 |
|  | 1991-95 | 16.2 | 15.3 | 17.1 | 15.9 | 17.7 |
|  | 1996-00 | 16.9 | 15.8 | 17.8 | 16.5 | 18.6 |
|  | 2011-05 | 17.7 | 16.4 | 18.7 | 17.4 | 19.5 |
|  | 2006-10 | 17.5 | 16.5 | 18.6 | 17.2 | 18.4 |
|  | 2009-13 | 17.9 | 16.9 | 19.0 | 17.5 | 19.1 |
|  | 2014-18 | 18.2 | 17.4 | 18.9 | 17.6 | 19.4 |
| 70 | 1970-75 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 10.8 |
|  | 1976-80 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 10.1 | 11.0 |
|  | 1981-85 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 11.0 | 9.9 | 11.6 |
|  | 1986-90 | 9.9 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 9.7 | 10.5 |
|  | 1991-95 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 11.9 |
|  | 1996-00 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 12.8 |
|  | 2011-05 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 13.4 |
|  | 2006-10 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 11.9 |
|  | 2009-13 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 12.4 |
|  | 2014-18 | 11.6 | 11.1 | 12.1 | 11.2 | 12.5 |

[^0]Table 3.10: Expectation of life at birth and at age 60 of major state by sex, 1996-00, 2009-13 \& 2014-18

| States | At Birth |  |  |  |  |  | At age 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\theta}{6} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | N | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{ \pm}}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ò } \\ & \text { Ò } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{ \pm}$ | $$ | ल ते ते | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{ \pm}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \text { ה̀ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{ \pm}}$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | 61.7 | 65.5 | 68.7 | 64.3 | 70.4 | 71.4 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 17.9 | 16.8 | 19.2 | 18.8 |
| Assam | 57.4 | 61.9 | 66.1 | 57.7 | 65.1 | 67.9 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 16.5 | 15.8 | 17.9 | 17.6 |
| Bihar | 60.9 | 67.3 | 69.4 | 59.1 | 68.0 | 68.7 | 16.4 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 16.6 | 17.5 | 16.3 |
| Gujarat | 62.1 | 66.0 | 67.8 | 64.0 | 70.5 | 72.3 | 15.2 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 16.8 | 19.8 | 20.3 |
| Haryana | 64.4 | 65.8 | 67.7 | 65.1 | 70.9 | 72.3 | 19.0 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 19.5 | 20.5 | 20.2 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 65.4 | 69.0 | 69.6 | 66.0 | 73.1 | 76.8 | 17.5 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 17.1 | 21.0 | 22.7 |
| Karnataka | 62.5 | 66.4 | 67.9 | 65.8 | 70.8 | 70.9 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 16.6 | 17.7 | 19.0 | 18.1 |
| Kerala | 70.7 | 71.8 | 72.5 | 76.1 | 77.8 | 77.9 | 18.6 | 18.0 | 17.9 | 20.5 | 21.6 | 21.9 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 56.6 | 62.3 | 64.8 | 56.3 | 65.5 | 68.5 | 14.8 | 15.4 | 16.6 | 15.3 | 17.6 | 18.3 |
| Maharashtra | 64.7 | 69.4 | 71.3 | 67.2 | 73.4 | 73.8 | 16.5 | 17.9 | 18.8 | 17.4 | 19.5 | 19.7 |
| Odisha | 57.8 | 63.8 | 68.0 | 58.0 | 65.9 | 70.8 | 15.4 | 16.8 | 18.1 | 15.3 | 17.6 | 19.8 |
| Punjab | 67.1 | 69.1 | 71.0 | 69.2 | 73.4 | 74.8 | 20.2 | 19.3 | 20.2 | 21.3 | 21.0 | 22.0 |
| Rajasthan | 60.1 | 65.4 | 66.5 | 61.1 | 70.0 | 71.6 | 15.3 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 17.1 | 21.0 | 20.1 |
| Tamil Nadu | 63.9 | 68.2 | 70.2 | 65.9 | 72.3 | 74.2 | 15.8 | 17.2 | 18.1 | 16.2 | 18.9 | 20.0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 59.1 | 62.5 | 64.8 | 57.9 | 65.2 | 65.8 | 15.1 | 15.8 | 16.2 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 17.5 |
| West Bengal | 63.0 | 68.5 | 70.7 | 64.5 | 71.6 | 72.6 | 15.7 | 16.9 | 17.9 | 16.9 | 18.7 | 19.2 |

Source: Sample Registration System (SRS), Office of the Registrar General of India

Table 3.11: Age-specific death rate (per 1000) of Elderly population by sex and residence in India, 2008, 2013 and 2018

| Agegroup | 2008 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Rural | Urban | Total | Male | Female | Rural | Urban | Total | Male | Female | Rural | Urban |
| 60-64 | 22.5 | 26.5 | 18.4 | 23.9 | 18.7 | 18.4 | 20.7 | 16.1 | 19.7 | 15.0 | 19.5 | 22.2 | 16.7 | 20.4 | 17.7 |
| 65-69 | 33.5 | 39.3 | 27.9 | 34.9 | 29.5 | 29.7 | 32.7 | 26.8 | 31.2 | 25.6 | 31.3 | 35.1 | 27.4 | 32.7 | 28.5 |
| 70-74 | 54.3 | 61.5 | 47.6 | 57.1 | 46.3 | 48.8 | 54.9 | 43.2 | 51.7 | 41.0 | 47.5 | 52.6 | 42.7 | 52.2 | 37.7 |
| 75-79 | 79.4 | 86.6 | 72.4 | 83.7 | 68.1 | 70.7 | 81.7 | 61.2 | 74.0 | 61.4 | 66.0 | 70.6 | 61.7 | 69.8 | 57.8 |
| 80-84 | 116.9 | 125.5 | 109.3 | 119.7 | 109.0 | 115.1 | 129.9 | 102.0 | 122.0 | 96.7 | 106.5 | 111.9 | 101.5 | 118.3 | 83.5 |
| 85+ | 197.4 | 201.2 | 194.2 | 201.5 | 186.2 | 212.7 | 226.1 | 202.4 | 219.0 | 194.7 | 174.7 | 189.4 | 163.3 | 183.3 | 155.5 |

Source: Sample Registration System (SRS), Office of the Registrar General of India

Table 3.12: Age-specific death rates (per 1000) for broad age-groups of elderly population in major states in 2008, 2013 and 2018

|  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to } \\ & \stackrel{1}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\imath}{i}$ | $\stackrel{+\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{B} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\imath}{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \underset{\infty}{+} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ | 7 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ô } \\ & \text { 1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\star}{犬}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rin } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | 21.8 | 39.1 | 54.7 | 90.7 | 130.0 | 178.1 | 21.2 | 33.1 | 47.8 | 65.9 | 83.5 | 143.6 | 21.1 | 30.3 | 42.2 | 65.3 | 93.2 | 115.5 |
| Assam | 28.2 | 61.4 | 60.1 | 74.5 | 111.2 | 241.4 | 24.2 | 36.0 | 60.1 | 85.3 | 124.0 | 264.3 | 18.7 | 35.6 | 51.2 | 65.7 | 144.1 | 182.1 |
| Bihar | 24.7 | 33.6 | 52.5 | 74.3 | 143.6 | 192.1 | 15.2 | 28.6 | 56.4 | 85.9 | 190.8 | 315.5 | 21.7 | 33.3 | 75.9 | 97.1 | 194.8 | 225.3 |
| Chhattisgarh | 24.2 | 36.9 | 80.8 | 81.5 | 129.4 | 234.8 | 24.0 | 38.0 | 75.0 | 93.1 | 156.2 | 207.4 | 25.0 | 39.4 | 70.3 | 125.3 | 207.3 | 285.6 |
| Delhi | 17.3 | 28.6 | 48.7 | 57.6 | 131.8 | 172.6 | 14.2 | 25.3 | 36.0 | 47.8 | 79.2 | 210.3 | 13.6 | 22.1 | 31.8 | 51.0 | 61.0 | 163.9 |
| Gujarat | 19.7 | 30.9 | 48.4 | 68.6 | 102.8 | 197.0 | 16.2 | 25.6 | 40.8 | 61.0 | 107.0 | 180.5 | 20.7 | 28.9 | 43.6 | 53.4 | 97.6 | 156.0 |
| Haryana | 20.8 | 23.5 | 40.7 | 75.6 | 112.8 | 235.8 | 19.8 | 24.7 | 47.5 | 63.7 | 92.9 | 172.0 | 22.3 | 31.8 | 46.9 | 59.1 | 90.9 | 143.2 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 21.3 | 29.1 | 47.3 | 58.6 | 80.5 | 143.6 | 14.9 | 29.8 | 31.7 | 51.4 | 68.8 | 118.1 | 14.4 | 27.6 | 49.5 | 71.9 | 81.1 | 158.2 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 17.9 | 32.4 | 44.8 | 51.4 | 119.9 | 183.3 | 16.0 | 20.0 | 36.9 | 54.0 | 64.3 | 108.5 | 17.4 | 22.3 | 31.3 | 42.3 | 78.2 | 76.4 |
| Jharkhand | 35.9 | 26.2 | 66.8 | 137.4 | 107.4 | 183.7 | 20.4 | 36.1 | 61.9 | 94.3 | 136.0 | 323.8 | 19.4 | 31.9 | 45.7 | 97.9 | 134.6 | 273.7 |
| Karnataka | 21.8 | 32.1 | 57.0 | 88.1 | 107.0 | 188.6 | 18.7 | 33.2 | 48.9 | 68.5 | 116.1 | 201.5 | 21.3 | 31.4 | 60.7 | 64.9 | 105.8 | 222.3 |
| Kerala | 13.9 | 23.0 | 44.9 | 63.0 | 98.6 | 193.7 | 12.0 | 19.8 | 33.1 | 53.8 | 101.9 | 213.7 | 13.4 | 28.0 | 35.1 | 58.0 | 98.6 | 236.2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 25.2 | 38.7 | 61.4 | 109.7 | 108.9 | 268.8 | 18.8 | 34.5 | 61.1 | 107.7 | 130.5 | 209.5 | 19.7 | 32.2 | 48.0 | 69.4 | 113.8 | 252.5 |
| Maharashtra | 20.1 | 28.8 | 46.9 | 76.0 | 113.4 | 173.0 | 14.7 | 24.8 | 42.3 | 70.5 | 99.9 | 203.6 | 18.0 | 27.2 | 36.7 | 58.2 | 98.8 | 151.6 |
| Odisha | 26.9 | 33.6 | 56.5 | 84.7 | 140.5 | 225.9 | 21.1 | 33.6 | 56.6 | 70.0 | 135.9 | 212.1 | 19.3 | 34.5 | 44.2 | 65.2 | 76.6 | 116.7 |
| Punjab | 21.2 | 29.0 | 43.1 | 63.2 | 99.0 | 188.0 | 15.4 | 21.7 | 35.0 | 54.0 | 91.6 | 186.5 | 16.9 | 28.3 | 37.2 | 52.0 | 57.9 | 150.2 |
| Rajasthan | 19.6 | 28.2 | 49.7 | 84.0 | 88.5 | 178.8 | 15.8 | 24.2 | 49.0 | 67.6 | 106.0 | 234.2 | 19.5 | 31.5 | 39.8 | 53.4 | 106.1 | 207.2 |
| Tamil Nadu | 20.5 | 32.3 | 50.7 | 73.1 | 111.3 | 181.0 | 18.6 | 30.3 | 45.9 | 64.5 | 106.4 | 179.8 | 15.9 | 29.0 | 42.7 | 62.8 | 76.4 | 126.6 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 26.0 | 38.0 | 62.6 | 82.4 | 139.8 | 230.0 | 22.9 | 32.1 | 50.4 | 64.8 | 129.1 | 257.5 | 23.2 | 37.1 | 59.4 | 64.2 | 131.5 | 198.2 |
| West Bengal | 20.7 | 36.3 | 61.3 | 80.9 | 120.1 | 195.6 | 18.7 | 32.3 | 53.2 | 86.1 | 132.3 | 245.6 | 18.2 | 30.9 | 42.7 | 71.2 | 103.5 | 170.4 |
| India | 22.5 | 33.5 | 54.3 | 79.4 | 116.9 | 197.4 | 18.4 | 29.7 | 48.8 | 70.7 | 115.1 | 212.7 | 19.5 | 31.3 | 47.5 | 66.0 | 106.5 | 174.7 |

Source: Sample Registration System (SRS), Office of the Registrar General of India
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## Highlights

- Old age dependency ratio gives an idea about the number of persons aged $60+$ per 100 persons in the age group 15-59. An increasing trend is observed in old age dependency ratio. The ratio has increased from $10.9 \%$ in 1961 to $14.2 \%$ in 2011 and further projected to increase to $15.7 \%$ and $20.1 \%$ in 2021 and 2031 respectively. Also, the dependency ratio for females and males has an increasing trend and the projected dependency ratio for female and male is $14.8 \%$ and $16.7 \%$ respectively in 2021 . However, over the periods, there are significant differences in old age dependency ratio for rural and urban areas and this may be due to relatively higher concentration of working age population in urban areas. As per Census 2011, the old-age dependency ratios were 15.1 and 12.4 for rural and urban areas respectively. (Table 4.1)
- Among the major states, the overall old-age dependency ratio, as per Census 2011, varied from $10.4 \%$ in Delhi to $19.6 \%$ in Kerala. However, for the projected figures for year 2021, it varies from $12.7 \%$ in Assam to $26.1 \%$ in Kerala. For the year 2031, the old age dependency ratio varies from $15.6 \%$ in Bihar to $34.3 \%$ in Kerala. (Table 4.2)
- The female old-age dependency ratio as compared to male is significantly high in the States like Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Himachal Pradesh and Punjab for the projected year 2021. (Table 4.2)
- As per NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round: Social Consumption on Health in India conducted during 201718, about 70 per cent of the aged persons had to depend on others for their day-to-day maintenance. The situation of elderly females was not encouraging as only $10 \%$ and $11 \%$ were economically independent in rural and urban areas respectively whereas the elderly males were much better off as corresponding percentage for males were $48 \%$ and $57 \%$ in rural and urban areas respectively. (Table 4.3)
- The proportion of fully dependent elderly in rural as well as in urban areas have decreased from $52 \%$ in 2004 to $47 \%$ in 2017-18. (Table 4.4(a) and 4.4(b))
- It is evident from State-wise (except UTs) data on economic independence that in rural areas, the proportion of elderly males who are fully dependent on others is highest in Assam (42\%) followed by Sikkim (40\%), Gujarat (38\%) and Punjab (34\%) and is lowest in Nagaland (4\%) followed by Delhi (5\%) and Himachal Pradesh (11\%) whereas for females the figure is highest in Delhi ( $100 \%$ ) followed by Meghalaya ( $81 \%$ ), Punjab and West Bengal ( $80 \%$ each) and lowest in Manipur (26\%) followed by Nagaland (35\%). In urban areas, the proportion of fully dependent elderly males is highest in Sikkim (45\%) followed by Goa and Telangana ( $43 \%$ each) and in Nagaland (38\%) whereas for the proportion of fully dependent elderly females is highest in Jammu \& Kashmir (85\%) followed by Sikkim and Telangana ( $84 \%$ each) and is lowest in Arunachal Pradesh (16\%). (Table 4.4(b))
- Among economically dependent elderly men in 2017-18, it has been observed that in both rural and urban areas they were financially supported mainly by their own children followed by their spouses, grand-children and by others. In case of elderly women, more or less similar pattern has been observed. There is no significant change in this direction from that observed in 2004. (Table 4.5)
- It is evident from State-wise (except UTs) data on economic independence that in rural areas, the proportion of elderly females who are fully dependent on spouse is highest in Jammu \& Kashmir ( $40 \%$ ) followed by Haryana (35\%), Mizoram (34\%) and is lowest in Assam (4\%) followed by $8 \%$ each in Delhi, Goa and Manipur whereas for males the figure is highest in Meghalaya (16\%) followed by Andhra Pradesh (13\%) and Manipur (12\%). In urban areas, the proportion of fully dependent elderly females is highest in Rajasthan ( $35 \%$ ) and lowest in Sikkim ( $2 \%$ ). But for males the situation is much better in urban areas as proportion of fully dependent elderly males is highest in Chhattisgarh (19\%) followed by Uttar Pradesh (8\%). (Table 4.6 (c) and 4.6(d))
- Of the economically independent elderly person in rural areas, the proportion of elderly person reported to be having with one or more dependents has decreased from $88 \%$ in 2004 to $83 \%$ in 2017-18 and for urban area, this proportion has decreased from $85 \%$ in 2004 to $79 \%$ in 2017-18. (Table 4.7)
- State-wise (except UTs) data on economic independence tell us that in rural areas, $100 \%$ aged persons were reported to be living with one or more dependents in the states of Delhi, Goa and Manipur whereas in urban areas, the proportion of elderly persons reporting living with one or more dependents is highest in Goa (98\%) followed by Jharkhand (95\%) and Telangana (94\%). (Table 4.8 (c) and 4.8(d))
- It is evident from the table that the proportion of participation in economic activity by the elderly person in the age-group 60-64 years has decreased from $49.3 \%$ in 2011-12 to $40.9 \%$ in 2018-19. Similar trend on participation in economic activity has also been noticed for the age group 65 years and above. (Table 4.9)
- As per the Periodic labour Force Survey (PLFS), 2018-19, about $65 \%$ of elderly men and $18 \%$ of elderly women in the age-group 60-64 years had participated in economic activity. However, there is wider difference in rural and urban areas. In rural areas, $72 \%$ of elderly men and $21 \%$ of elderly women participated in economic activities whereas in urban areas, it was only $51 \%$ among elderly men and $10 \%$ among elderly women. Similarly, in the age group 65 years and above, participation in economic activity by the elderly male and female is seen to be at a much reduced level. (Table 4.9)
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Table 4.1: Old age dependency ratio by sex and residence, India, 1961-2031

| Year | All | Male | Female | Rural | Urban |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | 10.9 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 8.7 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 8.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}^{*}$ | 12.0 | 11.8 | 12.2 | 13 | 9.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}^{* *}$ | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 9.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}^{*}+$ | 13.1 | 12.5 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 10.8 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 14.2 | 13.6 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 12.4 |
| 2021(Projections) $^{\#}$ | 15.7 | 14.8 | 16.7 |  |  |
| 2031(Projections) ${ }^{\#}$ | 20.1 | 18.8 | 21.5 |  |  |

Source: Office of the Registrar General, India.

* Excludes figures for Assam in 1981 where the census was not conducted.
** Excludes Jammu \& Kashmir where the census 1991 was not conducted.
*+ Excludes 3 Sub-divisions of Senapati district of Manipur.
"Estimated from Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections, November 2019.

Table 4.2: Old age dependency ratio by sex and residence in major states

| States | 2001 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2021(Projections) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |  |  | 2031(Projections) ${ }^{\#}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Male | Female | All | Male | Female | All | Male | Female | All | Male | Female |
| Andhra Pradesh | 12.6 | 11.9 | 13.4 | 15.4 | 14.5 | 16.3 | 18.5 | 17.1 | 19.9 | 24.7 | 22.9 | 26.4 |
| Assam | 10.3 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 18.0 |
| Bihar | 13.0 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 15.9 |
| Chhattisgarh | 13.0 | 11.8 | 14.2 | 13.1 | 12 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 12.5 | 15.2 | 18.1 | 16.5 | 19.7 |
| Delhi | 8.4 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 13.4 | 13.0 | 13.9 | 18.2 | 17.8 | 18.7 |
| Gujarat | 11.5 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 11.3 | 14.0 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 17.4 | 20.9 | 18.8 | 23.3 |
| Haryana | 13.3 | 12.4 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 13.2 | 15.1 | 15.0 | 13.7 | 16.6 | 18.5 | 16.8 | 20.5 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 15.1 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 15.5 | 16.6 | 19.6 | 18.1 | 21.2 | 26.0 | 23.2 | 29.0 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 11.6 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 14.8 | 19.3 | 18.3 | 20.3 |
| Jharkhand | 10.8 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 13.9 | 16.8 | 16.3 | 17.2 |
| Karnataka | 12.7 | 11.8 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 13.8 | 15.8 | 17.2 | 16.1 | 18.4 | 22.6 | 21.1 | 24.0 |
| Kerala | 16.5 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 19.6 | 18.6 | 20.6 | 26.1 | 24.3 | 27.8 | 34.3 | 30.1 | 38.4 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 13.1 | 12.2 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 12.8 | 14.5 | 17.4 | 16.3 | 18.6 |
| Maharashtra | 14.8 | 13.0 | 16.7 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 17.2 | 17.5 | 16.1 | 19.1 | 22.4 | 20.6 | 24.3 |
| Odisha | 14.1 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 18.1 | 24.8 | 26.0 | 23.8 |
| Punjab | 15.2 | 14.5 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 16.8 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 20.1 | 24.3 | 22.4 | 26.5 |
| Rajasthan | 12.8 | 11.7 | 13.9 | 13.0 | 11.9 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 15.3 | 17.4 | 15.5 | 19.4 |
| Tamil Nadu | 13.9 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 16.1 | 20.5 | 19.7 | 21.3 | 28.0 | 26.3 | 29.7 |
| Telangana |  |  |  | 14.5 | 13.7 | 15.2 | 16.3 | 15.1 | 17.6 | 21.5 | 20.1 | 23.0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 13.6 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 13.9 | 15.8 | 14.7 | 17.1 |
| Uttarakhand | 13.8 | 13.7 | 14.0 | 14.9 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 14.8 | 17.6 | 19.8 | 17.7 | 22.2 |
| West Bengal | 11.9 | 11.1 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 13.7 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 23.5 | 23.3 | 23.8 |
| North-East States (Excluding Assam) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 18.9 | 18.5 | 19.2 |

Source: Office of the Registrar General, India
\#Estimated from Population Projections for India and States 2011-2036, Report of the Technical Group on Population Projections, November 2019.

Table 4.3: Percentage distribution of elderly population by state of economic independence

| Population | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Survey } \\ \text { (year) } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Elderly Persons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sub-group |  | not dependent on others | partially dependent on others | fully dependent on others | Total |
| Rural male | 2017-18 | 48 | 25 | 27 | 100 |
|  | 2004 | 51 | 15 | 32 | 100 |
|  | 1995-96 | 49 | 18 | 31 | 100 |
| Rural female | 2017-18 | 10 | 24 | 66 | 100 |
|  | 2004 | 14 | 12 | 72 | 100 |
|  | 1995-96 | 12 | 15 | 71 | 100 |
| Rural person | 2017-18 | 28 | 25 | 47 | 100 |
|  | 2004 | 33 | 14 | 52 | 100 |
|  | 1995-96 | 30 | 16 | 51 | 100 |
| Urban male | 2017-18 | 57 | 19 | 24 | 100 |
|  | 2004 | 56 | 13 | 30 | 100 |
|  | 1995-96 | 52 | 17 | 30 | 100 |
| Urban female | 2017-18 | 11 | 21 | 68 | 100 |
|  | 2004 | 17 | 10 | 72 | 100 |
|  | 1995-96 | 12 | 11 | 76 | 100 |
| Urban person | 2017-18 | 33 | 20 | 47 | 100 |
|  | 2004 | 36 | 11 | 52 | 100 |
|  | 1995-96 | 31 | 14 | 53 | 100 |

Source: NSS $52^{\text {nd }}$ Round (July 1995-June 1996): The Aged in India-A Socio-Economic Profile; NSS $60^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged; NSS, $75^{\text {th }}$ Round, (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 4.4(a): Percentage distribution of persons aged 60 years \& above by state of economic independence, 2004

| States | Place of residence | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Persons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array}$ | Partially dependent on others | Fully dependent on others | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array}$ | Partially dependent on others | Fully dependent on others | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array}$ | Partially dependent on others | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Fully } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array}$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | Rural | 49 | 11 | 39 | 15 | 11 | 73 | 31 | 11 | 57 |
|  | Urban | 57 | 10 | 33 | 25 | 9 | 65 | 40 | 10 | 50 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Rural | 32 | 38 | 6 | 11 | 40 | 35 | 22 | 39 | 19 |
|  | Urban | 31 | 32 | 27 | 14 | 15 | 66 | 24 | 25 | 43 |
| Assam | Rural | 44 | 24 | 28 | 8 | 5 | 81 | 29 | 16 | 50 |
|  | Urban | 56 | 15 | 29 | 23 | 3 | 67 | 41 | 10 | 46 |
| Bihar | Rural | 58 | 16 | 25 | 17 | 12 | 70 | 40 | 14 | 45 |
|  | Urban | 44 | 12 | 38 | 16 | 7 | 73 | 32 | 10 | 53 |
| Chhattisgarh | Rural | 54 | 10 | 33 | 26 | 11 | 61 | 37 | 10 | 49 |
|  | Urban | 59 | 17 | 24 | 23 | 10 | 66 | 40 | 13 | 47 |
| Delhi | Rural | 41 | 26 | 34 | 0 | 29 | 71 | 21 | 27 | 52 |
|  | Urban | 61 | 8 | 30 | 17 | 8 | 73 | 40 | 8 | 51 |
| Goa | Rural | 83 | 9 | 8 | 26 | 12 | 62 | 52 | 11 | 37 |
|  | Urban | 38 | 35 | 27 | 4 | 35 | 61 | 20 | 35 | 45 |
| Gujarat | Rural | 49 | 15 | 35 | 13 | 10 | 77 | 31 | 12 | 56 |
|  | Urban | 53 | 11 | 36 | 12 | 9 | 78 | 31 | 10 | 58 |
| Haryana | Rural | 38 | 38 | 24 | 13 | 43 | 44 | 25 | 40 | 34 |
|  | Urban | 49 | 20 | 31 | 17 | 29 | 50 | 31 | 25 | 42 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Rural | 58 | 18 | 22 | 18 | 15 | 64 | 38 | 17 | 43 |
|  | Urban | 72 | 8 | 20 | 30 | 14 | 55 | 50 | 11 | 38 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jammu \& } \\ & \text { Kashmir } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Rural | 65 | 12 | 21 | 11 | 13 | 76 | 43 | 12 | 43 |
|  | Urban | 62 | 8 | 29 | 11 | 5 | 83 | 39 | 7 | 53 |
| Jharkhand | Rural | 55 | 17 | 27 | 17 | 11 | 71 | 39 | 14 | 45 |
|  | Urban | 50 | 22 | 28 | 12 | 7 | 78 | 32 | 14 | 52 |
| Karnataka | Rural | 54 | 14 | 32 | 15 | 11 | 73 | 35 | 13 | 52 |
|  | Urban | 55 | 10 | 35 | 14 | 7 | 79 | 34 | 8 | 57 |
| Kerala | Rural | 36 | 20 | 43 | 10 | 18 | 70 | 22 | 19 | 58 |
|  | Urban | 47 | 18 | 35 | 19 | 16 | 64 | 32 | 17 | 50 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Rural | 58 | 11 | 30 | 15 | 12 | 70 | 36 | 11 | 50 |
|  | Urban | 64 | 7 | 28 | 18 | 12 | 67 | 40 | 10 | 48 |
| Maharashtra | Rural | 49 | 17 | 34 | 18 | 13 | 68 | 33 | 15 | 52 |
|  | Urban | 50 | 20 | 29 | 19 | 7 | 74 | 34 | 13 | 52 |
| Manipur | Rural | 28 | 30 | 23 | 9 | 29 | 49 | 20 | 30 | 34 |
|  | Urban | 38 | 35 | 17 | 8 | 16 | 62 | 24 | 26 | 39 |
| Meghalaya | Rural | 58 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 23 | 54 | 38 | 21 | 37 |
|  | Urban | 91 | 5 | 5 | 23 | 12 | 63 | 55 | 9 | 36 |
| Mizoram | Rural | 57 | 21 | 14 | 12 | 20 | 53 | 39 | 20 | 29 |
|  | Urban | 51 | 22 | 14 | 19 | 17 | 58 | 37 | 20 | 32 |
| Nagaland | Rural | 49 | 32 | 16 | 23 | 44 | 33 | 42 | 35 | 21 |
|  | Urban | 73 | 26 | 1 | 41 | 11 | 48 | 70 | 24 | 6 |
| Odisha | Rural | 45 | 21 | 32 | 8 | 12 | 77 | 27 | 17 | 54 |
|  | Urban | 50 | 15 | 33 | 6 | 10 | 80 | 31 | 13 | 54 |
| Punjab | Rural | 46 | 17 | 36 | 10 | 19 | 71 | 28 | 18 | 53 |
|  | Urban | 50 | 14 | 34 | 12 | 6 | 81 | 32 | 11 | 56 |
| Rajasthan | Rural | 47 | 15 | 38 | 10 | 13 | 78 | 28 | 14 | 58 |
|  | Urban | 55 | 14 | 31 | 13 | 8 | 79 | 34 | 11 | 55 |
| Sikkim | Rural | 61 | 21 | 18 | 11 | 19 | 62 | 37 | 20 | 39 |
|  | Urban | 76 | 9 | 15 | 36 | 26 | 38 | 60 | 16 | 24 |
| Tamil Nadu | Rural | 49 | 16 | 36 | 19 | 17 | 64 | 34 | 16 | 50 |
|  | Urban | 54 | 14 | 32 | 19 | 12 | 69 | 36 | 13 | 51 |
| Tripura | Rural | 46 | 17 | 35 | 9 | 8 | 82 | 30 | 13 | 55 |
|  | Urban | 53 | 18 | 30 | 24 | 7 | 69 | 38 | 12 | 50 |
| Uttarakhand | Rural | 62 | 5 | 28 | 35 | 5 | 59 | 50 | 5 | 42 |
|  | Urban | 83 | 6 | 11 | 19 | 7 | 71 | 47 | 7 | 45 |


| States | Place of residence | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Persons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Not } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Partially dependent on others | Fully dependent on others | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Not } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Partially dependent on others | Fully dependent on others | Not dependent on others | Partially dependent on others | Fully dependent on others |
| Uttar Pradesh | Rural | 60 | 10 | 28 | 13 | 8 | 77 | 37 | 9 | 52 |
|  | Urban | 60 | 10 | 29 | 14 | 8 | 77 | 36 | 9 | 53 |
| West Bengal | Rural | 46 | 18 | 33 | 6 | 8 | 82 | 26 | 13 | 58 |
|  | Urban | 66 | 10 | 23 | 18 | 8 | 72 | 43 | 9 | 47 |
| A \& N Islands | Rural | 84 | 3 | 12 | 46 | 10 | 28 | 71 | 6 | 18 |
|  | Urban | 86 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 5 | 77 | 55 | 2 | 41 |
| Chandigarh | Rural | 98 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 15 | 60 | 59 | 9 | 32 |
|  | Urban | 88 | 8 | 4 | 40 | 2 | 56 | 68 | 6 | 26 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | Rural | 17 | 54 | 30 | 12 | 33 | 55 | 15 | 47 | 38 |
|  | Urban | 35 | 23 | 42 | 0 | 13 | 87 | 18 | 19 | 63 |
| Daman \& Diu | Rural | 74 | 26 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 75 | 37 | 6 | 57 |
|  | Urban | 27 | 36 | 37 | 6 | 3 | 88 | 14 | 15 | 68 |
| Lakshadweep | Rural | 30 | 14 | 56 | 18 | 0 | 82 | 24 | 6 | 70 |
|  | Urban | 9 | 63 | 28 | 7 | 39 | 54 | 8 | 50 | 42 |
| Puducherry | Rural | 70 | 5 | 25 | 44 | 32 | 24 | 57 | 18 | 25 |
|  | Urban | 46 | 13 | 41 | 10 | 17 | 72 | 23 | 16 | 61 |
| India | Rural | 51 | 15 | 32 | 14 | 12 | 72 | 33 | 14 | 52 |
|  | Urban | 56 | 13 | 30 | 17 | 10 | 72 | 36 | 11 | 52 |

Source: NSS $60^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged.

Table 4.4 (b): Percentage distribution of persons aged 60 years \& above by state of economic independence, 2017-18

| States | Place of residence | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Persons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array}$ | Partially dependent on others | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Fully } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array}$ | Partially dependent on others | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Fully } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Partially dependent on others | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Fully } \\ \text { dependent } \\ \text { on others } \end{array}$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | Rural | 43 | 29 | 28 | 14 | 27 | 59 | 27 | 28 | 44 |
|  | Urban | 59 | 15 | 26 | 17 | 23 | 60 | 37 | 19 | 43 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Rural | 28 | 41 | 31 | 12 | 42 | 46 | 20 | 42 | 38 |
|  | Urban | 69 | 16 | 16 | 28 | 56 | 16 | 52 | 32 | 16 |
| Assam | Rural | 28 | 30 | 42 | 8 | 15 | 77 | 19 | 23 | 57 |
|  | Urban | 47 | 29 | 24 | 9 | 26 | 65 | 26 | 28 | 46 |
| Bihar | Rural | 49 | 27 | 23 | 4 | 22 | 73 | 27 | 24 | 47 |
|  | Urban | 54 | 20 | 26 | 10 | 16 | 74 | 34 | 18 | 48 |
| Chhattisgarh | Rural | 43 | 30 | 27 | 13 | 32 | 55 | 28 | 31 | 41 |
|  | Urban | 46 | 16 | 38 | 10 | 16 | 74 | 28 | 16 | 56 |
| Delhi | Rural | 76 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 70 | 18 | 12 |
|  | Urban | 62 | 24 | 14 | 6 | 28 | 66 | 35 | 26 | 39 |
| Goa | Rural | 37 | 44 | 20 | 1 | 53 | 47 | 19 | 48 | 33 |
|  | Urban | 33 | 24 | 43 | 2 | 43 | 56 | 19 | 32 | 49 |
| Gujarat | Rural | 42 | 20 | 38 | 9 | 24 | 67 | 24 | 22 | 54 |
|  | Urban | 52 | 18 | 30 | 8 | 14 | 78 | 30 | 16 | 54 |
| Haryana | Rural | 57 | 21 | 22 | 7 | 51 | 42 | 34 | 35 | 31 |
|  | Urban | 58 | 19 | 23 | 24 | 34 | 41 | 41 | 27 | 32 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Rural | 59 | 29 | 11 | 16 | 36 | 47 | 36 | 33 | 31 |
|  | Urban | 82 | 14 | 3 | 13 | 26 | 62 | 43 | 21 | 36 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | Rural | 55 | 28 | 17 | 3 | 18 | 79 | 31 | 23 | 46 |
|  | Urban | 68 | 10 | 22 | 2 | 14 | 85 | 40 | 12 | 49 |
| Jharkhand | Rural | 33 | 44 | 23 | 7 | 21 | 71 | 20 | 32 | 47 |
|  | Urban | 50 | 22 | 28 | 4 | 31 | 66 | 27 | 26 | 47 |
| Karnataka | Rural | 50 | 28 | 22 | 10 | 32 | 59 | 27 | 30 | 43 |
|  | Urban | 63 | 13 | 24 | 10 | 19 | 71 | 37 | 16 | 47 |
| Kerala | Rural | 49 | 26 | 25 | 7 | 32 | 61 | 27 | 29 | 44 |
|  | Urban | 51 | 29 | 20 | 13 | 37 | 50 | 31 | 34 | 36 |
| Madhya Pradesh | Rural | 53 | 24 | 23 | 11 | 23 | 67 | 33 | 23 | 44 |
|  | Urban | 65 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 22 | 64 | 40 | 21 | 40 |
| Maharashtra | Rural | 48 | 25 | 26 | 15 | 25 | 61 | 30 | 25 | 45 |
|  | Urban | 50 | 19 | 31 | 7 | 15 | 79 | 27 | 17 | 57 |
| Manipur | Rural | 37 | 40 | 24 | 19 | 55 | 26 | 29 | 46 | 25 |
|  | Urban | 46 | 40 | 14 | 18 | 34 | 48 | 32 | 37 | 31 |
| Meghalaya | Rural | 49 | 18 | 33 | 0 | 19 | 81 | 30 | 18 | 52 |
|  | Urban | 74 | 9 | 18 | 40 | 8 | 53 | 52 | 8 | 39 |
| Mizoram | Rural | 63 | 21 | 16 | 28 | 23 | 49 | 45 | 22 | 33 |
|  | Urban | 58 | 13 | 29 | 32 | 24 | 43 | 45 | 19 | 36 |
| Nagaland | Rural | 53 | 43 | 4 | 5 | 60 | 35 | 37 | 49 | 14 |
|  | Urban | 38 | 24 | 38 | 3 | 28 | 69 | 26 | 25 | 49 |
| Odisha | Rural | 42 | 32 | 26 | 9 | 22 | 69 | 26 | 27 | 47 |
|  | Urban | 50 | 22 | 28 | 7 | 22 | 71 | 29 | 22 | 49 |
| Punjab | Rural | 46 | 20 | 34 | 4 | 16 | 80 | 25 | 18 | 57 |
|  | Urban | 53 | 21 | 27 | 3 | 17 | 80 | 27 | 19 | 54 |
| Rajasthan | Rural | 48 | 21 | 31 | 8 | 23 | 68 | 27 | 22 | 50 |
|  | Urban | 62 | 17 | 21 | 10 | 18 | 72 | 34 | 18 | 48 |
| Sikkim | Rural | 32 | 29 | 40 | 11 | 50 | 39 | 22 | 39 | 40 |
|  | Urban | 47 | 8 | 45 | 0 | 16 | 84 | 27 | 11 | 62 |
| Tamil Nadu | Rural | 51 | 20 | 30 | 13 | 21 | 66 | 31 | 20 | 48 |
|  | Urban | 60 | 21 | 19 | 13 | 33 | 54 | 33 | 28 | 39 |
| Telangana | Rural | 53 | 33 | 14 | 24 | 29 | 48 | 38 | 31 | 31 |
|  | Urban | 47 | 10 | 43 | 2 | 14 | 84 | 28 | 11 | 61 |
| Tripura | Rural | 58 | 24 | 18 | 9 | 18 | 73 | 37 | 21 | 42 |
|  | Urban | 69 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 11 | 70 | 46 | 12 | 42 |
| Uttarakhand | Rural | 65 | 20 | 15 | 6 | 24 | 69 | 34 | 22 | 43 |


| States | Place of residence | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Persons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not dependent on others | Partially dependent on others | Fully dependent on others | Not dependent on others | Partially dependent on others | Fully dependent on others | Not dependent on others | Partially dependent on others | Fully dependent on others |
|  | Urban | 68 | 8 | 24 | 3 | 33 | 64 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Uttar Pradesh | Rural | 48 | 25 | 27 | 7 | 23 | 71 | 27 | 24 | 49 |
|  | Urban | 65 | 16 | 18 | 9 | 12 | 79 | 37 | 14 | 49 |
| West Bengal | Rural | 54 | 17 | 30 | 6 | 14 | 80 | 31 | 15 | 53 |
|  | Urban | 62 | 14 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 75 | 40 | 11 | 49 |
| A \& N Islands | Rural | 70 | 15 | 15 | 45 | 38 | 17 | 58 | 26 | 16 |
|  | Urban | 73 | 25 | 2 | 42 | 17 | 41 | 55 | 21 | 24 |
| Chandigarh | Rural | 12 | 0 | 88 | 77 | 0 | 23 | 66 | 0 | 34 |
|  | Urban | 74 | 4 | 23 | 11 | 19 | 71 | 43 | 11 | 46 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | Rural | 8 | 92 | 0 | 6 | 86 | 7 | 7 | 89 | 4 |
|  | Urban | 27 | 63 | 10 | 7 | 52 | 41 | 13 | 56 | 31 |
| Daman \& Diu | Rural | 1 | 2 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 99 |
|  | Urban | 15 | 48 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 24 | 69 |
| Lakshadweep | Rural | 47 | 31 | 22 | 0 | 11 | 89 | 17 | 18 | 66 |
|  | Urban | 76 | 5 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 99 | 36 | 3 | 61 |
| Puducherry | Rural | 31 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 18 | 81 | 0 |
|  | Urban | 39 | 50 | 11 | 26 | 61 | 13 | 32 | 56 | 12 |
| India | Rural | 48 | 25 | 27 | 10 | 24 | 66 | 28 | 25 | 47 |
|  | Urban | 57 | 19 | 24 | 11 | 21 | 68 | 33 | 20 | 47 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 4.5: Percentage distribution of economically dependent aged persons by category of persons supporting the aged

| Year | Population subgroup |  | Category of persons supporting |  |  |  | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | spouse | own children | grand | others |  |
| 2004 | Rural | male | 7 | 85 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
|  |  | female | 16 | 75 | 3 | 6 | 100 |
|  |  | person | 13 | 78 | 3 | 6 | 100 |
|  | Urban | male | 6 | 87 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
|  |  | female | 19 | 71 | 3 | 7 | 100 |
|  |  | person | 15 | 76 | 3 | 6 | 100 |
| 2017-18 | Rural | male | 4 | 92 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
|  |  | female | 21 | 72 | 3 | 5 | 100 |
|  |  | person | 15 | 79 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
|  | Urban | male | 4 | 91 | 1 | 4 | 100 |
|  |  | female | 24 | 70 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
|  |  | person | 18 | 76 | 2 | 4 | 100 |

Source: NSS $60^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged; NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 4.6(a): Percentage distribution of economically dependent aged persons by category of persons financially supporting the aged person for each State/UT, 2004

Rural

| State/UT/allIndia | percentage distribution of economically dependent aged persons financially supported by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | spouse |  | grandchildren | others | all | spouse | $\begin{gathered} \text { own } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ | grand- children | others | all | spouse | own children | grandchildren | others | All |
| Andhra Pradesh | 10 | 84 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 8 | 80 | 3 | 9 | 100 | 9 | 81 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 87 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 3 | 87 | 0 | 9 | 100 | 4 | 87 | 0 | 9 | 100 |
| Assam | 1 | 95 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 6 | 87 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 4 | 91 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| Bihar | 9 | 85 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 23 | 71 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 18 | 76 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 11 | 71 | 4 | 15 | 100 | 13 | 68 | 4 | 15 | 100 | 12 | 69 | 4 | 15 | 100 |
| Delhi | 5 | 81 | 1 | 14 | 100 | 28 | 58 | 5 | 9 | 100 | 19 | 67 | 4 | 11 | 100 |
| Goa | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 41 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 35 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 2 | 93 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 14 | 79 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 10 | 84 | 3 | 4 | 100 |
| Haryana | 1 | 92 | 0 | 7 | 100 | 17 | 77 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 10 | 83 | 1 | 6 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 3 | 86 | 2 | 9 | 100 | 18 | 75 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 13 | 79 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 2 | 85 | 3 | 10 | 100 | 23 | 73 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 16 | 77 | 3 | 4 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 6 | 86 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 15 | 77 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 11 | 81 | 6 | 3 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 13 | 79 | 3 | 6 | 100 | 9 | 80 | 4 | 8 | 100 | 10 | 79 | 3 | 7 | 100 |
| Kerala | 8 | 86 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 9 | 83 | 3 | 6 | 100 | 9 | 84 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 5 | 83 | 6 | 6 | 100 | 22 | 68 | 3 | 8 | 100 | 16 | 73 | 4 | 7 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 9 | 86 | 1 | 4 | 100 | 14 | 75 | 2 | 10 | 100 | 12 | 79 | 2 | 7 | 100 |
| Manipur | 15 | 75 | 7 | 3 | 100 | 8 | 78 | 5 | 9 | 100 | 12 | 77 | 6 | 6 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 4 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 89 | 0 | 9 | 100 | 3 | 92 | 0 | 6 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 22 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 29 | 67 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 26 | 72 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 7 | 83 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 83 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 13 | 79 | 3 | 6 | 100 | 15 | 75 | 4 | 6 | 100 | 14 | 76 | 4 | 6 | 100 |
| Punjab | 9 | 86 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 26 | 70 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 19 | 76 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 3 | 86 | 3 | 8 | 100 | 20 | 73 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 14 | 77 | 4 | 5 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 3 | 89 | 4 | 5 | 100 | 33 | 54 | 7 | 6 | 100 | 23 | 65 | 6 | 6 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 10 | 87 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 11 | 79 | 3 | 7 | 100 | 11 | 82 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| Tripura | 2 | 91 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 10 | 80 | 7 | 4 | 100 | 6 | 85 | 5 | 4 | 100 |
| ttarakhand | 10 | 84 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 21 | 75 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 16 | 79 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 3 | 84 | 1 | 12 | 100 | 24 | 67 | 3 | 7 | 100 | 17 | 72 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 7 | 87 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 11 | 79 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 10 | 82 | 4 | 5 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 36 | 62 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 30 | 60 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 32 | 61 | 4 | 3 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 44 | 100 | 30 | 27 | 0 | 43 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0 | 85 | 0 | 15 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 10 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 66 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 73 | 0 | 12 | 100 | 20 | 69 | 0 | 11 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 77 | 0 | 23 | 100 | 2 | 75 | 9 | 14 | 100 | 1 | 76 | 5 | 18 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 100 |
| All-India | 7 | 85 | 2 | 6 | 100 | 16 | 75 | 3 | 6 | 100 | 13 | 78 | 3 | 6 | 100 |

Source: NSS $60^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged

Table 4.6(b): Percentage distribution of economically dependent aged persons by category of persons financially supporting the aged person for each State/UT, 2004

Urban

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { State/UT/all- } \\ & \text { India } \end{aligned}$ | percentage distribution of economically dependent aged persons financially supported by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | spouse | own children | grandchildren | others | all | spouse | own children | grandchildren | others | all | spouse | own children | grandchildren | others | All |
| Andhra Pradesh | 5 | 88 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 18 | 75 | 2 | 6 | 100 | 13 | 79 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0 | 86 | 0 | 15 | 100 | 1 | 78 | 5 | 16 | 100 | 1 | 82 | 2 | 15 | 100 |
| Assam | 15 | 83 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 15 | 83 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 15 | 83 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Bihar | 5 | 91 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 31 | 66 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 19 | 78 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 22 | 72 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 66 | 5 | 6 | 100 | 23 | 68 | 5 | 4 | 100 |
| Delhi | 8 | 84 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 29 | 56 | 11 | 3 | 100 | 22 | 65 | 10 | 2 | 100 |
| Goa | 9 | 66 | 0 | 26 | 100 | 12 | 77 | 1 | 11 | 100 | 11 | 73 | 0 | 16 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 5 | 90 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 19 | 75 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 15 | 80 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Haryana | 9 | 88 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 15 | 78 | 1 | 5 | 100 | 13 | 82 | 1 | 4 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 0 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 23 | 73 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 17 | 79 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 0 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 100 | 23 | 75 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 15 | 81 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 4 | 95 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 21 | 72 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 15 | 81 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 7 | 85 | 3 | 6 | 100 | 13 | 76 | 4 | 7 | 100 | 11 | 79 | 4 | 6 | 100 |
| Kerala | 7 | 81 | 0 | 12 | 100 | 10 | 77 | 2 | 12 | 100 | 9 | 78 | 1 | 12 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 4 | 85 | 2 | 8 | 100 | 25 | 66 | 3 | 7 | 100 | 19 | 72 | 3 | 7 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 2 | 93 | 1 | 4 | 100 | 15 | 75 | 2 | 7 | 100 | 10 | 82 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
| Manipur | 29 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 73 | 1 | 9 | 100 | 22 | 72 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 11 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 88 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 9 | 88 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 4 | 88 | 0 | 9 | 100 | 17 | 80 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 11 | 83 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 62 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 7 | 81 | 4 | 8 | 100 | 14 | 80 | 1 | 5 | 100 | 11 | 80 | 3 | 6 | 100 |
| Punjab | 17 | 77 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 29 | 66 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 24 | 70 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 3 | 93 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 19 | 73 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 14 | 80 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 5 | 86 | 3 | 7 | 100 | 15 | 74 | 3 | 8 | 100 | 12 | 78 | 3 | 8 | 100 |
| Tripura | 4 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 88 | 2 | 8 | 100 | 3 | 91 | 1 | 5 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 0 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 100 | 41 | 52 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 35 | 58 | 6 | 1 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 8 | 82 | 2 | 8 | 100 | 24 | 64 | 5 | 8 | 100 | 19 | 69 | 4 | 8 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 9 | 81 | 1 | 9 | 100 | 22 | 65 | 3 | 10 | 100 | 18 | 70 | 2 | 10 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 52 | 30 | 0 | 18 | 100 | 45 | 40 | 0 | 16 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 0 | 94 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 44 | 46 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 35 | 56 | 1 | 8 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 66 | 3 | 9 | 100 | 13 | 80 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 13 | 78 | 0 | 9 | 100 | 6 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 89 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 89 | 0 | 12 | 100 | 3 | 81 | 0 | 16 | 100 | 2 | 84 | 0 | 14 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 6 | 84 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 6 | 80 | 4 | 11 | 100 | 6 | 81 | 3 | 11 | 100 |
| All-India | 6 | 87 | 2 | 6 | 100 | 19 | 71 | 3 | 7 | 100 | 15 | 76 | 3 | 6 | 100 |

Source: NSS $60^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged

Table 4.6 (c): Percentage distribution of economically dependent aged persons by category of persons financially supporting the aged person for each State/UT, 2017-18

Rural

| State/UT/allIndia | percentage distribution of economically dependent aged persons financially supported by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | spouse | own <br> children | grand- <br> children | others | all | spouse | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { own } \\ \text { children } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { grand- } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ | others | all | spouse | own <br> children | grand- children | others | All |
| Andhra Pradesh | 13 | 86 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 12 | 80 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 12 | 82 | 1 | 4 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | 95 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 12 | 78 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 8 | 86 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Assam | 3 | 94 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 86 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 3 | 90 | 4 | 2 | 100 |
| Bihar | 3 | 95 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 26 | 62 | 2 | 10 | 100 | 18 | 73 | 2 | 7 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 2 | 95 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 15 | 73 | 6 | 6 | 100 | 10 | 82 | 4 | 5 | 100 |
| Delhi | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 2 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 1 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 26 | 70 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 17 | 79 | 1 | 2 | 100 |
| Haryana | 6 | 92 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 35 | 56 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 25 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1 | 89 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 31 | 59 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 22 | 68 | 6 | 4 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 0 | 95 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 40 | 55 | 0 | 5 | 100 | 26 | 69 | 1 | 4 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 3 | 90 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 16 | 80 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 11 | 84 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 6 | 91 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 15 | 80 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 12 | 83 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| Kerala | 4 | 92 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 21 | 71 | 2 | 7 | 100 | 15 | 78 | 1 | 6 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 5 | 92 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 29 | 69 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 20 | 78 | 2 | 1 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 6 | 90 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 18 | 74 | 3 | 5 | 100 | 14 | 79 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| Manipur | 12 | 70 | 7 | 11 | 100 | 8 | 86 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 10 | 78 | 5 | 7 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 16 | 77 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 28 | 57 | 15 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 66 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 34 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 1 | 95 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 14 | 82 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 7 | 88 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
| Odisha | 6 | 91 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 17 | 71 | 3 | 9 | 100 | 12 | 79 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
| Punjab | 5 | 90 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 26 | 70 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 18 | 77 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 1 | 91 | 2 | 7 | 100 | 22 | 71 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 14 | 78 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 74 | 0 | 15 | 100 | 6 | 86 | 0 | 8 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 2 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 17 | 77 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 12 | 84 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Telangana | 1 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 68 | 8 | 8 | 100 | 11 | 79 | 5 | 5 | 100 |
| Tripura | 5 | 94 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 19 | 75 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 14 | 82 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 0 | 88 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 25 | 73 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 18 | 77 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 1 | 91 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 29 | 64 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 19 | 74 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 3 | 90 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 15 | 78 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 10 | 82 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 0 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 5 | 92 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 3 | 94 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  <br> Nagar Haveli | 37 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 27 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 3 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 51 | 40 | 8 | 1 | 100 | 35 | 59 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 100 | 22 | 69 | 0 | 9 | 100 | 17 | 74 | 0 | 9 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 0 | 90 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| All-India | 4 | 92 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 21 | 72 | 3 | 5 | 100 | 15 | 79 | 2 | 4 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round, (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 4.6 (d): Percentage distribution of economically dependent aged persons by category of persons financially supporting the aged person for each State/UT, 2017-18

Urban

| State/UT/allIndia | percentage distribution of economically dependent aged persons financially supported by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | spouse | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { own } \\ \text { children } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { grand- } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ | others | all | spouse | own children | grandchildren | others | all | spouse | own children | grandchildren | others | all |
| Andhra Pradesh | 2 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 13 | 76 | 2 | 8 | 100 | 10 | 82 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 1 | 92 | 0 | 7 | 100 | 6 | 89 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 90 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Assam | 6 | 80 | 0 | 14 | 100 | 16 | 74 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 13 | 76 | 0 | 11 | 100 |
| Bihar | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 86 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 5 | 91 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 19 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 75 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 21 | 77 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Delhi | 0 | 96 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 28 | 70 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 20 | 78 | 1 | 2 | 100 |
| Goa | 1 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 88 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 6 | 93 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 5 | 92 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 27 | 69 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 20 | 77 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Haryana | 2 | 91 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 30 | 65 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 20 | 74 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1 | 66 | 25 | 8 | 100 | 31 | 63 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 27 | 64 | 7 | 2 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 2 | 96 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 25 | 66 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 18 | 75 | 4 | 3 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 6 | 86 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 30 | 63 | 1 | 7 | 100 | 21 | 71 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 1 | 87 | 2 | 10 | 100 | 21 | 71 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 15 | 76 | 4 | 5 | 100 |
| Kerala | 1 | 93 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 20 | 74 | 1 | 5 | 100 | 14 | 80 | 1 | 5 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 4 | 93 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 28 | 68 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 21 | 76 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 4 | 89 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 22 | 72 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 17 | 77 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| Manipur | 3 | 93 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 20 | 74 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 13 | 82 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 3 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 0 | 91 | 1 | 7 | 100 | 22 | 71 | 1 | 5 | 100 | 15 | 79 | 1 | 6 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 87 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 5 | 94 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Odisha | 1 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 17 | 78 | 0 | 5 | 100 | 11 | 84 | 1 | 4 | 100 |
| Punjab | 5 | 92 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 31 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 4 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 35 | 61 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 27 | 70 | 1 | 2 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 0 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 2 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 98 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 4 | 90 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 25 | 67 | 4 | 4 | 100 | 20 | 73 | 3 | 4 | 100 |
| Telangana | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 80 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 10 | 88 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Tripura | 2 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 33 | 61 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 24 | 72 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 52 | 7 | 30 | 100 | 8 | 65 | 5 | 22 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 8 | 89 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 29 | 68 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 23 | 73 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 5 | 89 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 27 | 65 | 1 | 8 | 100 | 20 | 72 | 1 | 7 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 0 | 96 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 42 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 31 | 67 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 0 | 91 | 2 | 8 | 100 | 37 | 52 | 0 | 11 | 100 | 28 | 61 | 0 | 10 | 100 |
|  <br> Nagar Haveli | 0 | 72 | 0 | 28 | 100 | 7 | 49 | 12 | 32 | 100 | 5 | 55 | 9 | 31 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 49 | 0 | 51 | 100 | 7 | 63 | 17 | 13 | 100 | 6 | 60 | 14 | 20 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 1 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| All-India | 4 | 91 | 1 | 4 | 100 | 24 | 70 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 18 | 76 | 2 | 4 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 4.7: Percentage distribution of economically independent aged persons by number of dependants

| Year | Population subgroup |  | Number of dependants |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nil | 1 | 2 | 3 to 5 | 6 or more |  |
| 2004 | Rural | Male | 7 | 32 | 23 | 25 | 13 | 100 |
|  |  | Female | 32 | 18 | 37 | 10 | 4 | 100 |
|  |  | Person | 12 | 29 | 26 | 22 | 11 | 100 |
|  | Urban | Male | 8 | 40 | 26 | 21 | 6 | 100 |
|  |  | Female | 34 | 15 | 42 | 8 | 2 | 100 |
|  |  | Person | 15 | 34 | 30 | 18 | 5 | 100 |
| 2017-18 | Rural | Male | 10 | 48 | 15 | 20 | 7 | 100 |
|  |  | Female | 50 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 100 |
|  |  | Person | 17 | 43 | 14 | 18 | 7 | 100 |
|  | Urban | Male | 13 | 53 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 100 |
|  |  | Female | 62 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 100 |
|  |  | Person | 21 | 48 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 100 |

[^1]Table 4.8(a): Percentage distribution of economically independent aged persons by number of dependants for each State/UT, 2004

| State/UT | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more | all <br> (incl. <br> n.r.) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more | all (incl $n$, $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 10 | 41 | 24 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 37 | 21 | 37 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 16 | 36 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0 | 9 | 35 | 1 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 30 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 14 | 31 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Assam | 3 | 23 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 23 | 26 | 40 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 5 | 23 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| Bihar | 4 | 23 | 26 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 20 | 11 | 48 | 3 | 5 | 100 | 7 | 20 | 30 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 11 | 22 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 16 | 21 | 42 | 4 | 5 | 100 | 13 | 21 | 32 | 3 | 4 | 100 |
| Delhi | 32 | 33 | 35 | 7 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 7 | 4 | 100 |
| Goa | 29 | 55 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 100 | 48 | 4 | 48 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 34 | 41 | 21 | 8 | 3 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 22 | 37 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 67 | 24 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 100 | 31 | 35 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 100 |
| Haryana | 6 | 28 | 27 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 44 | 3 | 45 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 15 | 22 | 31 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 7 | 37 | 27 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 36 | 4 | 54 | 4 | 6 | 100 | 15 | 29 | 34 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 10 | 32 | 28 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 67 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 16 | 29 | 27 | 4 | 3 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 3 | 31 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 22 | 13 | 27 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 7 | 28 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 10 | 35 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 58 | 11 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 100 | 19 | 30 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 100 |
| Kerala | 2 | 45 | 33 | 5 | 4 | 100 | 30 | 14 | 50 | 4 | 5 | 100 | 10 | 37 | 38 | 5 | 4 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 11 | 36 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 48 | 17 | 26 | 7 | 3 | 100 | 19 | 32 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 9 | 38 | 30 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 32 | 16 | 42 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 15 | 32 | 33 | 5 | 4 | 100 |
| Manipur | 0 | 18 | 32 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 6 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 1 | 19 | 30 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 2 | 13 | 42 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 5 | 18 | 57 | 2 | 6 | 100 | 2 | 14 | 46 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 0 | 28 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 45 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 30 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 0 | 16 | 39 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 0 | 14 | 48 | 1 | 5 | 100 |
| Odisha | 5 | 34 | 26 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 11 | 30 | 51 | 4 | 5 | 100 | 6 | 33 | 29 | 4 | 3 | 100 |
| Punjab | 3 | 29 | 40 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 20 | 12 | 68 | 3 | 7 | 100 | 6 | 26 | 45 | 3 | 5 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 8 | 40 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 40 | 21 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 14 | 36 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 3 | 10 | 33 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 68 | 1 | 7 | 100 | 3 | 10 | 38 | 1 | 4 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 7 | 42 | 25 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 46 | 29 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 100 | 18 | 38 | 24 | 6 | 3 | 100 |
| Tripura | 2 | 17 | 27 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 30 | 34 | 37 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 6 | 19 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 7 | 22 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 34 | 12 | 38 | 5 | 4 | 100 | 16 | 18 | 22 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 3 | 26 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 13 | 14 | 46 | 3 | 5 | 100 | 5 | 24 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 4 | 24 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 24 | 26 | 45 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 6 | 24 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 0 | 21 | 38 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 23 | 64 | 2 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 22 | 44 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 15 | 73 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 100 | 11 | 89 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 100 | 14 | 76 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0 | 23 | 77 | 2 | 8 | 100 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 100 | 9 | 35 | 56 | 4 | 6 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 10 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 100 | 58 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 34 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 17 | 46 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 100 | 30 | 48 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 100 |
| All | 7 | 32 | 23 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 32 | 18 | 37 | 5 | 4 | 100 | 12 | 29 | 26 | 4 | 3 | 100 |

Source: NSS 60th Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged

Table 4.8(b): Percentage distribution of economically independent aged persons by number of dependants for each State/UT, 2004

| State/UT | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more | all (incl. n.r.) |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 7 | 50 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 29 | 14 | 55 | 4 | 6 | 100 | 14 | 38 | 32 | 5 | 4 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0 | 39 | 48 | 4 | 5 | 100 | 0 | 19 | 58 | 2 | 6 | 100 | 0 | 34 | 50 | 3 | 5 | 100 |
| Assam | 0 | 23 | 25 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 30 | 31 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 25 | 27 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| Bihar | 7 | 21 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 19 | 35 | 24 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 9 | 24 | 21 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 16 | 12 | 38 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 30 | 13 | 44 | 4 | 5 | 100 | 20 | 12 | 40 | 3 | 4 | 100 |
| Delhi | 7 | 54 | 29 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 16 | 30 | 47 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 9 | 49 | 33 | 6 | 4 | 100 |
| Goa | 0 | 32 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 6 | 29 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 9 | 43 | 29 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 45 | 8 | 36 | 5 | 4 | 100 | 16 | 36 | 30 | 5 | 4 | 100 |
| Haryana | 4 | 51 | 28 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 29 | 11 | 61 | 4 | 6 | 100 | 12 | 38 | 39 | 5 | 4 | 100 |
| Himachal <br> Pradesh | 10 | 44 | 34 | 5 | 4 | 100 | 58 | 15 | 27 | 7 | 3 | 100 | 25 | 35 | 31 | 6 | 4 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 12 | 29 | 26 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 52 | 15 | 25 | 7 | 3 | 100 | 17 | 27 | 26 | 4 | 3 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 1 | 20 | 37 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 4 | 26 | 59 | 3 | 6 | 100 | 2 | 21 | 41 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 9 | 37 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 29 | 18 | 40 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 13 | 34 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 100 |
| Kerala | 8 | 45 | 29 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 34 | 14 | 49 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 16 | 35 | 35 | 5 | 4 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 9 | 50 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 44 | 6 | 48 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 17 | 40 | 23 | 6 | 3 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 10 | 49 | 27 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 46 | 11 | 39 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 20 | 39 | 30 | 6 | 4 | 100 |
| Manipur | 2 | 15 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 6 | 21 | 52 | 3 | 5 | 100 | 3 | 16 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 0 | 55 | 29 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 7 | 5 | 87 | 1 | 9 | 100 | 2 | 44 | 42 | 5 | 5 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 0 | 24 | 27 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 27 | 31 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 24 | 28 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 0 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 9 | 100 | 0 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 100 |
| Odisha | 0 | 37 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 7 | 34 | 57 | 4 | 6 | 100 | 1 | 36 | 24 | 4 | 3 | 100 |
| Punjab | 17 | 39 | 23 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 28 | 20 | 32 | 5 | 4 | 100 | 19 | 35 | 25 | 5 | 3 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 18 | 35 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 52 | 11 | 18 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 24 | 30 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 0 | 27 | 27 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 14 | 37 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 55 | 13 | 26 | 7 | 3 | 100 | 25 | 30 | 27 | 6 | 3 | 100 |
| Tripura | 10 | 43 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 20 | 22 | 53 | 4 | 6 | 100 | 13 | 36 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 3 | 49 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 10 | 18 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 5 | 42 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 1 | 35 | 27 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 4 | 19 | 56 | 2 | 6 | 100 | 2 | 32 | 33 | 3 | 4 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 9 | 29 | 30 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 28 | 16 | 42 | 4 | 5 | 100 | 13 | 26 | 32 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 0 | 39 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 16 | 51 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 0 | 35 | 19 | 4 | 2 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 14 | 34 | 40 | 5 | 4 | 100 | 31 | 16 | 49 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 18 | 29 | 42 | 5 | 5 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0 | 38 | 36 | 4 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 38 | 36 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 6 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 100 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 14 | 43 | 23 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 62 | 0 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 27 | 31 | 27 | 6 | 3 | 100 |
| All | 8 | 40 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 34 | 15 | 42 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 15 | 34 | 30 | 5 | 3 | 100 |

Source: NSS 60th Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged

Table 4.8(c): Percentage distribution of economically independent aged persons by number of dependants for each State/UT, 2017-18

| State/UT | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6 \text { and } \\ \text { more } \end{array}$ | all <br> (incl. <br> n.r.) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 18 | 52 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 100 | 57 | 30 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 28 | 46 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 29 | 16 | 29 | 21 | 100 | 8 | 41 | 33 | 15 | 2 | 100 | 6 | 32 | 21 | 25 | 16 | 100 |
| Assam | 10 | 43 | 18 | 23 | 6 | 100 | 35 | 11 | 27 | 28 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 37 | 20 | 24 | 5 | 100 |
| Bihar | 3 | 33 | 14 | 41 | 9 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 85 | 7 | 100 | 3 | 31 | 13 | 44 | 9 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 19 | 39 | 10 | 20 | 12 | 100 | 48 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 35 | 100 | 26 | 31 | 9 | 16 | 18 | 100 |
| Delhi | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 0 | 54 | 37 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 55 | 36 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 9 | 73 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 100 | 49 | 21 | 16 | 14 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 63 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 100 |
| Haryana | 11 | 67 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 100 | 55 | 3 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 61 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 21 | 59 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 100 | 45 | 31 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 100 | 27 | 52 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 2 | 71 | 8 | 16 | 3 | 100 | 85 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 68 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 6 | 53 | 10 | 19 | 13 | 100 | 28 | 23 | 29 | 10 | 10 | 100 | 10 | 47 | 13 | 17 | 13 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 14 | 54 | 5 | 19 | 8 | 100 | 41 | 40 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 19 | 51 | 7 | 16 | 7 | 100 |
| Kerala | 14 | 64 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 68 | 22 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 58 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 9 | 46 | 16 | 23 | 6 | 100 | 39 | 31 | 15 | 13 | 2 | 100 | 13 | 44 | 16 | 22 | 5 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 14 | 50 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 100 | 48 | 23 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 100 | 23 | 43 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 100 |
| Manipur | 0 | 6 | 20 | 73 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 62 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 70 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 6 | 47 | 24 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 47 | 25 | 22 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 1 | 24 | 21 | 51 | 4 | 100 | 33 | 18 | 24 | 25 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 22 | 22 | 43 | 2 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 20 | 2 | 20 | 54 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 18 | 62 | 100 | 19 | 3 | 19 | 53 | 6 | 100 |
| Odisha | 11 | 49 | 23 | 15 | 2 | 100 | 72 | 7 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 42 | 22 | 13 | 1 | 100 |
| Punjab | 15 | 45 | 12 | 24 | 4 | 100 | 81 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 43 | 11 | 23 | 3 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 11 | 52 | 11 | 19 | 7 | 100 | 37 | 30 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 49 | 10 | 20 | 6 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 48 | 7 | 7 | 38 | 1 | 100 | 52 | 11 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 48 | 8 | 13 | 30 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 10 | 55 | 17 | 15 | 2 | 100 | 74 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 24 | 47 | 16 | 12 | 1 | 100 |
| Telangana | 13 | 48 | 7 | 14 | 18 | 100 | 43 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 49 | 100 | 23 | 34 | 6 | 9 | 28 | 100 |
| Tripura | 2 | 40 | 14 | 41 | 3 | 100 | 80 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 37 | 13 | 37 | 2 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 5 | 44 | 16 | 30 | 6 | 100 | 75 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 41 | 15 | 27 | 5 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 8 | 26 | 18 | 27 | 21 | 100 | 48 | 19 | 19 | 11 | 4 | 100 | 13 | 25 | 18 | 25 | 18 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 8 | 54 | 16 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 44 | 9 | 27 | 20 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 50 | 17 | 20 | 2 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 26 | 47 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 100 | 54 | 40 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 37 | 44 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 0 | 7 | 6 | 86 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 28 | 36 | 1 | 34 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 36 | 1 | 34 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 0 | 93 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| All | 10 | 48 | 15 | 20 | 7 | 100 | 50 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 100 | 17 | 43 | 14 | 18 | 7 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75{ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 4.8(d): Percentage distribution of economically independent aged persons by number of dependants for each State/UT, 2017-18

| State/UT/all India | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  | aged person with number of dependants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  | 2 | 3-5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { and } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{array}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{array}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-5 | 6 and more | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { all (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{array}$ |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 14 | 60 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 100 | 64 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 26 | 54 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 31 | 23 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 100 | 24 | 30 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 100 |
| Assam | 8 | 36 | 4 | 41 | 11 | 100 | 70 | 8 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 31 | 7 | 34 | 9 | 100 |
| Bihar | 21 | 31 | 15 | 28 | 4 | 100 | 78 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 29 | 29 | 13 | 25 | 4 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 20 | 33 | 23 | 16 | 7 | 100 | 62 | 6 | 2 | 25 | 5 | 100 | 27 | 29 | 19 | 18 | 7 | 100 |
| Delhi | 27 | 39 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 100 | 29 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 40 | 100 | 27 | 38 | 7 | 11 | 17 | 100 |
| Goa | 2 | 43 | 33 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 83 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 45 | 32 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 7 | 62 | 14 | 14 | 4 | 100 | 20 | 48 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 100 | 8 | 60 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 100 |
| Haryana | 28 | 57 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 74 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 42 | 46 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 53 | 10 | 17 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 45 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 9 | 44 | 27 | 18 | 2 | 100 | 48 | 42 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 44 | 26 | 17 | 2 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 3 | 28 | 25 | 36 | 7 | 100 | 25 | 7 | 19 | 48 | 1 | 100 | 5 | 27 | 25 | 36 | 7 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 15 | 55 | 16 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 61 | 12 | 3 | 15 | 10 | 100 | 21 | 50 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 100 |
| Kerala | 8 | 66 | 17 | 9 | 1 | 100 | 61 | 22 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 56 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 15 | 50 | 17 | 15 | 3 | 100 | 69 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 12 | 100 | 24 | 42 | 17 | 13 | 5 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 19 | 59 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 100 | 49 | 40 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 23 | 56 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 100 |
| Manipur | 11 | 19 | 7 | 54 | 8 | 100 | 5 | 32 | 33 | 29 | 1 | 100 | 10 | 23 | 14 | 48 | 6 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 28 | 36 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 60 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 43 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 1 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 12 | 28 | 14 | 45 | 1 | 100 | 21 | 20 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 25 | 9 | 50 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 16 | 6 | 56 | 18 | 4 | 100 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 7 | 54 | 20 | 4 | 100 |
| Odisha | 5 | 52 | 22 | 15 | 6 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 46 | 20 | 13 | 5 | 100 |
| Punjab | 12 | 66 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 100 | 77 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 63 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 16 | 63 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 100 | 63 | 28 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 58 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 23 | 0 | 68 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 68 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 9 | 51 | 8 | 10 | 22 | 100 | 70 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 43 | 8 | 9 | 17 | 100 |
| Telangana | 4 | 39 | 35 | 20 | 1 | 100 | 67 | 28 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 39 | 34 | 20 | 1 | 100 |
| Tripura | 9 | 49 | 19 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 68 | 29 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 20 | 45 | 16 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 21 | 46 | 4 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 24 | 44 | 4 | 28 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 9 | 37 | 17 | 27 | 9 | 100 | 36 | 29 | 14 | 20 | 1 | 100 | 12 | 36 | 17 | 27 | 8 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 8 | 61 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 79 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 50 | 21 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 1 | 48 | 8 | 29 | 15 | 100 | 52 | 18 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 35 | 5 | 29 | 8 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 23 | 63 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 65 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 18 | 36 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 19 | 35 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 3 | 81 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 36 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 61 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 13 | 53 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 100 | 62 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 21 | 48 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 4.9: Percentage distribution of elderly persons by usual status (ps+ss)

| Place of residence | Sex | Age Group (Years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 60-64 |  |  | 65 and above |  |  |
|  |  | Employed | Unemployed | Not in Labour Force | Employed | Unemployed | Not in Labour Force |
|  |  | 2011-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | Male | 82.8 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 46.6 |
|  | Female | 31.8 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 85.9 |
|  | Person | 56.7 | 0.0 | 43.3 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 66.1 |
| Urban | Male | 49.4 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 71.4 |
|  | Female | 11.5 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 94.6 |
|  | Person | 29.9 | 0.0 | 70.1 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 83.2 |
| Rural +Urban | Male | 73.3 | 0.0 | 26.6 | 46.3 | 0.0 | 53.7 |
|  | Female | 26.2 | 0.0 | 73.8 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 88.5 |
|  | Person | 49.3 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 71.1 |
|  |  | 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | Male | 71.8 | 0.1 | 28.2 | 37.3 | 0.2 | 62.5 |
|  | Female | 21.2 | 0.0 | 78.8 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 90.1 |
|  | Person | 45.6 | 0.0 | 54.3 | 24.3 | 0.1 | 75.6 |
| Urban | Male | 51.1 | 0.3 | 48.6 | 23.1 | 0.2 | 76.6 |
|  | Female | 10.0 | 0.0 | 89.9 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 94.3 |
|  | Person | 29.8 | 0.2 | 70.0 | 14.3 | 0.1 | 85.6 |
| Rural + <br> Urban | Male | 65.5 | 0.1 | 34.3 | 33.1 | 0.2 | 66.8 |
|  | Female | 17.8 | 0.0 | 82.1 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 91.5 |
|  | Person | 40.9 | 0.1 | 59.1 | 21.2 | 0.1 | 78.8 |

Source: Key Indicators of Employment and Unemployment of India - 2011-12, Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS)- 2018-19
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## Health and Social Status

## Highlights

- Literacy levels among elderly males and females have improved over time in both rural and urban areas. However, huge gender gap has been observed in literacy rates. As per Population Census 2011, the literacy rate among elderly females ( $28 \%$ ) is less than half of the literacy rate among elderly males (59\%). The position in urban areas is expectedly better vis-à-vis that in rural areas. (Table 5.1)
- The general education level of aged persons in urban areas is significantly better than that observed in rural areas. Similarly, males are place at a better level than females in their general education level. Percentage of aged persons with education level secondary and above has increased in 2017-18 vis-à-vis 2011. (Table 5.2)
- It is evident from the table that the average number of years in formal education among persons aged 60 years \& above is the highest in Chandigarh ( 12.7 years) followed by Delhi ( 10.7 years). This number is the lowest in Sikkim ( 6.2 years) followed by Mizoram (6.3 years) and Daman \& Diu (6.5 years). (Table 5.3)
- The States/UTs of Bihar, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu \& Kashmir, Jharkhand, Manipur, Rajasthan, Uttarakhand, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal and Chandigarh have exceeded all India average in number of years in formal education among persons aged 60 years and above in both rural and urban areas.
(Table 5.3)
- Among the aged persons with illness, the proportion of aged persons with good or fair health, on their own perception, has shown increase in 2017-18 vis-à-vis 2004. (Table 5.4)
- Among the aged persons without illness, the proportion of aged persons against their current state of health as good or fair, based on their own perception, is same for men and women for the year 2017-18. (Table 5.5(a), 5.5(b) and 5.5(c))
- Among the elderly persons it is observed that despite illness more men seemed to be feeling that they had a good or fair health condition as compared to the women in 2017-18. (Table 5.5(a) and 5.5(b))
- The proportion of physically mobile elderly persons in the age-group $60-64$ years is same in 2004 and 2017-18 in both rural and urban areas. With the increase of age, the proportion of physical mobility of elderly persons has shown decreasing trend. (Table 5.6)
- The percentage of female elderly persons (60 years and above) staying in the other's houses is more than double vis-à-vis male elderly persons. Also, the percentage of female elderly persons living alone not as an inmate of old age home is also much higher as compared to male elderly persons. (Table 5.9(a) and 5.9(b))
- The proportion of persons that responded as ailing (PPRA) in a 15-day period among persons aged 60 years \& above has been observed highest in Kerala (62.5\%) followed by Andhra Pradesh (55.7\%), Lakshadweep (54.6\%) and West Bengal (47.9\%). The PPRA is lowest in Meghalaya (1.2\%) followed by Tripura (4.6\%) and Arunachal Pradesh (5.1\%). (Table 5.10)
- The percentage of female persons of age group 60 years and above participating in unpaid domestic services for household members is more than double as compared to males in rural as well as urban areas. Similarly, in the same age group, the proportion of females participating in employment \& related activities is much less as compared to males in both rural and urban area. (Table 5.13)
- On an average, time spent by females of age group 60 years and above in unpaid domestic services for household members is 245 minutes as compared to males (112 minutes) on the same activity. (Table 5.13)
- Under the "self-care and maintenance activities", majority of time spent in a day per person by both males and females of age group 60 years and above is "sleep and related activities" followed by "eating and drinking activities". (Table 5.15)
- Under the "Traveling activities", majority of time spent in a day per person by both males and females of age group 60 years and above is for "travelling and commuting for employment". (Table 5.17)
- The most prevalent disability among elderly persons is locomotor disability followed by hearing disability and visual disability. (Table 5.20)
- Percentage of elderly disabled persons is slightly higher in rural areas as compared to urban areas in the broad type of disabilities of blindness, low vision, visual disability and hearing disability. (Table 5.21)
- More than $50 \%$ of elderly persons suffering from disability (other than mental illness) have taken the treatment from consulting doctors. (Table 5.22)
- The highest crime rate per lakh population against the senior citizens (60 years and above) has been found in the Delhi (93.8) followed by Gujarat (85.4), Chandigarh (74.5), Madhya Pradesh (73.2) and Chhattisgarh (67.3) whereas UTs
of Lakshadweep, Dadra \& Nagar Haveli and Puducherry have reported no crime against the senior citizens. Similarly, the States of Assam, Jammu \& Kashmir, Jharkhand, Meghalaya and Uttarakhand have reported less than one crime against the senior citizens. (Table 5.23)
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Table 5.1: Percentage of literates among elderly persons over years

| Place of <br> residence | Sex | Census 1991 | Census 2001 | Census 2011 | NSS 2017-18 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | Male | 34 | 45 | 51 | 45.6 |
|  | Female | 8 | 13 | 18 | 17.0 |
|  | Person | 21 | 29 | 34 | 31.6 |
| Urban | Male | 66 | 75 | 80 | 79.5 |
|  | Female | 31 | 42 | 53 | 53.1 |
|  | Person | 49 | 58 | 66 | 66.0 |
|  | Male | 41 | 53 | 59 | 55.5 |
|  | Female | 13 | 20 | 28 | 27.8 |
|  | Person | 27 | 36 | 44 | 41.9 |

Source: Office of the Registrar General, India; NSS 75th Round (July 2017-June 2018): Household Social Consumption on Education in India.

Table 5.2: Percentage distribution of persons of aged 60 years and above by levels of education

| S. <br> No. | General Educational Level | 2004 |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  | 2017-18 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  | Rural | Urban | Total |  |  | Rural | Urban | Total |  |  | Rural | Urban |
|  |  | Person | Male | Female |  |  | Person | Male | Female |  |  | Person | Male | Female |  |  |
| 1 | Not Literate | 65.8 | 50.3 | 81.3 | 73.7 | 41.2 | 56.5 | 40.9 | 71.5 | 65.8 | 34.0 | 58.1 | 44.5 | 72.2 | 68.4 | 34.0 |
| 2 | Literate without any Schooling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| 3 | Literate without formal Schooling (through NFEC, TLC/AEC, others) | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 4 | Literate through formal schooling | 32.1 | 47.3 | 17.5 | 24.8 | 56.1 | 39.3 | 54.4 | 24.8 | 30.3 | 61.0 | 40.5 | 53.8 | 26.7 | 30.2 | 64.3 |
| 4(i) | Below Primary | 8.8 | 12.0 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 7.8 |
| 4(ii) | Primary | 9.1 | 12.6 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 14.7 | 8.3 | 10.3 | 14.2 | 9.9 | 12.4 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 12.0 |
| 4(iii) | Upper Primary/Middle | 5.5 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 10.3 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 9.1 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 9.1 |
| 4(iv) | Secondary | 4.4 | 7.1 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 10.3 | 5.4 | 8.4 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 9.9 | 7.0 | 9.9 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 13.8 |
| 4(v) | Higher Secondary | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 7.3 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 7.6 |
| 4(vi) | Diploma/Certificate course (up to secondary) | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 4(vii) | Diploma/Certificate course (higher secondary) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 4(viii) | Diploma/certificate course (graduation \& above) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 4(ix) | Graduate | 2.0 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 11.2 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 10.6 |
| 4(x) | Post Graduate and above | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 3.1 |

Source: NSS 60 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged; Population Census, 2011; NSS 75th Round (July 2017 - June 2018 ): Household Social Consumption on Education in India.

Table 5.3: State/UT-wise Average number of years in formal education -Aged 60 years and above

| S. No. | State/UTs | Total |  |  | Rural | Urban |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Person | Male | Female |  |  |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 7.6 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 8.4 |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 7.4 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 9.8 |
| 3 | Assam | 7.6 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 10.1 |
| 4 | Bihar | 8.2 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 10.6 |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 7.1 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 9.9 |
| 6 | Delhi | 10.7 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 6.4 | 10.7 |
| 7 | Goa | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 9.2 |
| 8 | Gujarat | 8.1 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 8.8 |
| 9 | Haryana | 9.7 | 10.3 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 10.9 |
| 10 | Himachal Pradesh | 8.4 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 12.0 |
| 11 | Jammu \& Kashmir | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 10.1 |
| 12 | Jharkhand | 8.5 | 8.7 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 10.1 |
| 13 | Karnataka | 8.0 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 10.2 |
| 14 | Kerala | 7.8 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 8.6 |
| 15 | Madhya Pradesh | 8.5 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 10.2 |
| 16 | Maharashtra | 8.6 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 10.4 |
| 17 | Manipur | 9.4 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 10.5 |
| 18 | Meghalaya | 7.0 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 10.2 |
| 19 | Mizoram | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 7.1 |
| 20 | Nagaland | 7.3 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 8.7 |
| 21 | Odisha | 6.6 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 8.8 |
| 22 | Punjab | 8.2 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 9.5 |
| 23 | Rajasthan | 8.8 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 10.2 |
| 24 | Sikkim | 6.2 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 8.6 |
| 25 | Tamil Nadu | 7.7 | 8.4 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 8.3 |
| 26 | Telangana | 8.3 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 8.6 |
| 27 | Tripura | 7.0 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 9.4 |
| 28 | Uttarakhand | 8.9 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 11.1 |
| 29 | Uttar Pradesh | 8.9 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 10.7 |
| 30 | West Bengal | 8.7 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 10.4 |
| 31 | A \& N Islands | 7.4 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 9.1 |
| 32 | Chandigarh | 12.7 | 13.8 | 11.3 | 7.5 | 12.7 |
| 33 | Dadra\& Nagar Haveli | 9.2 | 9.2 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |
| 34 | Daman \& Diu | 6.5 | 7.9 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 8.0 |
| 35 | Lakshadweep | 7.4 | 9.1 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 8.0 |
| 36 | Puducherry | 8.9 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 9.6 |
|  | India | 8.3 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 9.7 |

Source: NSS 75 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017-June 2018): Household Social Consumption on Education in India

Table 5.4: Percentage distribution of aged persons by own perception about current state of health- All India

| Characteristics | Percentage distribution of aged persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of aged persons reporting illness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| all-India | 29 | 29 | 29 | 36 | 39 | 38 |  |  |  |  |


| Own perception about current state of health with chronic illness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent/very good | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Good/fair | 57 | 51 | 54 | 63 | 61 | 62 |
| Poor | 39 | 45 | 42 | 32 | 35 | 34 |
| all | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Own perception about current state of health without chronic illness

| Excellent/very good | 8 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Good/fair | 73 | 73 | 73 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Poor | 14 | 18 | 16 | 11 | 14 | 12 |
| all | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of aged persons reporting illness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| all-India | 24 | 25 | 25 | 34 | 34 | 34 |


| Own perception about current state of health with chronic illness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Excellent/very good | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Good/fair | 62 | 56 | 59 | 67 | 67 | 67 |
| Poor | 36 | 43 | 39 | 26 | 29 | 28 |
| all | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Own perception about current state of health without chronic illness

| Excellent/very good | 11 | 7 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Good/fair | 74 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 76 |
| Poor | 15 | 19 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 11 |
| all | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Source: NSS 60th Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged; NSS 75 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round, (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Note: Chronic illness include respiratory, cardiovascular, central nervous, musculoskeletal, gastrointestinal, genito-urinary, Skin diseases, Goitre, Elephantiasis, Eye problems/diseases, ENT problems/diseases, Mouth and dental problems, Endocrine, Metabolic, Nutritional and others

## Table 5.5(a): Percentage distribution of aged men with illness or otherwise by their perception about current state of health in States /UTs, 2017-18

| State/UT | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of aged persons reporting illness | aged person with illness |  |  |  |  | aged person without illness |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | excellen <br> t/very <br> good | good fair | poor | n.r. | total | excellent/ very good | good/ fair | poor | n.r. | total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 49 | 0 | 69 | 30 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 78 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 66 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 4 | 0 | 52 | 48 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 74 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 13 | 1 | 88 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 66 | 22 | 1 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 10 | 0 | 69 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 69 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 94 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 28 | 56 | 34 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 20 | 0 | 84 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 21 | 69 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 13 | 3 | 32 | 66 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 81 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 26 | 0 | 77 | 23 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 76 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 26 | 2 | 69 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 71 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 11 | 0 | 74 | 26 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 82 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 22 | 8 | 72 | 20 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 68 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 63 | 4 | 66 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 19 | 67 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 11 | 1 | 77 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 79 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 18 | 1 | 56 | 42 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 68 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 2 | 0 | 18 | 82 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 67 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 79 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 8 | 0 | 8 | 92 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 75 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 21 | 70 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 23 | 1 | 48 | 52 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 78 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 29 | 1 | 75 | 24 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 71 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 16 | 6 | 49 | 44 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 81 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 18 | 1 | 27 | 72 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 82 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 25 | 1 | 81 | 17 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 80 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 31 | 1 | 32 | 67 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 76 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 5 | 0 | 6 | 94 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 58 | 39 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 6 | 0 | 15 | 85 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 88 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 20 | 1 | 63 | 36 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 77 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 41 | 4 | 45 | 51 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 66 | 24 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 21 | 0 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 84 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 2 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 21 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 90 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 17 | 0 | 87 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 70 | 30 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 24 | 2 | 62 | 36 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 74 | 15 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.5(a): Percentage distribution of aged men with illness or otherwise by their perception about current state of health in States /UTs, 2017-18- contd.

| State/UT | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of aged persons reporting illness | aged person with illness |  |  |  |  | aged person without illness |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | excellent/ very good | $\begin{gathered} \text { good/ } \\ \text { fair } \end{gathered}$ | poor | n.r. | total | excellent/ very good | $\begin{gathered} \text { good/ } \\ \text { fair } \end{gathered}$ | poor | n.r. | total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 67 | 0 | 74 | 25 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 73 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 58 | 19 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 12 | 2 | 16 | 81 | 0 | 100 | 18 | 66 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 14 | 42 | 24 | 34 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 77 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 21 | 2 | 80 | 19 | 0 | 100 | 31 | 62 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 27 | 0 | 37 | 63 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 72 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 49 | 2 | 90 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 28 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 35 | 5 | 90 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 28 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 21 | 0 | 62 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 21 | 72 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 30 | 0 | 78 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 18 | 9 | 76 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 19 | 65 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 28 | 0 | 54 | 46 | 0 | 100 | 25 | 69 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 31 | 11 | 77 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 72 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 59 | 7 | 61 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 78 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 27 | 13 | 76 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 38 | 8 | 73 | 19 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 77 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 8 | 0 | 35 | 65 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 66 | 20 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 4 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 96 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 14 | 0 | 92 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 16 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 24 | 74 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 25 | 0 | 78 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 75 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 28 | 2 | 66 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 78 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 14 | 2 | 70 | 27 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 72 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 16 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 33 | 63 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 22 | 10 | 65 | 24 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 84 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 42 | 64 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 82 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 67 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 24 | 6 | 5 | 88 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 75 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 23 | 1 | 56 | 43 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 73 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 52 | 2 | 67 | 32 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 75 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 57 | 37 | 59 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 74 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 30 | 30 | 53 | 17 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 63 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 53 | 0 | 81 | 19 | 0 | 100 | 28 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 44 | 4 | 53 | 44 | 0 | 100 | 53 | 44 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 14 | 5 | 26 | 69 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 34 | 7 | 67 | 26 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 75 | 9 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.5(a): Percentage distribution of aged men with illness or otherwise by their perception about current state of health in States/UTs, 2017-18 - Contd.

| State/UT | all-India |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% of aged } \\ & \text { persons } \\ & \text { reporting } \\ & \text { illness } \end{aligned}$ | aged person with illness |  |  |  |  | aged person without illness |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | excellent/ very good | good/ fair | poor | n.r. | total | excellent/ very good | good fair | poor | n.r. | total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 54 | 0 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 77 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 65 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 5 | 1 | 43 | 56 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 73 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 13 | 15 | 65 | 20 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 67 | 21 | 1 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 13 | 1 | 73 | 26 | 0 | 100 | 19 | 68 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 26 | 0 | 37 | 63 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 72 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 39 | 22 | 69 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 26 | 3 | 87 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 24 | 69 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 16 | 1 | 49 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 78 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 26 | 0 | 77 | 23 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 76 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 23 | 3 | 71 | 26 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 69 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 15 | 0 | 62 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 79 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 26 | 10 | 75 | 15 | 0 | 100 | 18 | 69 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 62 | 5 | 64 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 72 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 15 | 7 | 76 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 80 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 26 | 5 | 68 | 27 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 71 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 4 | 0 | 23 | 77 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 67 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 2 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 83 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 11 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 84 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 4 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 21 | 71 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 24 | 1 | 52 | 47 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 77 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 28 | 1 | 72 | 27 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 74 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 15 | 6 | 54 | 41 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 18 | 1 | 26 | 73 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 81 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 24 | 5 | 74 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 82 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 36 | 26 | 31 | 43 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 79 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 4 | 0 | 6 | 94 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 60 | 32 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 11 | 4 | 8 | 87 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 85 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 20 | 1 | 61 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 76 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 45 | 3 | 55 | 42 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 69 | 22 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 34 | 23 | 73 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 69 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 30 | 30 | 53 | 17 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 62 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 29 | 0 | 81 | 19 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 17 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 85 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 39 | 4 | 55 | 41 | 0 | 100 | 39 | 51 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 7 | 5 | 26 | 69 | 0 | 100 | 21 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 28 | 4 | 64 | 32 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 74 | 13 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.5(b): Percentage distribution of aged women with illness or otherwise by their perception about current state of health in States /UTs, 2017-18

| State/UT | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of agedpersonsreportingillness | aged person with illness |  |  |  |  | aged person without illness |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { excellen } \\ \text { t/ very } \\ \text { good } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { good/ } \\ \text { fair } \end{gathered}$ | poor | n.r. | total | excellent/ very good | $\begin{gathered} \text { good/ } \\ \text { fair } \end{gathered}$ | poor | n.r. | total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 57 | 0 | 54 | 46 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 79 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 3 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 59 | 29 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 11 | 0 | 13 | 87 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 65 | 25 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 4 | 0 | 34 | 66 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 66 | 28 | 1 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 21 | 0 | 26 | 74 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 83 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 79 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 21 | 3 | 85 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 22 | 8 | 62 | 30 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 62 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 18 | 4 | 36 | 60 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 86 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 27 | 0 | 63 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 78 | 19 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 30 | 0 | 25 | 75 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 70 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 15 | 0 | 55 | 45 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 77 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 11 | 2 | 88 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 72 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 66 | 2 | 54 | 43 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 77 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 11 | 0 | 56 | 44 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 84 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 18 | 5 | 64 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 71 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 11 | 0 | 95 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 75 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 87 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 2 | 0 | 82 | 18 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 81 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 15 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 19 | 79 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 23 | 2 | 64 | 34 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 72 | 20 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 32 | 0 | 73 | 26 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 86 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 9 | 1 | 68 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 72 | 23 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 23 | 0 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 29 | 62 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 24 | 1 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 78 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 17 | 1 | 67 | 32 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 79 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 4 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 58 | 38 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 9 | 0 | 62 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 87 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 22 | 0 | 65 | 35 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 71 | 22 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 48 | 3 | 38 | 60 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 69 | 30 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 25 | 1 | 90 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 52 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 2 | 0 | 23 | 77 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 51 | 0 | 18 | 82 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 82 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 35 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 67 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 25 | 2 | 56 | 43 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 73 | 19 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.5(b): Percentage distribution of aged women with illness or otherwise by their perception about current state of health in States /UTs, 2017-18 - Contd.

| State/UT | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% ofagedpersonsreportingillness | aged person with illness |  |  |  |  | aged person without illness |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | excellen <br> t/ very <br> good | good/ fair | poor | n.r. | total | excellent/ very good | good/ fair | poor | n.r. | total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 56 | 3 | 60 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 88 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 45 | 33 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 5 | 0 | 29 | 71 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 49 | 41 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 14 | 0 | 55 | 45 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 68 | 30 | 0 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 19 | 1 | 67 | 32 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 72 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 41 | 0 | 88 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 67 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 37 | 1 | 39 | 60 | 0 | 100 | 25 | 73 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 29 | 2 | 88 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 74 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 17 | 1 | 59 | 40 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 80 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 43 | 0 | 92 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 21 | 56 | 23 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 33 | 0 | 42 | 58 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 72 | 25 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 26 | 0 | 23 | 77 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 69 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 24 | 7 | 78 | 14 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 76 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 60 | 2 | 68 | 30 | 0 | 100 | 18 | 72 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 26 | 17 | 50 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 38 | 9 | 74 | 18 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 74 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 3 | 0 | 79 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 75 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 85 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 11 | 0 | 94 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 84 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 31 | 13 | 72 | 15 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 85 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 38 | 0 | 78 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 75 | 19 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 23 | 1 | 67 | 32 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 78 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 27 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 16 | 8 | 80 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 84 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 21 | 0 | 87 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 84 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 7 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 58 | 25 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 19 | 0 | 9 | 91 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 84 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 25 | 4 | 56 | 40 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 75 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 55 | 0 | 55 | 44 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 75 | 23 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 29 | 22 | 57 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 30 | 57 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 42 | 0 | 84 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 72 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 23 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 50 | 35 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 74 | 0 | 52 | 48 | 0 | 100 | 34 | 59 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 23 | 2 | 76 | 23 | 0 | 100 | 66 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 34 | 4 | 67 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 76 | 13 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.5(b): Percentage distribution of aged women with illness or otherwise by their perception about current state of health in States /UTs, 2017-18 - Contd.

| State/UT | all-India |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | aged person with illness |  |  |  |  | aged person without illness |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | excellen <br> t/very <br> good | $\begin{gathered} \text { good/ } \\ \text { fair } \end{gathered}$ | poor | n.r. | total | excellent/ very good | $\begin{gathered} \text { good/ } \\ \text { fair } \end{gathered}$ | poor | n.r. | total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 57 | 1 | 56 | 43 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 81 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 3 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 58 | 29 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 10 | 0 | 16 | 84 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 62 | 28 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 6 | 0 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 67 | 29 | 1 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 21 | 0 | 34 | 65 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 81 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 40 | 0 | 88 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 67 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 28 | 2 | 58 | 40 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 71 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 24 | 5 | 73 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 66 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 17 | 2 | 48 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 84 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 29 | 0 | 65 | 35 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 76 | 19 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 31 | 0 | 31 | 69 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 70 | 22 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 18 | 0 | 43 | 57 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 75 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 16 | 5 | 82 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 73 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 63 | 2 | 60 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 75 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 16 | 10 | 53 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 84 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 27 | 8 | 70 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 72 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 8 | 0 | 87 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 75 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 87 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 7 | 0 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 83 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 15 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 26 | 72 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 24 | 4 | 66 | 30 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 74 | 19 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 34 | 0 | 76 | 24 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 81 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 13 | 1 | 68 | 32 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 74 | 19 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 23 | 0 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 28 | 63 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 20 | 4 | 75 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 81 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 19 | 1 | 76 | 23 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 80 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 5 | 0 | 97 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 58 | 34 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 11 | 0 | 36 | 64 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 87 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 22 | 1 | 62 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 72 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 51 | 1 | 46 | 53 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 71 | 28 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 27 | 11 | 75 | 15 | 0 | 100 | 31 | 54 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 41 | 0 | 84 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 71 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 3 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 87 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 90 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 67 | 0 | 43 | 57 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 67 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 28 | 1 | 87 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 66 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 28 | 3 | 61 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 74 | 17 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.5(c): Percentage distribution of aged persons with illness or otherwise by their perception about current state of health in States/UTs, 2017-18

| State/UT | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { aged } \\ \text { persons } \\ \text { reporting } \\ \text { illness } \end{gathered}$ | aged person with illness |  |  |  |  | aged person without illness |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | excellent/ very good | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { good } / \\ \text { fair } \end{gathered}$ | poor | n.r. | total | excellent/ very good | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { good } / \\ \text { fair } \end{gathered}$ | poor | n.r. | total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 54 | 0 | 61 | 39 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 79 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 63 | 22 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 7 | 0 | 34 | 66 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 70 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 9 | 0 | 64 | 36 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 66 | 25 | 1 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 16 | 0 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 76 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 93 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 24 | 33 | 56 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 76 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 21 | 4 | 72 | 24 | 0 | 100 | 19 | 65 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 16 | 3 | 34 | 63 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 83 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 27 | 0 | 69 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 77 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 28 | 1 | 47 | 52 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 70 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 13 | 0 | 61 | 39 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 80 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 16 | 5 | 79 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 70 | 20 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 65 | 3 | 60 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 72 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 11 | 0 | 68 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 81 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 18 | 4 | 60 | 36 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 70 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 6 | 0 | 47 | 53 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 71 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 82 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 5 | 0 | 20 | 80 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 78 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 5 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 73 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 23 | 1 | 56 | 43 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 75 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 30 | 0 | 74 | 25 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 79 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 12 | 4 | 56 | 39 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 77 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 20 | 1 | 49 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 73 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 24 | 1 | 76 | 23 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 24 | 1 | 44 | 55 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 78 | 19 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 5 | 0 | 5 | 95 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 58 | 39 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 7 | 0 | 48 | 52 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 88 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 21 | 1 | 64 | 36 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 74 | 19 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 44 | 3 | 41 | 56 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 68 | 27 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 23 | 1 | 92 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 68 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 2 | 0 | 35 | 65 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 8 | 0 | 7 | 93 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 94 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 39 | 0 | 28 | 72 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 76 | 24 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 15 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 29 | 69 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 25 | 2 | 59 | 39 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 74 | 17 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS, $75^{\text {th }}$ Round, (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.5(c): Percentage distribution of aged persons with illness or otherwise by their perception about current state of health in States /UTs, 2017-18 - Contd.

| State/UT | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | percentag <br> e of aged <br> persons <br> reporting <br> illness | aged person with illness |  |  |  |  | aged person without illness |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | excellent/ very good | good/ fair | poor | n.r. | total | excellent/ very good | good/ fair | poor | n.r. | total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 61 | 2 | 67 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 81 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 53 | 25 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 8 | 1 | 21 | 77 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 56 | 30 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 14 | 27 | 35 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 73 | 20 | 0 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 20 | 1 | 74 | 25 | 0 | 100 | 24 | 67 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 33 | 0 | 62 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 69 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 44 | 2 | 70 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 26 | 72 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 32 | 4 | 89 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 71 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 19 | 0 | 60 | 39 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 76 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 37 | 0 | 85 | 15 | 0 | 100 | 21 | 65 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 24 | 3 | 55 | 42 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 68 | 19 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 27 | 0 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 69 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 27 | 9 | 78 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 18 | 74 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 60 | 4 | 64 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 75 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 26 | 15 | 64 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 38 | 8 | 74 | 18 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 75 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 6 | 0 | 62 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 70 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 2 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 89 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 12 | 0 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 89 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 15 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 34 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 28 | 7 | 75 | 18 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 80 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 33 | 1 | 74 | 25 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 77 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 19 | 1 | 68 | 31 | 0 | 100 | 18 | 75 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 20 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 18 | 68 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 18 | 9 | 73 | 18 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 84 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 33 | 39 | 52 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 82 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 4 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 63 | 20 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 21 | 3 | 7 | 90 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 79 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 24 | 2 | 56 | 42 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 74 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 54 | 1 | 61 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 75 | 20 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 41 | 31 | 59 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 44 | 47 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 36 | 17 | 67 | 17 | 0 | 100 | 26 | 67 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 33 | 0 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 60 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 60 | 1 | 52 | 47 | 0 | 100 | 45 | 50 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 19 | 3 | 59 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 50 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 34 | 5 | 67 | 28 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 76 | 11 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.5(c): Percentage distribution of aged persons with illness or otherwise by their perception about current state of health in States /UTs, 2017-18 - Contd.

| State/UT | all-India |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | percentag <br> e of aged <br> persons <br> reporting <br> illness | aged person with illness |  |  |  |  | aged person without illness |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  | own perception about current state of health |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | excellent/ very good | good/ fair | poor | n.r. | total | excellent/ very good | good/ fair | poor | n.r. | total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 56 | 1 | 63 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 79 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 62 | 22 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 7 | 0 | 31 | 68 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 68 | 23 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 10 | 9 | 55 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 67 | 25 | 1 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 17 | 0 | 49 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 74 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 33 | 0 | 62 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 70 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 34 | 14 | 65 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 19 | 74 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 25 | 4 | 80 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 20 | 67 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 17 | 2 | 48 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 81 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 27 | 0 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 76 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 27 | 2 | 50 | 49 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 70 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 16 | 0 | 51 | 49 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 77 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 20 | 8 | 78 | 14 | 0 | 100 | 13 | 71 | 16 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 62 | 4 | 62 | 35 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 73 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 16 | 8 | 66 | 26 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 82 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 27 | 7 | 69 | 24 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 72 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 6 | 0 | 53 | 47 | 0 | 100 | 14 | 71 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 1 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 84 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 9 | 0 | 68 | 32 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 8 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 23 | 71 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 24 | 2 | 59 | 38 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 76 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 31 | 1 | 74 | 25 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 78 | 15 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 14 | 3 | 60 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 76 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 20 | 1 | 48 | 51 | 0 | 100 | 17 | 72 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 22 | 5 | 74 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 81 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 28 | 17 | 47 | 36 | 0 | 100 | 6 | 80 | 14 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 5 | 0 | 65 | 35 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 59 | 33 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 11 | 2 | 25 | 73 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 86 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 21 | 1 | 62 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 74 | 18 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 48 | 2 | 50 | 48 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 70 | 25 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 30 | 17 | 74 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 26 | 61 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 36 | 17 | 67 | 17 | 0 | 100 | 27 | 67 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 15 | 0 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 6 | 0 | 7 | 93 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 88 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 55 | 1 | 47 | 51 | 0 | 100 | 31 | 58 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 17 | 2 | 74 | 24 | 0 | 100 | 41 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 28 | 3 | 62 | 34 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 74 | 15 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS 75 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.6: Percentage distribution of aged persons by state of physical mobility for each age-group - All India

| Characteristics |  | Percentage distribution of aged persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2004 |  |  |  |  | 2017-18 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Physically mobile | Confined to |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { reported } \end{gathered}$ | All | Physically mobile | Confined to |  | Wheelchair bound | All |
|  |  | Bed | Home | Bed |  |  |  | Home |  |  |
| Sector | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | Male |  | 91.2 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 100 | 93.5 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 100 |
|  | Female | 89.1 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 100 | 91.4 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 100 |
|  | Person | 90.2 | 1.3 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 100 | 92.4 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 100 |
|  | Age-groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60-64 | 94.7 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 100 | 96.4 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 100 |
|  | 65-69 | 93.6 | 0.7 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 100 | 95.0 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 100 |
|  | 70-74 | 87.8 | 1.8 | 8.7 | 1.7 | 100 | 90.4 | 1.3 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 100 |
|  | 75-79 | 84.5 | 2.8 | 11.1 | 1.6 | 100 | 88.9 | 2.0 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 100 |
|  | $80+$ | 68.2 | 5.8 | 21.1 | 4.9 | 100 | 72.5 | 6.9 | 18.1 | 2.5 | 100 |
|  | all | 90.2 | 1.3 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 100 | 92.4 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Urban | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 91.7 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 100 | 94.5 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 100 |
|  | Female | 88.5 | 1.7 | 8.3 | 1.6 | 100 | 90.5 | 1.6 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 100 |
|  | Person | 90.0 | 1.7 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 100 | 92.5 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 100 |
|  | Age-groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60-64 | 94.6 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 100 | 96.6 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 100 |
|  | 65-69 | 93.8 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 100 | 93.0 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 100 |
|  | 70-74 | 89.6 | 1.9 | 7.8 | 0.7 | 100 | 93.3 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 100 |
|  | 75-79 | 84.2 | 2.2 | 12.5 | 1.0 | 100 | 90.8 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 0.6 | 100 |
|  | $80+$ | 69.0 | 6.9 | 21.4 | 2.6 | 100 | 72.4 | 5.7 | 19.6 | 2.2 | 100 |
|  | all | 90.0 | 1.7 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 100 | 92.5 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 100 |

Source: NSS $60^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged; NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.7(a): Percentage distribution of aged persons by state of physical mobility for each State/UT, 2017-18

| State/UT/all-India | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  |
|  |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |
| Andhra Pradesh | 97 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 94 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 88 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 90 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 100 |
| Assam | 90 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 88 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 100 |
| Bihar | 95 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 95 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 99 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 92 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 86 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 100 | 88 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 100 |
| Haryana | 88 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 100 | 87 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 100 | 87 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 92 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 91 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 87 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 89 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 87 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 92 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 90 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 87 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 95 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 92 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 92 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| Manipur | 90 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 89 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 82 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 100 | 86 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 92 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 91 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 100 |


| State/UT/all-India | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  |
|  |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |
| Rajasthan | 95 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 98 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 95 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 95 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Telangana | 95 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 90 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 100 | 91 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 91 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 96 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 92 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 96 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 97 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 79 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 100 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 92 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 79 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 89 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 58 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 100 | 76 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 100 |
| All-India | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 92 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.7(b): Percentage distribution of aged persons by state of physical mobility for each State/UT, 2017-18

| State/UT/all-India | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  |
|  |  | confined to bed | confined <br> to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |
| Andhra Pradesh | 93 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 91 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 93 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 78 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 100 | 87 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 98 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 96 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 96 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 95 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 97 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 95 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 100 |
| Delhi | 95 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 95 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Goa | 93 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 99 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 96 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Haryana | 96 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 95 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 82 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 100 | 88 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 94 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 87 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| Kerala | 94 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 88 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 100 | 91 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 98 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 96 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 95 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Manipur | 94 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 97 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 85 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 97 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 92 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 93 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 100 |


| State/UT/all-India | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  |
|  |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |
| Rajasthan | 94 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 91 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 94 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 95 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 85 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 92 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 79 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 100 | 87 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 91 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 93 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 84 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 94 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 93 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 92 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 84 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 100 | 87 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 90 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 100 | 89 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 100 | 90 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 97 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 86 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 82 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 100 | 84 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| All-India | 95 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.7(c): Percentage distribution of aged persons by state of physical mobility for each State/UT, 2017-18

| State/UT/all-India | All India |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | physically mobile | Immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  |
|  |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |
| Andhra Pradesh | 96 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 93 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 89 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 89 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 100 |
| Assam | 91 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 90 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 95 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 95 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 95 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 95 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Goa | 96 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 87 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 100 | 90 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 100 |
| Haryana | 91 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 100 | 91 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 91 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 92 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 92 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 89 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 93 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 100 |
| Kerala | 92 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 100 | 87 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 100 | 90 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 96 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 93 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| Manipur | 91 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 91 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 83 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 100 | 87 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 98 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 92 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 92 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 100 |


| State/UT/all-India | All India |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Person |  |  |  |  |
|  | physically mobile | Immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  | physically mobile | immobile |  |  |  |
|  |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |  | confined to bed | confined to home | wheelchair bound | all |
| Rajasthan | 95 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 98 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 95 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 100 | 92 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 88 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 90 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 92 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 90 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 96 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 93 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 92 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 95 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 91 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 100 | 89 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 83 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 100 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 93 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 96 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 88 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 73 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 100 | 81 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 94 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 91 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 100 | 92 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.8(a): Percentage distribution of aged persons by place of stay and type of living arrangement for each State/UT, 2017-18

| State/UT/all India | aged person with place to stay |  |  | Percentage no. of aged persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | living alone |  | living with spouse only |  | living without spouse but with |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | owned house | other's house | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{gathered}$ | as an inmate of old age home | not as an inmate of old age home |  |  | children | other relations | $\begin{gathered} \text { non- } \\ \text { relations } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 78.2 | 21.8 | 100 | 0 | 10.7 | 19.9 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 4.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 76.3 | 23.7 | 100 | 0 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 56.1 | 37.2 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Assam | 95.6 | 4.4 | 100 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 54.3 | 39.9 | 2.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 94.2 | 4.7 | 100 | 0 | 1.9 | 8.3 | 55.5 | 30.7 | 3.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 96.3 | 3.7 | 100 | 0 | 6.4 | 16.3 | 45.5 | 30.8 | 1.0 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 98.7 | 1.3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 99.6 | 0.4 | 100 | 0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 67.9 | 30.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 97.8 | 2.2 | 100 | 0 | 3.3 | 18.2 | 51.8 | 24.8 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 96.0 | 4.0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 5.5 | 69.0 | 23.6 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 97.0 | 3.0 | 100 | 0 | 4.2 | 13.5 | 52.4 | 26.2 | 3.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 98.8 | 1.2 | 100 | 0 | 1.7 | 8.6 | 68.9 | 19.3 | 1.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 96.7 | 3.3 | 100 | 0 | 2.3 | 12.3 | 53.9 | 28.2 | 3.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 94.5 | 5.5 | 100 | 0 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 49.7 | 33.7 | 4.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 83.0 | 17.0 | 100 | 0 | 2.9 | 17.0 | 45.8 | 29.7 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 95.9 | 4.1 | 100 | 0 | 3.4 | 10.3 | 59.5 | 24.2 | 2.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 92.4 | 7.6 | 100 | 0 | 3.9 | 17.4 | 49.7 | 24.2 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Manipur | 92.6 | 7.4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 66.0 | 27.8 | 5.0 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100 | 0 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 68.7 | 25.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 88.1 | 11.9 | 100 | 0 | 0.5 | 15.0 | 58.2 | 25.1 | 1.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 93.0 | 7.0 | 100 | 0 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 84.3 | 10.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 96.6 | 3.4 | 100 | 0 | 6.0 | 16.3 | 48.6 | 25.4 | 3.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 96.4 | 3.6 | 100 | 0 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 59.7 | 30.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 95.6 | 4.4 | 100 | 0 | 1.1 | 13.9 | 56.2 | 25.7 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 97.8 | 2.2 | 100 | 0 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 46.5 | 41.4 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 82.6 | 17.4 | 100 | 0 | 9.5 | 19.1 | 37.2 | 32.4 | 1.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 90.3 | 9.7 | 100 | 0 | 17.1 | 29.9 | 21 | 24.9 | 7.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 95.0 | 5.0 | 100 | 0 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 66.5 | 25.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 0 | 8.3 | 15.6 | 34.6 | 40.1 | 1.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 92.7 | 7.3 | 100 | 0 | 3.3 | 8.2 | 60.3 | 24.1 | 4.1 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 95.2 | 4.8 | 100 | 0 | 4.3 | 14.7 | 49.5 | 28.1 | 3.5 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 99.3 | 0.7 | 100 | 0 | 17.4 | 3.7 | 50.6 | 20.7 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.7 | 65.3 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 24.9 | 41.7 | 33.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 99.5 | 0.5 | 100 | 0 | 33.4 | 0 | 36.8 | 29.8 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 92.2 | 7.8 | 100 | 0 | 22.0 | 0 | 59.0 | 12.6 | 6.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 82.6 | 17.4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 3.7 | 70.6 | 25.7 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 92.3 | 7.6 | 100 | 0 | 4.4 | 13.4 | 51.1 | 27.6 | 3.4 | 0 | 100 |

Source: NSS 75 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.8(a): Percentage distribution of aged persons by place of stay and type of living arrangement for each State/UT, 2017-18- Contd.

Urban

| State/UT | aged person with place to stay |  |  | Percentage no. of aged persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | living alone |  | living with spouse only | living with spouse \& other members | living without spouse but with |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | owned house | other's house | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{gathered}$ | as an inmate of old age home | not as an inmate of old age home |  |  | children | other relations | nonrelations |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 68.8 | 31.2 | 100 | 0 | 8.9 | 23.3 | 30.7 | 33.2 | 3.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 73.0 | 27.0 | 100 | 0 | 3.8 | 13.5 | 47.5 | 33.1 | 2.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 82.4 | 17.5 | 100 | 0 | 1.2 | 21.3 | 39.6 | 33.3 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Bihar | 95.9 | 4.1 | 100 | 0 | 4.7 | 9.3 | 48.5 | 36.0 | 1.6 | 0 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 92.2 | 7.8 | 100 | 0 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 57.2 | 35.7 | 0.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 93.0 | 7.0 | 100 | 0 | 0.2 | 10.3 | 65.7 | 22.2 | 1.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 92.0 | 8.0 | 100 | 0 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 49.9 | 41.4 | 1.0 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 94.6 | 5.4 | 100 | 0 | 1.0 | 15.8 | 52.0 | 30.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 95.9 | 4.1 | 100 | 0 | 2.8 | 17.9 | 56.7 | 20.6 | 2.0 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 99.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 0 | 4.3 | 26.2 | 40.5 | 25.6 | 3.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 94.0 | 6.0 | 100 | 0 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 53.4 | 39.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 82.6 | 17.4 | 100 | 0 | 3.1 | 10.8 | 48.7 | 33.5 | 3.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 87.0 | 13.0 | 100 | 0 | 3.6 | 13.5 | 49.9 | 30.9 | 2.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 83.4 | 16.6 | 100 | 0 | 4.0 | 14.8 | 48.0 | 29.2 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 89.6 | 10.4 | 100 | 0 | 2.8 | 14.0 | 53.0 | 26.8 | 3.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 83.6 | 16.4 | 100 | 0 | 3.2 | 18.5 | 45.6 | 30.9 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Manipur | 87.8 | 12.2 | 100 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 66.8 | 28.6 | 4.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 75.7 | 24.3 | 100 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 42.8 | 49.7 | 7.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 86.4 | 13.6 | 100 | 0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 71.9 | 21.5 | 2.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 91.9 | 8.1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 5.1 | 74.5 | 19.8 | 0.6 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 85.1 | 14.8 | 100 | 0 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 55.0 | 31.7 | 2.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 92.9 | 7.1 | 100 | 0 | 1.5 | 11.2 | 59.8 | 26.7 | 0.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 95.8 | 4.2 | 100 | 0 | 2.3 | 20.4 | 52.1 | 23.6 | 1.6 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 64.8 | 35.2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 64.4 | 34.2 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 82.2 | 17.8 | 100 | 0 | 7.2 | 19.3 | 39.3 | 31.4 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Telangana | 85.0 | 15 | 100 | 0 | 0.6 | 13.3 | 59.9 | 23.7 | 2.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 94.9 | 5.1 | 100 | 0 | 0.3 | 28.4 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 86.0 | 14 | 100 | 0 | 9.5 | 15.4 | 49.9 | 22.0 | 3.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 93 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 2.1 | 12.2 | 49.5 | 33.4 | 2.9 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 87.2 | 12.8 | 100 | 0 | 5.1 | 14 | 48.7 | 25.1 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 80.8 | 19.2 | 100 | 0 | 0.8 | 10.7 | 49.8 | 34.5 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 82.9 | 17.1 | 100 | 0 | 0.6 | 17.3 | 56.4 | 21.2 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 75.8 | 24.2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 44.2 | 23.3 | 25.0 | 7.6 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 57.1 | 42.9 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74.6 | 25.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 83.4 | 16.6 | 100 | 0 | 8.8 | 2.3 | 44.1 | 39.9 | 4.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 81.0 | 19.0 | 100 | 0 | 5.1 | 10.9 | 45.2 | 36.9 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 87.0 | 13.0 | 100 | 0 | 3.6 | 15.5 | 48.6 | 29.3 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 100 |

Source: NSS 75 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.8(b): Percentage distribution of aged persons by type of living arrangement for each State/UT, 2004

Rural

| State/UT | living arrangement of aged persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | living alone |  | with spouse only | with spouse \& other members | without spouse but with |  |  | n.r. | total |
|  | as an inmate of old age home | not as an inmate of old age home |  |  | children | other relations | nonrelations |  |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 0 | 9 | 23 | 31 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0 | 3 | 3 | 46 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 100 |
| Assam | 0 | 3 | 4 | 52 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Bihar | 1 | 3 | 12 | 51 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 4 | 5 | 13 | 33 | 38 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| Delhi | 0 | 2 | 0 | 70 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 0 | 0 | 19 | 48 | 22 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 0 | 6 | 15 | 42 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 0 | 0 | 6 | 61 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 0 | 4 | 10 | 41 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 0 | 0 | 4 | 59 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 1 | 3 | 11 | 47 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 0 | 6 | 10 | 45 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Kerala | 0 | 3 | 9 | 46 | 36 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 2 | 4 | 16 | 42 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 1 | 6 | 14 | 47 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Manipur | 1 | 2 | 4 | 43 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 0 | 4 | 10 | 48 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 0 | 1 | 2 | 54 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 0 | 1 | 13 | 65 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Orissa | 0 | 3 | 12 | 49 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Punjab | 0 | 3 | 10 | 51 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 1 | 3 | 10 | 47 | 35 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 1 | 0 | 5 | 47 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 0 | 12 | 21 | 36 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 1 | 7 | 16 | 39 | 30 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Uttaranchal | 0 | 11 | 11 | 43 | 29 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 1 | 4 | 10 | 45 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 0 | 3 | 8 | 42 | 39 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 0 | 4 | 3 | 49 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 0 | 2 | 22 | 30 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& N. Haveli | 0 | 1 | 6 | 66 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 0 | 31 | 5 | 24 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 3 | 1 | 32 | 52 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Pondicherry | 0 | 6 | 22 | 30 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| all-India | 1 | 5 | 13 | 44 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 100 |

Source: NSS $60^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged

Table 5.8(b): Percentage distribution of aged persons by type of living arrangement for each State/UT, 2004- Contd.

Urban

| State/UT | living arrangement of aged persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | living alone |  | with spouse only | with spouse \& other members | without spouse but with |  |  | n.r. | total |
|  | as an inmate of old age home | not as an inmate of old age home |  |  | children | other relations | non- <br> relations |  |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 1 | 6 | 15 | 37 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 2 | 2 | 7 | 34 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| Assam | 1 | 0 | 1 | 59 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 100 |
| Bihar | 1 | 2 | 7 | 53 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 2 | 8 | 2 | 46 | 36 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 0 | 4 | 11 | 51 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Goa | 0 | 12 | 5 | 45 | 27 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 0 | 4 | 11 | 50 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Haryana | 0 | 3 | 11 | 48 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 0 | 0 | 10 | 43 | 44 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 0 | 1 | 13 | 51 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 0 | 3 | 4 | 54 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 0 | 4 | 6 | 47 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Kerala | 0 | 4 | 11 | 43 | 33 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 1 | 3 | 8 | 47 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 0 | 4 | 11 | 47 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Manipur | 0 | 2 | 3 | 53 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 0 | 4 | 5 | 41 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 0 | 1 | 3 | 46 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Orissa | 0 | 2 | 12 | 54 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| Punjab | 0 | 2 | 8 | 62 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 0 | 4 | 10 | 50 | 33 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 32 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 0 | 8 | 16 | 38 | 31 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 0 | 4 | 8 | 57 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| Uttaranchal | 0 | 2 | 14 | 39 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 1 | 3 | 10 | 48 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 0 | 3 | 8 | 49 | 28 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 0 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 1 | 5 | 18 | 46 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& N. Haveli | 0 | 0 | 8 | 41 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 0 | 15 | 3 | 36 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 4 | 9 | 35 | 40 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Pondicherry | 0 | 6 | 11 | 38 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| all-India | 0 | 4 | 10 | 47 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 100 |

Source: NSS $60^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged

Table 5.9(a): Percentage distribution of aged persons by place of stay and type of living arrangement for each State/UT, 2017-18

|  |  |  |  | All India-Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State/UT/all India | aged person with place to stay |  |  | Percentage no. of aged persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | living alone |  | living with spouse only | living with spouse \& other members | living without spouse but with |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | owned house | other's house | all <br> (incl. <br> n.r.) | as an inmate of old age home | not as an inmate of old age home |  |  | children | other relations | nonrelations |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 82.4 | 17.6 | 100 | 0 | 2.1 | 31.1 | 48.6 | 16.2 | 2.0 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 85.5 | 14.5 | 100 | 0 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 61.8 | 31.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Assam | 98.3 | 1.7 | 100 | 0 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 67.1 | 25.7 | 0.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Bihar | 95.9 | 3.2 | 100 | 0 | 2.1 | 9.4 | 69.1 | 19.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 98.5 | 1.5 | 100 | 0 | 1.3 | 15.3 | 60.6 | 22.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 94.7 | 5.3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 9.7 | 79.3 | 10.8 | 0.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 96.7 | 3.3 | 100 | 0 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 78.3 | 17.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 98.4 | 1.6 | 100 | 0 | 1.4 | 21.2 | 60.4 | 16.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 97.7 | 2.3 | 100 | 0 | 0.8 | 11.6 | 74.0 | 12.9 | 0.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 97.3 | 2.7 | 100 | 0 | 2.3 | 17.7 | 64.5 | 12.7 | 2.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 98.1 | 1.9 | 100 | 0 | 1.2 | 8.7 | 71.8 | 17.1 | 1.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 96.3 | 3.7 | 100 | 0 | 0.5 | 13.3 | 66.2 | 16.2 | 3.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 94 | 6.0 | 100 | 0 | 1.1 | 15.5 | 68.2 | 14.4 | 0.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 90.2 | 9.8 | 100 | 0 | 1.2 | 22.2 | 64.5 | 9.9 | 2.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 96.3 | 3.7 | 100 | 0 | 0.4 | 13.5 | 68.6 | 14.6 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 94.3 | 5.7 | 100 | 0 | 1.7 | 22.3 | 62.8 | 12.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Manipur | 95.2 | 4.8 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 75.4 | 19.3 | 4.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 99.1 | 0.9 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 73.7 | 22.5 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 87.2 | 12.8 | 100 | 0 | 0.1 | 10.8 | 75.4 | 13.7 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 98.7 | 1.3 | 100 | 0 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 87.4 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 97.7 | 2.3 | 100 | 0 | 1.9 | 19.3 | 64.2 | 12.8 | 1.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 97.6 | 2.4 | 100 | 0 | 0.8 | 9.7 | 70.0 | 18.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 97.0 | 3.0 | 100 | 0 | 0.3 | 18.1 | 65.6 | 13.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 96.3 | 3.7 | 100 | 0 | 9.2 | 4.7 | 54.4 | 31.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 88.3 | 11.7 | 100 | 0 | 2.7 | 27.8 | 54.3 | 14.4 | 0.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Telangana | 92.8 | 7.2 | 100 | 0 | 5.6 | 31.1 | 50.1 | 12.1 | 1.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 95.9 | 4.1 | 100 | 0 | 0.4 | 14.7 | 77.1 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 96 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 3.4 | 21.2 | 51.3 | 22.2 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 93.9 | 6.1 | 100 | 0 | 1.9 | 10.2 | 67.5 | 17.3 | 3.2 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 96.0 | 4.0 | 100 | 0 | 1.4 | 19.2 | 65.7 | 9.2 | 4.5 | 0 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 95.7 | 4.3 | 100 | 0 | 8.5 | 10.5 | 78.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 89.7 | 10.3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 20.6 | 65.1 | 13.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 97.9 | 2.1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 89.5 | 10.5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 85.0 | 15.0 | 100 | 0 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 81.9 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 86.5 | 13.5 | 100 | 0 | 0.5 | 13.2 | 72.6 | 13.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 94.2 | 5.8 | 100 | 0 | 1.6 | 17.9 | 64.1 | 14.5 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 |

Source NSS $75{ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.9(b): Percentage distribution of aged persons by place of stay and type of living arrangement for each State/UT, 2017-18- Contd.

All India-Female

| State/UT/all India | aged person with place to stay |  |  | Percentage no. of aged persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | living alone |  | living with spouse only | living with spouse \& other members | living without spouse but with |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | owned house | other's house | all <br> (incl. <br> n.r.) | as an inmate of old age home | not as an inmate of old age home |  |  | children | other relations | nonrelations |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 70.0 | 30.0 | 100 | 0 | 17.4 | 11.6 | 17.5 | 47.4 | 6.0 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 65.1 | 34.9 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 47.7 | 42.8 | 8.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Assam | 88.3 | 11.7 | 100 | 0 | 0.7 | 3.6 | 34.5 | 54.6 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Bihar | 92.9 | 6.2 | 100 | 0 | 2.5 | 7.4 | 39.1 | 44.6 | 6.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 92.4 | 7.6 | 100 | 0 | 8.7 | 12.9 | 35.5 | 41.2 | 1.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 91.3 | 8.7 | 100 | 0 | 0.3 | 10.5 | 51.6 | 34.1 | 3.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 95.1 | 4.9 | 100 | 0 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 38.0 | 56.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 95.0 | 5.0 | 100 | 0 | 3.4 | 13.8 | 44.3 | 35.8 | 2.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 94.1 | 5.9 | 100 | 0 | 1.5 | 9.4 | 53.5 | 32.3 | 3.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 97.1 | 2.9 | 100 | 0 | 5.8 | 11.8 | 40.2 | 37.7 | 4.6 | 0 | 100 |
|  <br> Kashmir | 96.8 | 3.2 | 100 | 0 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 56.6 | 33.5 | 1.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 90.2 | 9.8 | 100 | 0 | 4.3 | 10.5 | 39.3 | 42.5 | 3.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 89.4 | 10.6 | 100 | 0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 33.5 | 48.8 | 5.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 76.8 | 23.2 | 100 | 0 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 30.9 | 47.0 | 6.2 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 91.7 | 8.3 | 100 | 0 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 45.7 | 36.2 | 2.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 83.9 | 16.1 | 100 | 0 | 5.2 | 14.1 | 35.2 | 39.7 | 5.8 | 0 | 100 |
| Manipur | 85.5 | 14.5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 55.7 | 38.5 | 5.0 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 85.8 | 14.2 | 100 | 0 | 0.2 | 4.7 | 46.9 | 43.9 | 4.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 87.4 | 12.6 | 100 | 0 | 2.4 | 6.9 | 55.1 | 32.4 | 3.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 81.2 | 18.8 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 72 | 25.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Odisha | 92.2 | 7.8 | 100 | 0 | 9.4 | 10.6 | 34.2 | 40.2 | 5.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 92.3 | 7.7 | 100 | 0 | 3.9 | 7.3 | 49.7 | 38.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 94.5 | 5.5 | 100 | 0 | 2.3 | 12.8 | 45.8 | 36.2 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 96.9 | 3.1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | 39 | 51.8 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 77.6 | 22.4 | 100 | 0 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 24.7 | 46.6 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Telangana | 82.8 | 17.2 | 100 | 0 | 15.1 | 13.9 | 23.5 | 37.9 | 9.6 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 93.9 | 6.1 | 100 | 0 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 37.8 | 48.8 | 0.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 95.4 | 4.6 | 100 | 0 | 13.6 | 10.0 | 26.1 | 48.4 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 91.6 | 8.4 | 100 | 0 | 4.1 | 7.9 | 48.4 | 35.0 | 4.6 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 88 | 12 | 100 | 0 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 31.3 | 46.2 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 88.4 | 11.6 | 100 | 0 | 12.8 | 2.9 | 24.6 | 48.6 | 10.8 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 76.1 | 23.9 | 100 | 0 | 1.2 | 13.5 | 47.1 | 29.8 | 8.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 96.0 | 4.0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 24.6 | 15.2 | 58.5 | 1.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 95.1 | 4.9 | 100 | 0 | 44.1 | 0 | 10.7 | 45.2 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 86 | 14 | 100 | 0 | 15.3 | 1.1 | 21.0 | 56.6 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 76.6 | 23.4 | 100 | 0 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 38.7 | 51.6 | 2.1 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 87.1 | 12.8 | 100 | 0 | 6.6 | 10.4 | 37.0 | 41.4 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.9(c): Percentage distribution of aged persons by place of stay and type of living arrangement for each State/UT, 2017-18- Contd.

All India-Person

| State/UT/all India | aged person with place to stay |  |  | Percentage no. of aged persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | living alone |  | living with spouse only | living with spouse \& other members | living without spouse but with |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { (incl. } \\ \text { n.r.) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | owned house | other's house | all <br> (incl. <br> n.r.) | as an inmate of old age home | not as an inmate of old age home |  |  | Children | other relations | nonrelations |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 75.8 | 24.2 | 100 | 0 | 10.3 | 20.8 | 32.1 | 32.8 | 4.1 | 0 | 100 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 75.9 | 24.1 | 100 | 0 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 55.2 | 36.8 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Assam | 93.7 | 6.3 | 100 | 0 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 52.2 | 38.9 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Bihar | 94.5 | 4.6 | 100 | 0 | 2.3 | 8.5 | 54.5 | 31.5 | 3.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Chhattisgarh | 95.4 | 4.6 | 100 | 0 | 5.0 | 14.1 | 48.1 | 31.8 | 1.0 | 0 | 100 |
| Delhi | 93.1 | 6.9 | 100 | 0 | 0.2 | 10.1 | 66.2 | 21.8 | 1.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Goa | 95.9 | 4.1 | 100 | 0 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 59.1 | 35.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Gujarat | 96.6 | 3.4 | 100 | 0 | 2.4 | 17.3 | 51.9 | 26.8 | 1.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Haryana | 96.0 | 4.0 | 100 | 0 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 64.0 | 22.4 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 97.2 | 2.8 | 100 | 0 | 4.2 | 14.5 | 51.4 | 26.1 | 3.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 97.5 | 2.5 | 100 | 0 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 64.8 | 24.6 | 1.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Jharkhand | 93.2 | 6.8 | 100 | 0 | 2.5 | 11.9 | 52.6 | 29.5 | 3.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Karnataka | 91.6 | 8.4 | 100 | 0 | 3.7 | 10.4 | 49.8 | 32.7 | 3.4 | 0 | 100 |
| Kerala | 83.2 | 16.8 | 100 | 0 | 3.4 | 16.0 | 46.7 | 29.5 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 94.1 | 5.9 | 100 | 0 | 3.2 | 11.3 | 57.6 | 24.9 | 2.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Maharashtra | 88.7 | 11.3 | 100 | 0 | 3.6 | 17.9 | 48.0 | 27.0 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Manipur | 90.7 | 9.3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 66.3 | 28.1 | 4.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Meghalaya | 92.9 | 7.1 | 100 | 0 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 61.3 | 32.4 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Mizoram | 87.3 | 12.7 | 100 | 0 | 1.3 | 8.8 | 64.9 | 23.4 | 1.7 | 0 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 92.8 | 7.2 | 100 | 0 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 82.2 | 12.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 100 |
| Odisha | 95.0 | 5.0 | 100 | 0 | 5.6 | 15 | 49.5 | 26.3 | 3.6 | 0 | 100 |
| Punjab | 94.9 | 5.1 | 100 | 0 | 2.4 | 8.5 | 59.7 | 28.8 | 0.6 | 0 | 100 |
| Rajasthan | 95.7 | 4.3 | 100 | 0 | 1.4 | 15.3 | 55.3 | 25.2 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Sikkim | 96.6 | 3.4 | 100 | 0 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 47.1 | 41.1 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Tamil Nadu | 82.4 | 17.6 | 100 | 0 | 8.4 | 19.2 | 38.2 | 31.9 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Telangana | 88.0 | 12.0 | 100 | 0 | 10.1 | 22.9 | 37.4 | 24.4 | 5.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Tripura | 95.0 | 5.0 | 100 | 0 | 2.7 | 11.5 | 59.7 | 25.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttarakhand | 95.7 | 4.3 | 100 | 0 | 8.6 | 15.5 | 38.5 | 35.5 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 92.7 | 7.3 | 100 | 0 | 3.0 | 9.1 | 58 | 26.1 | 3.9 | 0 | 100 |
| West Bengal | 92.2 | 7.8 | 100 | 0 | 4.6 | 14.4 | 49.2 | 26.9 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 100 |
| A \& N Islands | 91.9 | 8.1 | 100 | 0 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 50.2 | 26.2 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Chandigarh | 83.0 | 17.0 | 100 | 0 | 0.6 | 17.1 | 56.2 | 21.6 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 96.9 | 3.1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 39.5 | 32.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 100 |
| Daman \& Diu | 93.1 | 6.9 | 100 | 0 | 28.4 | 0 | 42.5 | 29.1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Lakshadweep | 85.5 | 14.5 | 100 | 0 | 12.0 | 1.7 | 47.8 | 33.2 | 5.3 | 0 | 100 |
| Puducherry | 81.7 | 18.3 | 100 | 0 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 56.1 | 32.1 | 1.1 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 90.6 | 9.4 | 100 | 0 | 4.2 | 14.1 | 50.3 | 28.2 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 100 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.10: Proportion of Persons (\&) that Responded as Ailing (PPRA) by age-group of 60 years and above in each state/UT

| State/UT | PPRA (\%) in a 15-day period by age-group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person |
| Andhra Pradesh | 54.2 | 57.1 | 55.7 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 7.1 | 2.8 | 5.1 |
| Assam | 5.3 | 9.9 | 7.4 |
| Bihar | 13.3 | 5.6 | 9.6 |
| Chhattisgarh | 12.8 | 20.5 | 16.7 |
| Delhi | 26.1 | 40.4 | 32.9 |
| Goa | 38.7 | 28.3 | 33.7 |
| Gujarat | 25.8 | 24.2 | 25.0 |
| Haryana | 16.3 | 17.5 | 16.9 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 26.3 | 28.5 | 27.5 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 23.4 | 31.0 | 26.9 |
| Jharkhand | 15.1 | 17.8 | 16.5 |
| Karnataka | 25.8 | 15.5 | 20.3 |
| Kerala | 61.7 | 63.2 | 62.5 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 15.5 | 15.6 | 15.6 |
| Maharashtra | 26.3 | 26.7 | 26.5 |
| Manipur | 4.4 | 7.6 | 5.9 |
| Meghalaya | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| Mizoram | 10.9 | 6.7 | 8.7 |
| Nagaland | 3.9 | 14.6 | 7.5 |
| Odisha | 23.7 | 24.4 | 24 |
| Punjab | 28.2 | 34.5 | 31.4 |
| Rajasthan | 15.2 | 12.6 | 13.8 |
| Sikkim | 18.0 | 22.9 | 20.3 |
| Tamil Nadu | 23.8 | 19.8 | 21.6 |
| Telangana | 36.1 | 18.7 | 27.8 |
| Tripura | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| Uttarakhand | 10.7 | 11.0 | 10.9 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 20.5 | 22.4 | 21.4 |
| West Bengal | 45.3 | 50.8 | 47.9 |
| A \& N Islands | 33.7 | 26.6 | 30.0 |
| Chandigarh | 30.2 | 41.3 | 35.7 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 29.2 | 3.3 | 14.9 |
| Daman \& Diu | 16.9 | 0.7 | 6.4 |
| Lakshadweep | 38.6 | 67.2 | 54.6 |
| Puducherry | 7.5 | 27.8 | 17.4 |
| All-India | 27.5 | 27.9 | 27.7 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round, (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.11: Percentage of persons ( 60 years and above) treated as in patient during last 365 days in each state/UT

| State/UT | Percentage of persons treated as in-patient |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | all-India |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Andhra Pradesh | 118 | 69 | 92 | 126 | 84 | 104 | 120 | 73 | 95 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 128 | 69 | 100 | 90 | 94 | 91 | 124 | 71 | 99 |
| Assam | 25 | 24 | 24 | 76 | 51 | 62 | 31 | 28 | 30 |
| Bihar | 36 | 24 | 30 | 40 | 56 | 47 | 37 | 28 | 33 |
| Chhattisgarh | 60 | 43 | 52 | 89 | 123 | 105 | 67 | 60 | 63 |
| Delhi | 37 | 148 | 45 | 141 | 69 | 107 | 138 | 69 | 106 |
| Goa | 121 | 77 | 99 | 259 | 170 | 220 | 192 | 119 | 158 |
| Gujarat | 55 | 44 | 49 | 103 | 91 | 97 | 74 | 60 | 67 |
| Haryana | 76 | 80 | 78 | 89 | 49 | 69 | 81 | 67 | 74 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 179 | 116 | 145 | 52 | 41 | 46 | 168 | 110 | 137 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 64 | 64 | 64 | 59 | 115 | 83 | 62 | 77 | 69 |
| Jharkhand | 36 | 17 | 26 | 83 | 64 | 74 | 48 | 28 | 38 |
| Karnataka | 114 | 67 | 87 | 87 | 88 | 87 | 103 | 74 | 87 |
| Kerala | 239 | 248 | 244 | 286 | 198 | 240 | 260 | 226 | 242 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 73 | 87 | 80 | 78 | 69 | 73 | 75 | 82 | 78 |
| Maharashtra | 76 | 67 | 71 | 98 | 71 | 84 | 85 | 69 | 77 |
| Manipur | 71 | 72 | 71 | 90 | 58 | 74 | 78 | 66 | 72 |
| Meghalaya | 49 | 61 | 54 | 59 | 48 | 52 | 51 | 56 | 53 |
| Mizoram | 57 | 41 | 49 | 33 | 84 | 60 | 45 | 63 | 54 |
| Nagaland | 32 | 40 | 35 | 69 | 72 | 70 | 40 | 47 | 42 |
| Odisha | 79 | 58 | 69 | 114 | 68 | 91 | 84 | 60 | 72 |
| Punjab | 82 | 93 | 88 | 78 | 76 | 77 | 80 | 86 | 83 |
| Rajasthan | 91 | 53 | 72 | 95 | 82 | 88 | 92 | 60 | 75 |
| Sikkim | 59 | 136 | 95 | 576 | 347 | 482 | 80 | 143 | 109 |
| Tamil Nadu | 71 | 50 | 60 | 106 | 50 | 74 | 86 | 50 | 66 |
| Telangana | 72 | 50 | 60 | 85 | 98 | 90 | 78 | 68 | 73 |
| Tripura | 160 | 117 | 141 | 135 | 91 | 115 | 153 | 109 | 134 |
| Uttarakhand | 48 | 36 | 42 | 185 | 30 | 113 | 86 | 35 | 60 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 66 | 48 | 57 | 98 | 113 | 105 | 73 | 62 | 67 |
| West Bengal | 112 | 83 | 99 | 135 | 105 | 120 | 121 | 92 | 107 |
| A \& N Islands | 172 | 76 | 125 | 127 | 167 | 149 | 154 | 116 | 135 |
| Chandigarh | 18 | 15 | 15 | 68 | 69 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 27 | 4 | 14 | 541 | 469 | 493 | 73 | 71 | 72 |
| Daman \& Diu | 40 | 44 | 43 | 0 | 354 | 180 | 31 | 81 | 63 |
| Lakshadweep | 708 | 205 | 383 | 208 | 196 | 202 | 307 | 199 | 246 |
| Puducherry | 6 | 84 | 39 | 137 | 102 | 118 | 74 | 95 | 84 |
| All-India | 86 | 68 | 77 | 116 | 88 | 102 | 96 | 75 | 85 |

Source: NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018)- Social Consumption in India: Health

Table 5.12: Number of ailments of each broad ailment category reported per 100000 persons during the last 15 days by Elderly age-group- India

| broad ailment category | Rural |  | Urban |  | All India |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | 70+ | 60+ |  |  | 70+ | 60+ | 70+ | 60+ | 70+ | 60+ | 70+ | 60+ |
| Infection | 4,327 | 3,496 | 1,819 | 2,452 | 3,841 | 3,116 | 3,175 | 3,189 | 3,498 | 3,153 |
| Cancers | 111 | 122 | 193 | 207 | 169 | 173 | 110 | 128 | 138 | 150 |
| Blood diseases | 131 | 124 | 1,428 | 580 | 491 | 261 | 624 | 287 | 560 | 274 |
| Endocrine, metabolic | 5,569 | 4,813 | 13,813 | 11,785 | 8,825 | 7,136 | 7,798 | 7,074 | 8,295 | 7,104 |
| Psychiatric \& Neurological | 1,489 | 1,464 | 1,429 | 1,213 | 1,906 | 1,530 | 1,058 | 1,238 | 1,469 | 1,381 |
| Eye | 816 | 497 | 639 | 404 | 792 | 430 | 725 | 503 | 757 | 467 |
| Ear | 216 | 170 | 131 | 53 | 186 | 108 | 189 | 155 | 188 | 132 |
| Cardio-vascular | 9,575 | 8,182 | 18,365 | 14,062 | 12,892 | 10,179 | 12,090 | 10,051 | 12,481 | 10,115 |
| Respiratory | 2,986 | 2,270 | 3,144 | 2,343 | 3,265 | 2,460 | 2,825 | 2,134 | 3,038 | 2,294 |
| Gastro-intestinal | 1,330 | 895 | 838 | 637 | 1,046 | 739 | 1,282 | 879 | 1,167 | 810 |
| Skin | 189 | 300 | 257 | 225 | 273 | 302 | 154 | 250 | 212 | 276 |
| Musculo-skeletal | 5,916 | 4,438 | 4,565 | 4,316 | 4,256 | 3,724 | 6,610 | 5,047 | 5,469 | 4,398 |
| Genito-Urinary | 357 | 296 | 410 | 369 | 455 | 432 | 299 | 212 | 375 | 320 |
| Obstetric | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Injuries | 349 | 237 | 216 | 177 | 303 | 240 | 307 | 195 | 305 | 217 |
| Other | 266 | 445 | 529 | 613 | 316 | 376 | 387 | 621 | 353 | 500 |
| all | 33,627 | 27,752 | 47,777 | 39,437 | 39,017 | 31,205 | 37,635 | 31,963 | 38,306 | 31,591 |

Source: NSS 75 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July 2017 - June 2018) - Social Consumption in India: Health

Note: Estimated number of ailments given in the above table is design based estimates and may be used as control totals for combining and arriving at rates and ratios. These figures are not intended for providing estimates of the current ailment.

Table 5.13: Percentage of persons of age group 60 years and above participating in different activities in a day and Average time spent per day per participant in different activities

| Description of the activity | Percentage of persons 60 years and above |  |  | Average time (in minutes) spent in a day per participant of 60 years and above |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Employment and related activities | 46.8 | 16.0 | 31.9 | 377 | 298 | 358 |
| Production of goods for own final use | 27.7 | 26.1 | 26.9 | 220 | 135 | 180 |
| Unpaid domestic services for household members | 35.6 | 79.1 | 56.7 | 115 | 247 | 204 |
| Unpaid care giving services for household members | 10.7 | 17.1 | 13.8 | 89 | 119 | 107 |
| Unpaid volunteer, trainee and other unpaid work | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 99 | 102 | 100 |
| Learning | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 113 | 161 | 130 |
| Socializing and communication, community participation and religious practice | 97.1 | 96.1 | 96.6 | 205 | 195 | 200 |
| Culture, leisure, mass-media and sports practices | 85.1 | 81.1 | 83.1 | 190 | 192 | 191 |
| Self-care and maintenance | 100 | 100 | 100 | 789 | 795 | 792 |
|  | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Employment and related activities | 35.5 | 8.7 | 22.3 | 459 | 328 | 434 |
| Production of goods for own final use | 6.1 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 130 | 72 | 96 |
| Unpaid domestic services for household members | 32.6 | 76.6 | 54.3 | 105 | 238 | 198 |
| Unpaid care giving services for household members | 12.1 | 17.2 | 14.6 | 91 | 117 | 106 |
| Unpaid volunteer, trainee and other unpaid work | 3.7 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 130 | 116 | 124 |
| Learning | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 86 | 132 | 102 |
| Socializing and communication, community participation and religious practice | 95.9 | 96.4 | 96.1 | 196 | 186 | 191 |
| Culture, leisure, mass-media and sports practices | 95.0 | 93.7 | 94.3 | 258 | 235 | 247 |
| Self-care and maintenance | 100 | 100 | 100 | 786 | 800 | 793 |
|  | Rural + Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Employment and related activities | 43.5 | 13.8 | 29.0 | 397 | 304 | 375 |
| Production of goods for own final use | 21.4 | 20.8 | 21.1 | 213 | 127 | 172 |
| Unpaid domestic services for household members | 34.7 | 78.3 | 56.0 | 112 | 245 | 202 |
| Unpaid care giving services for household members | 11.1 | 17.1 | 14.1 | 90 | 118 | 107 |
| Unpaid volunteer, trainee and other unpaid work | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 109 | 106 | 108 |
| Learning | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 102 | 149 | 118 |
| Socializing and communication, community participation and religious practice | 96.7 | 96.2 | 96.5 | 202 | 192 | 197 |
| Culture, leisure, mass-media and sports practices | 88.0 | 84.9 | 86.5 | 211 | 207 | 209 |
| Self-care and maintenance | 100 | 100 | 100 | 788 | 797 | 792 |

Note: The estimates have been calculated considering all the activities in a time slot.
Source: Time Use Survey Report, 2019, MoSPI (Statement 9 and 10).

Table 5.14: Percentage of persons (age 60 years and above) participating in a day in unpaid domestic service activities for household members and Average time spent in a day in unpaid domestic service activities

| Description of the activity | Percentage of persons 60 years and above participating in a day in unpaid domestic service activities |  |  | Minutes spent in a day on an average per participant ( 60 years and above) in unpaid domestic service activities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Food and meals management and preparation | 7.6 | 67.7 | 36.8 | 107 | 173 | 166 |
| Cleaning and maintaining of own dwelling and surroundings | 13.1 | 62.0 | 36.8 | 59 | 72 | 69 |
| Do-it-yourself decoration, maintenance and repair | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 92 | 72 | 84 |
| Care and maintenance of textiles and footwear | 3.5 | 33.9 | 18.2 | 39 | 55 | 54 |
| Household management for own final use | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 70 | 68 | 69 |
| Pet care | 9.0 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 114 | 100 | 109 |
| Shopping for own household members | 10.5 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 63 | 56 | 61 |
| Travelling, moving, transporting or accompanying goods or persons related to unpaid domestic services for household members | 4.4 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 61 | 57 | 60 |
| Other unpaid domestic services for household members | 2.4 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 83 | 91 | 88 |
| All unpaid domestic services for household members | 35.6 | 79.1 | 56.7 | 115 | 247 | 204 |
|  | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Food and meals management and preparation | 7.7 | 68.4 | 37.7 | 92 | 168 | 160 |
| Cleaning and maintaining of own dwelling and surroundings | 9.8 | 52.7 | 31.0 | 63 | 68 | 67 |
| Do-it-yourself decoration, maintenance and repair | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 69 | 63 | 67 |
| Care and maintenance of textiles and footwear | 2.7 | 33.3 | 17.8 | 49 | 61 | 60 |
| Household management for own final use | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 66 | 81 | 73 |
| Pet care | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 92 | 82 | 88 |
| Shopping for own household members | 17.6 | 7.6 | 12.6 | 62 | 56 | 60 |
| Travelling, moving, transporting or accompanying goods or persons related to unpaid domestic services for household members | 6.6 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 60 | 50 | 57 |
| Other unpaid domestic services for household members | 1.7 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 80 | 84 | 82 |
| All unpaid domestic services for household members | 32.6 | 76.6 | 54.3 | 105 | 238 | 198 |
|  | Rural + Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Food and meals management and preparation | 7.6 | 67.9 | 37.1 | 102 | 172 | 164 |
| Cleaning and maintaining of own dwelling and surroundings | 12.1 | 59.2 | 35.1 | 60 | 71 | 69 |
| Do-it-yourself decoration, maintenance and repair | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 85 | 70 | 80 |
| Care and maintenance of textiles and footwear | 3.3 | 33.7 | 18.1 | 42 | 57 | 55 |
| Household management for own final use | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 69 | 72 | 70 |
| Pet care | 6.8 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 113 | 99 | 107 |
| Shopping for own household members | 12.6 | 4.8 | 8.8 | 63 | 56 | 61 |
| Travelling, moving, transporting or accompanying goods or persons related to unpaid domestic services for household members | 5.0 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 60 | 54 | 59 |
| Other unpaid domestic services for household members | 2.2 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 82 | 89 | 87 |
| All unpaid domestic services for household members | 34.7 | 78.3 | 56 | 112 | 245 | 202 |

Source: Time Use Survey Report, 2019, MoSPI (Statement 17, 18 and Appendix Table 6, 7)

Table 5.15: Average time (in minutes) spent in a day per person (age 60 years and above) in selfcare and maintenance activities

| Type of self-care and maintenance activities | Average time (in minutes) spent in a day per person |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person |
| Sleep and related activities | 591 | 614 | 603 |
| Eating and drinking | 108 | 100 | 104 |
| Personal hygiene and care | 79 | 74 | 76 |
| Receiving personal and health/medical care from others | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Travelling time related to self-care and maintenance activities | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Other self-care and maintenance activities | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| All self-care and maintenance activities | 789 | 795 | 792 |
| Urban |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person |
| Sleep and related activities | 587 | 615 | 601 |
| Eating and drinking | 112 | 106 | 109 |
| Personal hygiene and care | 77 | 70 | 74 |
| Receiving personal and health/medical care from others | 3 | 3 |  |
| Travelling time related to self-care and maintenance activities | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Other self-care and maintenance activities | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| All self-care and maintenance activities | 786 | 800 | 793 |
| Rural + Urban |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person |
| Sleep and related activities | 590 | 615 | 602 |
| Eating and drinking | 109 | 102 | 106 |
| Personal hygiene and care | 78 | 73 | 76 |
| Receiving personal and health/medical care from others | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Travelling time related to self-care and maintenance activities | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Other self-care and maintenance activities | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| All self-care and maintenance activities | 788 | 797 | 792 |

Source: Time Use Survey Report, 2019, MoSPI (Statement 41 and Appendix Table 8)

Table 5.16: Percentage of persons of age group 60 years and above participating in a day in unpaid activities, paid activities and residual other activities \& Average time (in minutes) spent in a day per participant of different age groups in unpaid activities, paid activities and residual other activities

| Description of activities | Percentage of persons participating in a day |  |  | Average time (in minutes) spent in a day per participant |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Persons |
| Self-development/ self-care/ self-maintenance, etc. - 01 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1165 | 1143 | 1155 |
| Care for children, sick, elderly, differently-abled persons in own households - 02 | 10.5 | 16.8 | 13.6 | 88 | 119 | 107 |
| Production of other services for own consumption - 03 | 35.8 | 79.1 | 56.8 | 115 | 248 | 204 |
| Production of goods for own consumption - 04 | 27.7 | 26.1 | 26.9 | 220 | 135 | 180 |
| Voluntary work for production of goods in households - 05 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 80 | 86 | 82 |
| Voluntary work for production of services in households - 06 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 99 | 95 | 97 |
| Voluntary work for production of goods in market/nonmarket units - 07 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 127 | 165 | 144 |
| Voluntary work for production of services in market/non-market units - 08 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 122 | 133 | 125 |
| Unpaid trainee work for production of goods - 09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 45 | 88 |
| Unpaid trainee work for production of services - 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 75 | 91 |
| Other unpaid work for production of goods - 11 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 135 | 96 | 124 |
| Other unpaid work for production of services - 12 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 81 | 139 | 95 |
| All unpaid (codes 02 to 12) | 57.3 | 84.8 | 70.6 | 200 | 299 | 257 |
| Self-employment for production of goods - 13 | 25.2 | 7.9 | 16.8 | 323 | 246 | 305 |
| Self-employment for production of services - 14 | 5.6 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 350 | 268 | 336 |
| Regular wage/salary for production of goods - 15 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 375 | 326 | 365 |
| Regular wage/salary for production of services - 16 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 294 | 269 | 289 |
| Casual labour: for production of goods - 17 | 8.8 | 3.9 | 6.4 | 389 | 350 | 378 |
| Casual labour: for production of services - 18 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 351 | 277 | 330 |
| All paid (codes 13 to 18) | 44.0 | 14.8 | 29.9 | 364 | 293 | 347 |
| All unpaid/paid activities (02 to 18) | 78.6 | 85.8 | 82.1 | 349 | 346 | 348 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1165 | 1143 | 1155 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Persons |
| Self-development/ self-care/ self-maintenance, etc. - 01 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1231 | 1203 | 1217 |
| Care for children, sick, elderly, differently-abled persons in own households - 02 | 11.8 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 89 | 117 | 105 |
| Production of other services for own consumption - 03 | 32.9 | 76.6 | 54.5 | 106 | 238 | 198 |
| Production of goods for own consumption - 04 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 130 | 72 | 96 |
| Voluntary work for production of goods in households - 05 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 89 | 93 | 91 |
| Voluntary work for production of services in households - 06 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 96 | 106 | 100 |
| Voluntary work for production of goods in market/nonmarket units - 07 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 276 | 273 | 275 |
| Voluntary work for production of services in market/non-market units - 08 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 206 | 168 | 198 |
| Unpaid trainee work for production of goods - 09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 41 |


| Description of activities | Percentage of persons participating in a day |  |  | Average time (in minutes) spent in a day per participant |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unpaid trainee work for production of services - 10 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 220 | 234 | 226 |
| Other unpaid work for production of goods - 11 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 30 | 48 |
| Other unpaid work for production of services - 12 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 109 | 99 | 107 |
| All unpaid (codes 02 to 12) | 44.6 | 81.3 | 62.8 | 130 | 259 | 213 |
| Self-employment for production of goods - 13 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 359 | 247 | 334 |
| Self-employment for production of services - 14 | 13.1 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 420 | 333 | 409 |
| Regular wage/salary for production of goods - 15 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 442 | 374 | 432 |
| Regular wage/salary for production of services - 16 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 415 | 330 | 399 |
| Casual labour: for production of goods - 17 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 422 | 334 | 396 |
| Casual labour: for production of services - 18 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 413 | 331 | 392 |
| All paid (codes 13 to 18) | 34.1 | 8.0 | 21.2 | 442 | 327 | 420 |
| All unpaid/paid activities (02 to 18) | 67.4 | 82.2 | 74.7 | 309 | 289 | 298 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1231 | 1203 | 1217 |
| Rural + Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Persons |
| Self-development/ self-care/ self-maintenance, etc. - 01 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1185 | 1161 | 1173 |
| Care for children, sick, elderly, differently-abled persons in own households - 02 | 10.9 | 16.9 | 13.8 | 88 | 119 | 106 |
| Production of other services for own consumption - 03 | 35.0 | 78.4 | 56.1 | 112 | 245 | 202 |
| Production of goods for own consumption - 04 | 21.4 | 20.8 | 21.1 | 213 | 127 | 172 |
| Voluntary work for production of goods in households - 05 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 82 | 88 | 84 |
| Voluntary work for production of services in households - 06 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 98 | 99 | 98 |
| Voluntary work for production of goods in market/nonmarket units - 07 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 168 | 180 | 173 |
| Voluntary work for production of services in market/non-market units - 08 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 168 | 148 | 163 |
| Unpaid trainee work for production of goods - 09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 45 | 82 |
| Unpaid trainee work for production of services - 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 185 | 202 |
| Other unpaid work for production of goods - 11 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 85 | 107 |
| Other unpaid work for production of services - 12 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 90 | 128 | 99 |
| All unpaid (codes 02 to 12) | 53.6 | 83.8 | 68.3 | 183 | 287 | 245 |
| Self-employment for production of goods - 13 | 20.0 | 6.1 | 13.2 | 327 | 246 | 308 |
| Self-employment for production of services - 14 | 7.8 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 384 | 293 | 371 |
| Regular wage/salary for production of goods - 15 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 397 | 338 | 386 |
| Regular wage/salary for production of services - 16 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 359 | 297 | 346 |
| Casual labour: for production of goods - 17 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 394 | 348 | 380 |
| Casual labour: for production of services - 18 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 370 | 291 | 348 |
| All paid (codes 13 to 18) | 41.1 | 12.8 | 27.3 | 383 | 300 | 364 |
| All unpaid/paid activities (02 to 18) | 75.3 | 84.7 | 79.9 | 339 | 329 | 334 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1185 | 1161 | 1173 |

Source: Time Use Survey Report, 2019, MoSPI (Statement 24, 25 and Appendix Table 12, 14)

Table 5.17: Average time spent in a day per person of age 60 years and above in travelling

| Description of activities | Average time (in minutes) spent in a day per person |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person |
| Travelling and commuting for employment - 18 | 25 | 6 | 16 |
| Travelling, moving, transporting or accompanying goods or persons related to own-use production of goods - 25 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Travelling, moving, transporting or accompanying goods or persons related to unpaid domestic services for household members - 38 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Travelling and accompanying goods or persons related to unpaid caregiving services for household members - 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling time related to unpaid volunteer, trainee and other unpaid work - 54 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Travelling time related to learning - 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling time related to socializing and communication, community participation and religious practice - 75 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Travelling time related to culture, leisure, mass-media and sports practices - 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling time related to self-care and maintenance activities - 95 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Travelling time | 41 | 12 | 27 |
| Description of activities | Urban |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person |
| Travelling and commuting for employment - 18 | 21 | 3 | 12 |
| Travelling, moving, transporting or accompanying goods or persons related to own-use production of goods - 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling, moving, transporting or accompanying goods or persons related to unpaid domestic services for household members - 38 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Travelling and accompanying goods or persons related to unpaid caregiving services for household members - 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling time related to unpaid volunteer, trainee and other unpaid work - 54 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Travelling time related to learning - 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling time related to socializing and communication, community participation and religious practice - 75 | 7 | 4 | 6 |
| Travelling time related to culture, leisure, mass-media and sports practices - 86 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Travelling time related to self-care and maintenance activities - 95 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Travelling time | 40 | 10 | 26 |
| Description of activities | Rural + Urban |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Person |
| Travelling and commuting for employment - 18 | 24 | 5 | 15 |
| Travelling, moving, transporting or accompanying goods or persons related to own-use production of goods - 25 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Travelling, moving, transporting or accompanying goods or persons related to unpaid domestic services for household members - 38 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Travelling and accompanying goods or persons related to unpaid caregiving services for household members - 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling time related to unpaid volunteer, trainee and other unpaid work - 54 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Travelling time related to learning - 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling time related to socializing and communication, community participation and religious practice - 75 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Travelling time related to culture, leisure, mass-media and sports practices - 86 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Travelling time related to self-care and maintenance activities - 95 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Travelling time | 41 | 12 | 27 |

Source: Time Use Survey Report, 2019, MoSPI (Statement 40 and Appendix Table 8)

Table 5.18: Average time (in minutes) spent in a day per participant and per person of age 60 years and above in SNA production, non-SNA production and residual other activities in a day

| Description of the activity | Average time spent in a day per participant |  |  | Average time spent in a day per person |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
|  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |
| SNA production | 350 | 211 | 300 | 222 | 80 | 154 |
| Non-SNA production | 120 | 261 | 211 | 52 | 217 | 132 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 349 | 346 | 348 | 275 | 297 | 285 |
| Residual other activities | 1165 | 1143 | 1155 | 1165 | 1143 | 1155 |
|  | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
| SNA production | 406 | 205 | 348 | 161 | 33 | 98 |
| Non-SNA production | 115 | 252 | 205 | 48 | 204 | 125 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 309 | 289 | 298 | 209 | 237 | 223 |
| Residual other activities | 1231 | 1203 | 1217 | 1231 | 1203 | 1217 |
|  | Rural + Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
| SNA production | 361 | 210 | 309 | 204 | 65 | 136 |
| Non-SNA production | 119 | 258 | 209 | 51 | 213 | 130 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 339 | 329 | 334 | 255 | 279 | 267 |
| Residual other activities | 1185 | 1161 | 1173 | 1185 | 1161 | 1173 |

Source: Time Use Survey Report, 2019, MoSPI (Statement 33 and 34)

Table 5.19: Percentage of persons and minutes in a day spent on an average per participant of age 60 years and above in SNA activities, Non-SNA activities and residual other activities where the activity was a major activity (considering only the major activity of the time lots) for each broad principal activity status

| Broad principal activity status | Rural - Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Worker |  | Unemployed |  | Not in labour force |  | All |  |
| SNA/NonSNA/residual other activities | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant |
| SNA production | 89.3 | 388 | 22.8 | 196 | 19.2 | 193 | 63.2 | 366 |
| Non-SNA production | 42.6 | 122 | 41.7 | 129 | 39.3 | 151 | 41.4 | 132 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 94.9 | 420 | 52.1 | 189 | 48.7 | 198 | 77.7 | 368 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 1041 | 100 | 1342 | 100 | 1344 | 100 | 1154 |
| Broad principal | Rural - Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| activity status | Worker |  | Unemployed |  | Not in labour force |  | All |  |
| SNA/NonSNA/residual other activities | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant |
| SNA production | 84.1 | 334 | 21.7 | 243 | 27.4 | 155 | 37.0 | 224 |
| Non-SNA production | 91.6 | 239 | 66.8 | 199 | 80.3 | 287 | 82.2 | 278 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 98.6 | 507 | 70.9 | 262 | 82.4 | 331 | 85.2 | 366 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 940 | 100 | 1254 | 100 | 1167 | 100 | 1129 |
| Broad principal | Rural - Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| activity status | Worker |  | Unemployed |  | Not in labour force |  | All |  |
| SNA/NonSNA/residual other activities | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes <br> spent on an <br> average in <br> a day per <br> participant |
| SNA production | 88.3 | 378 | 22.4 | 213 | 24.8 | 164 | 50.5 | 316 |
| Non-SNA production | 52.6 | 164 | 51.4 | 164 | 67.1 | 261 | 61.2 | 227 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 95.7 | 438 | 59.4 | 222 | 71.6 | 302 | 81.3 | 367 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Residual other } \\ & \text { activities } \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 1021 | 100 | 1308 | 100 | 1224 | 100 | 1142 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Broad principal } \\ & \text { activity status } \end{aligned}$ | Urban - Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Worker |  | Unemployed |  | Not in labour force |  | All |  |
| SNA/NonSNA/residual other activities | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant |
| SNA production | 88.7 | 469 | 12.7 | 265 | 8.7 | 160 | 39.3 | 427 |
| Non-SNA production | 30.7 | 107 | 55.1 | 87 | 44.7 | 135 | 39.4 | 126 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 94.2 | 476 | 59.6 | 137 | 48.5 | 153 | 66.1 | 329 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 991 | 100 | 1358 | 100 | 1366 | 100 | 1222 |
|  | Urban - Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Worker |  | Unemployed |  | Not in labour force |  | All |  |
| SNA/NonSNA/residual other activities | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant |
| SNA production | 83.0 | 361 | 7.1 | 30 | 9.4 | 115 | 15.6 | 225 |


| Non-SNA production | 89.5 | 227 | 70.5 | 250 | 78.9 | 275 | 79.7 | 270 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 98.7 | 509 | 70.5 | 253 | 79.6 | 286 | 81.2 | 308 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 938 | 100 | 1261 | 100 | 1212 | 100 | 1189 |
| Broad principal activity status | Urban - Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Worker |  | Unemployed |  | Not in labour force |  | All |  |
| SNA/NonSNA/residual other activities | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant |
| SNA production | 87.7 | 451 | 11.0 | 220 | 9.1 | 132 | 27.6 | 370 |
| Non-SNA production | 41.2 | 153 | 59.7 | 145 | 65.0 | 236 | 59.4 | 222 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 95.0 | 482 | 62.9 | 176 | 67.0 | 247 | 73.5 | 318 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 982 | 100 | 1329 | 100 | 1275 | 100 | 1206 |
| Broad principal | Rural + Urban - Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| activity status | Worker |  | Unemployed |  | Not in labour force |  | All |  |
| SNA/NonSNA/residual other activities | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant |
| SNA production | 89.2 | 404 | 18.1 | 218 | 15.0 | 185 | 56.2 | 378 |
| Non-SNA production | 40.2 | 120 | 47.9 | 106 | 41.5 | 144 | 40.8 | 130 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 94.8 | 431 | 55.6 | 163 | 48.6 | 180 | 74.3 | 358 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 1031 | 100 | 1350 | 100 | 1353 | 100 | 1174 |
| Broad principal | Rural + Urban - Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| activity status | Worker |  | Unemployed |  | Not in labour force |  | All |  |
| SNA/NonSNA/residual other activities | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant |
| SNA production | 83.9 | 338 | 16.3 | 208 | 21.6 | 149 | 30.6 | 224 |
| Non-SNA production | 91.3 | 237 | 68.2 | 219 | 79.9 | 283 | 81.5 | 275 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 98.6 | 507 | 70.7 | 259 | 81.5 | 317 | 84.0 | 349 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 940 | 100 | 1257 | 100 | 1182 | 100 | 1147 |
| Broad principal | Rural + Urban - Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| activity status | Worker |  | Unemployed |  | Not in labour force |  | All |  |
| SNA/NonSNA/residual other activities | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | \% of persons | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Minutes spent on an average in a day per participant |
| SNA production | 88.2 | 392 | 17.5 | 215 | 19.3 | 159 | 43.7 | 326 |
| Non-SNA production | 50.4 | 162 | 55.0 | 155 | 66.4 | 252 | 60.6 | 225 |
| SNA and non-SNA production | 95.5 | 447 | 60.9 | 202 | 69.9 | 283 | 79.0 | 353 |
| Residual other activities | 100 | 1013 | 100 | 1317 | 100 | 1242 | 100 | 1161 |

Source: Time Use Survey Report, 2019, MoSPI (Appendix Table 35)

Table 5.20: Percentage of aged persons ( 60 years and above) by broad type of disability considering only one broad type of disability- India

| Sector | Gender | broad type of disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | estimated persons (00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { only } \\ \text { blindness } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { only low } \\ \text { vision } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | only speech and language disability | only mental <br> retardation/ <br> intellectual <br> disability | $\begin{gathered} \text { only } \\ \text { mental } \\ \text { illness } \end{gathered}$ | only other <br> type of disability | multiple <br> disability | $\begin{gathered} \text { any } \\ \text { disability } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { no } \\ \text { disability } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rural | Male | 5.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 8.7 | 91.3 | 100.0 | 379782 |
|  | Female | 4.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 8.3 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 363132 |
|  | Person | 4.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 8.5 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 743165 |
| Urban | Male | 4.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 8.1 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 158269 |
|  | Female | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 8.1 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 162414 |
|  | Person | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 8.1 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 320783 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rural } \\ + \\ \text { Urban } \end{gathered}$ | Male | 5.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 8.5 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 538051 |
|  | Female | 4.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 8.2 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 525546 |
|  | Person | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 8.4 | 91.6 | 100.0 | 1063947 |

Source: NSS 76 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July-December 2018) - Persons with Disabilities in India.

Table 5.21: Percentage of aged persons ( 60 years and above) by broad type of disability- India

| Sector | Gender | broad type of disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | estimated persons <br> (00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | locomotor disability | blindness | $\begin{gathered} \text { low } \\ \text { vision } \end{gathered}$ | visual disability | hearing disability | speech and language disability | mental retardation/ intellectual disability | mental illness | other type of disability | $\begin{gathered} \text { any } \\ \text { disability } \end{gathered}$ | no disability | $\begin{gathered} \text { all } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rural | Male | 5.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 8.7 | 91.3 | 100.0 | 379782 |
|  | Female | 5.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 8.3 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 363132 |
|  | Person | 5.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 743165 |
| Urban | Male | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 8.1 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 158269 |
|  | Female | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 8.1 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 162414 |
|  | Person | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 8.1 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 320783 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rural } \\ + \\ \text { Urban } \end{gathered}$ | Male | 5.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 8.5 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 538051 |
|  | Female | 5.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 8.2 | 91.8 | 100.0 | 525546 |
|  | Person | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 8.4 | 91.6 | 100.0 | 1063947 |

Source: NSS 76 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July-December 2018) - Persons with Disabilities in India.

Table 5.22: Percentage of aged persons ( 60 years and above) with disability by status of treatment taken for different broad type of disability - India

| Sector | Gender | Broad type of disability | status of treatment taken |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estimated no. of persons with disability (00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | treatment taken |  | undergoing treatment |  | attending special school/spe cial therapy | treatment not taken |  |  | not known | all |  |
|  |  |  | consulting doctor | otherwise | consulting doctor | otherwise |  | cannot afford | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { available } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { required } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Rural | Male | blindness | 65.4 | 3.4 | 15.9 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3104 |
|  |  | low vision | 60.0 | 3.1 | 18.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 11.3 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2267 |
|  |  | visual disability | 63.1 | 3.3 | 17.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 8.8 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5371 |
|  |  | hearing disability | 58.6 | 4.6 | 8.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 10.8 | 1.1 | 13.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 6252 |
|  |  | speech and language disability | 52.3 | 1.8 | 25.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 1105 |
|  |  | mental illness | 42.0 | 0.9 | 43.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 890 |
|  | Female | blindness | 64.5 | 4.0 | 13.2 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 8.7 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3602 |
|  |  | low vision | 57.2 | 3.6 | 19.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2481 |
|  |  | visual disability | 61.5 | 3.9 | 15.6 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 9.6 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 6083 |
|  |  | hearing disability | 53.9 | 3.7 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 15.0 | 1.4 | 14.8 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 5878 |
|  |  | speech and language disability | 54.3 | 4.1 | 12.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 3.3 | 11.3 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 681 |
|  |  | mental illness | 42.5 | 2.6 | 33.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1006 |
|  | Person | blindness | 64.9 | 3.7 | 14.4 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 6708 |
|  |  | low vision | 58.5 | 3.4 | 18.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 11.2 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 4749 |
|  |  | visual disability | 62.3 | 3.6 | 16.2 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 9.3 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 11457 |
|  |  | hearing disability | 56.3 | 4.2 | 8.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 12.9 | 1.2 | 14.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 12132 |
|  |  | speech and language disability | 53.0 | 2.7 | 20.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 1786 |
|  |  | mental illness | 42.3 | 1.8 | 37.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1896 |
| Urban | Male | blindness | 58.8 | 2.6 | 22.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 876 |


| Sector | Gender | Broad type of | status of treatment taken |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estimated no. of persons with disability (00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | disability | treatment taken |  | undergoing treatment |  | attending special school/spe cial therapy | treatment not taken |  |  | not known | all |  |
|  |  |  | consulting doctor | otherwise | consulting doctor | otherwise |  | cannot afford |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { required } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | low vision | 57.7 | 2.2 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 575 |
|  |  | visual disability | 58.4 | 2.5 | 24.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 6.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1451 |
|  |  | hearing disability | 58.7 | 3.4 | 14.1 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 0.9 | 12.2 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 2297 |
|  |  | speech and language disability | 45.4 | 3.1 | 33.4 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 555 |
|  |  | mental illness | 23.9 | 0.0 | 66.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 416 |
|  | Female | blindness | 51.2 | 1.6 | 24.3 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 11.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1026 |
|  |  | low vision | 54.7 | 2.1 | 31.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 716 |
|  |  | visual disability | 52.7 | 1.8 | 27.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 0.9 | 8.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1742 |
|  |  | hearing disability | 56.2 | 2.8 | 13.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 8.0 | 0.6 | 15.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2239 |
|  |  | speech and language disability | 49.0 | 0.9 | 26.3 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 313 |
|  |  | mental illness | 22.2 | 0.8 | 64.1 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 641 |
|  | Person | blindness | 54.7 | 2.1 | 23.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 9.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1902 |
|  |  | low vision | 56.0 | 2.1 | 30.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1293 |
|  |  | visual disability | 55.2 | 2.1 | 26.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3195 |
|  |  | hearing disability | 57.4 | 3.1 | 14.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 0.8 | 13.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 4539 |
|  |  | speech and language disability | 46.7 | 2.3 | 30.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 868 |
|  |  | mental illness | 22.9 | 0.5 | 65.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1057 |
| Rural + | Male | blindness | 64.0 | 3.2 | 17.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3980 |
|  |  | low vision | 59.5 | 2.9 | 20.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2843 |
|  |  | visual disability | 62.1 | 3.1 | 18.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 8.0 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 6823 |
|  |  | hearing disability | 58.6 | 4.3 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 9.9 | 1.1 | 13.2 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 8549 |
|  |  | speech and language disability | 50.0 | 2.2 | 28.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 7.4 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 1660 |


| Sector | Gender | Broad type of disability | status of treatment taken |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estimated no. of persons with disability (00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | treatment taken |  | undergoing treatment |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { attending } \\ \text { special } \\ \text { school/spe } \\ \text { cial } \\ \text { therapy } \end{gathered}$ | treatment not taken |  |  | not known | all |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { consulting } \\ & \text { doctor } \end{aligned}$ | otherwise | $\begin{gathered} \text { consulting } \\ \text { doctor } \end{gathered}$ | otherwise |  | cannot afford | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { available } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { required } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | mental illness | 36.3 | 0.6 | 50.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1306 |
|  | Female | blindness | 61.5 | 3.5 | 15.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 4628 |
|  |  | low vision | 56.6 | 3.3 | 21.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 9.8 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3197 |
|  |  | visual disability | 59.5 | 3.4 | 18.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 7825 |
|  |  | hearing disability | 54.5 | 3.5 | 9.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 13.1 | 1.1 | 15.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 8117 |
|  |  | speech and language disability | 52.6 | 3.1 | 17.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 994 |
|  |  | mental illness | 34.6 | 1.9 | 45.3 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 8.7 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1648 |
|  | Person | blindness | 62.6 | 3.4 | 16.4 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 8610 |
|  |  | low vision | 58.0 | 3.1 | 21.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 6041 |
|  |  | visual disability | 60.7 | 3.3 | 18.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 14652 |
|  |  | hearing disability | 56.6 | 3.9 | 9.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 14.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 16672 |
|  |  | speech and language disability | 50.9 | 2.5 | 24.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 8.2 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 2654 |
|  |  | mental illness | 35.3 | 1.3 | 47.6 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2954 |

Source: NSS 76 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Round (July-December 2018) - Persons with Disabilities in India.

Table 5.23: Crime Rate Per 1,00,000 Population against Senior Citizen (IPC Crime Head-wise \& State/UT-wise)- 2019

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{Z} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{\infty}$ <br> 会 | $\stackrel{\theta}{0}$ <br>  <br>  |  |  |  | 烒 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 19.1 | 50.3 |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 |
| 3 | Assam | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 4 | Bihar | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 17.8 | 67.3 |
| 6 | Goa | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 24.5 |
| 7 | Gujarat | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.8 | 13.7 | 41.6 | 85.4 |
| 8 | Haryana | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 9.5 | 17.5 |
| 9 | Himachal Pradesh | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 13.7 | 23.6 |
| 10 | Jammu \& Kashmir | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 11 | Jharkhand | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| 12 | Karnataka | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 20.2 |
| 13 | Kerala | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 16.3 |
| 14 | Madhya Pradesh | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 8.2 | 12.8 | 73.2 |
| 15 | Maharashtra | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 10.2 | 55.5 |
| 16 | Manipur | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.0 |
| 17 | Meghalaya | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 18 | Mizoram | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 13.1 |
| 19 | Nagaland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 15.6 |


| $\dot{\dot{Z}}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a}$ <br> 营 <br> 㫫 <br> － 80 O <br> 芫 <br> 兰 |  |  | $\otimes$ กิ <br>  <br> 药 을荡 |  |  |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  | $\otimes$苞 氙 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 20 | Odisha | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 3.9 |
| 21 | Punjab | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 8.0 |
| 22 | Rajasthan | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 8.5 |
| 23 | Sikkim | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 |
| 24 | Tamil Nadu | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 9.3 | 33.4 |
| 25 | Telangana | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 0.6 | 9.1 | 44.2 |
| 26 | Tripura | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 6.6 |
| 27 | Uttar Pradesh | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 3.1 |
| 28 | Uttarakhand | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| 29 | West Bengal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 3.2 |
| 30 | A\＆N Islands | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 23.6 |
| 31 | Chandigarh | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 74.5 |
| 32 | D\＆N Haveli | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 33 | Daman \＆Diu | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 |
| 34 | Delhi | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 48.5 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 10.4 | 0.2 | 23.5 | 93.8 |
| 35 | Lakshadweep | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 36 | Puducherry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL ALL INDIA | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 7.2 | 26.7 |

Source：Crime Statistics in India， 2019.
Note：1．As per data provided by States／UTs 2．Due to non－receipt of data from West Bengal in time for 2019，Data furnished for 2018 has been used．R＝Crime Rate per lakh population

Table 5.24: Disposal of Persons Arrested for Crime Against Senior Citizen (Crime Head-wise) - 2019

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Crime Head | Persons Arrested |  |  | Persons Charge sheeted |  |  | Persons Convicted |  |  | Persons Discharged |  |  | Persons Acquitted |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1 | Murder | 1931 | 137 | 2068 | 1766 | 122 | 1888 | 185 | 2 | 187 | 28 | 1 | 29 | 299 | 14 | 313 |
| 2 | Culpable Homicide not amounting to Murder | 116 | 2 | 118 | 132 | 3 | 135 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| 3 | Attempt to Commit Murder | 1008 | 39 | 1047 | 904 | 28 | 932 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 20 | 1 | 21 | 197 | 8 | 205 |
| 4 | Attempt to commit Culpable Homicide | 70 | 0 | 70 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 5 | Simple Hurt | 6396 | 693 | 7089 | 9056 | 1072 | 10128 | 931 | 56 | 987 | 510 | 36 | 546 | 2060 | 156 | 2216 |
| 6 | Grievous Hurt | 1486 | 121 | 1607 | 1542 | 132 | 1674 | 111 | 6 | 117 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 371 | 33 | 404 |
| 7 | Assault on Women with Intent to Outrage her Modesty | 251 | 29 | 280 | 259 | 36 | 295 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 40 | 2 | 42 |
| 8 | Kidnapping and Abduction | 94 | 10 | 104 | 69 | 5 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 9 | Rape | 67 | 1 | 68 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 10 | Theft | 2040 | 143 | 2183 | 1735 | 87 | 1822 | 197 | 13 | 210 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 282 | 5 | 287 |
| 11 | Extortion | 149 | 5 | 154 | 145 | 4 | 149 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 12 | Robbery | 1049 | 17 | 1066 | 850 | 16 | 866 | 93 | 2 | 95 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 158 | 0 | 158 |
| 13 | Dacoity | 187 | 12 | 199 | 155 | 8 | 163 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 49 |
| 14 | Criminal Trespass | 611 | 60 | 671 | 1161 | 135 | 1296 | 35 | 2 | 37 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 213 | 27 | 240 |
| 15 | Forgery, Cheating \& Fraud | 1678 | 82 | 1760 | 1723 | 95 | 1818 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 229 | 2 | 231 |
| 16 | Criminal Intimidation | 1593 | 121 | 1714 | 2379 | 259 | 2638 | 184 | 4 | 188 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 431 | 24 | 455 |
| 17 | Other IPC Crimes | 6423 | 501 | 6924 | 8122 | 596 | 8718 | 1295 | 52 | 1347 | 186 | 8 | 194 | 1426 | 127 | 1553 |
|  | Total Crimes against Senior Citizen | 25149 | 1973 | 27122 | 30111 | 2598 | 32709 | 3142 | 137 | 3279 | 837 | 46 | 883 | 5823 | 398 | 6221 |

Source: Crime Statistics in India, 2019.
Note: 1. As per data provided by States/UTs 2. Due to non-receipt of data from West Bengal in time for 2019, Data furnished for 2018 has been used

Table 5.25: State/UT-wise Disposal of Persons Arrested for Crime Against Senior Citizen - 2019

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | State/UT | Persons Arrested |  |  | Persons Charge sheeted |  |  | Persons Convicted |  |  | Persons Discharged |  |  | Persons Acquitted |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 1350 | 181 | 1531 | 2740 | 421 | 3161 | 240 | 15 | 255 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 649 | 68 | 717 |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Assam | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Bihar | 347 | 33 | 380 | 447 | 38 | 485 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 1714 | 201 | 1915 | 1703 | 204 | 1907 | 320 | 11 | 331 | 77 | 3 | 80 | 441 | 28 | 469 |
| 6 | Goa | 29 | 2 | 31 | 31 | 13 | 44 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| 7 | Gujarat | 4772 | 282 | 5054 | 5201 | 336 | 5537 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 8 | 291 |
| 8 | Haryana | 286 | 11 | 297 | 258 | 10 | 268 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 6 | 125 |
| 9 | Himachal Pradesh | 181 | 57 | 238 | 217 | 61 | 278 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 10 | 68 |
| 10 |  <br> Kashmir | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Jharkhand | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Karnataka | 1344 | 177 | 1521 | 1558 | 186 | 1744 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 354 | 45 | 399 |
| 13 | Kerala | 789 | 60 | 849 | 797 | 65 | 862 | 21 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 8 | 77 |
| 14 | Madhya Pradesh | 4156 | 226 | 4382 | 6562 | 480 | 7042 | 934 | 45 | 979 | 575 | 43 | 618 | 1412 | 103 | 1515 |
| 15 | Maharashtra | 4579 | 309 | 4888 | 4511 | 326 | 4837 | 167 | 4 | 171 | 54 | 0 | 54 | 1024 | 42 | 1066 |
| 16 | Manipur | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Meghalaya | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Mizoram | 11 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Nagaland | 7 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 20 | Odisha | 85 | 2 | 87 | 153 | 25 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$110 \mid$ Health and Social Status

| 21 | Punjab | 326 | 39 | 365 | 291 | 34 | 325 | 30 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 13 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Rajasthan | 186 | 3 | 189 | 240 | 4 | 244 | 162 | 1 | 163 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 130 | 9 | 139 |
| 23 | Sikkim | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | Tamil Nadu | 3032 | 282 | 3314 | 2274 | 167 | 2441 | 986 | 44 | 1030 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 886 | 42 | 928 |
| 25 | Telangana | 507 | 57 | 564 | 1585 | 188 | 1773 | 69 | 9 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 4 | 172 |
| 26 | Tripura | 11 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 27 | Uttar Pradesh | 720 | 10 | 730 | 784 | 10 | 794 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| 28 | Uttarakhand | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | West Bengal | 141 | 20 | 161 | 297 | 10 | 307 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 11 | 55 |
| 30 | A\&N Islands | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 31 | Chandigarh | 33 | 2 | 35 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 32 | D\&N Haveli | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | Daman \& Diu | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Delhi | 514 | 17 | 531 | 376 | 18 | 394 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| 35 | Lakshadweep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | Puducherry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL ALL } \\ & \text { INDIA } \end{aligned}$ | 25149 | 1973 | 27122 | 30111 | 2598 | 32709 | 3142 | 137 | 3279 | 837 | 46 | 883 | 5823 | 398 | 6221 |

Source: Crime Statistics in India, 2019.
Note: 1. As per data provided by States/UTs 2. Due to non-receipt of data from West Bengal in time for 2019, Data furnished for 2018 has been used.


Concepts and Definitions

## Concepts and Definitions

## Death Rate

Death rate is a measure of the number of deaths in some population, scaled to the size of that population, per unit time. Death rate is typically expressed in units of deaths per 1000 individuals per year; thus, a death rate of 9.5 in a population of 100 , 000 would mean 950 deaths per year in that entire population, or $0.95 \%$ out of the total.

## Crude Death Rate (CDR)

The crude death rate is the total number of deaths per year per1000 people.

$$
\mathrm{CDR}=\frac{\text { Number of deaths during the year }}{\text { Mid }- \text { year population }} * 1000
$$

## Age-Specific Death Rate (ASDR)

Age -specific death rate is defined as the number of deaths in specific agegroup per thousand populations in the same age-group in a given year.

$$
\text { ASDR }=\frac{\text { Number of deaths in a particular age }- \text { group }}{\text { Mid }- \text { year population of the same age }- \text { group }} * 1000
$$

## Elderly

Elderly or old age consists of ages nearing or surpassing the average lifespan of human beings. The boundary of old age cannot be defined exactly because it does not have the same meaning in all societies. Government of India adopted 'National Policy for Older Persons' in January, 1999. The policy defines 'senior citizen' or 'elderly' as a person who is of age 60 years or above.

## Life Expectancy

Life expectancy is the expected (in the statistical sense) number of years of life remaining at a given age. It is denoted by $e_{x}$, which means the average number of subsequent years of life for someone now aged $x$, according to a particular mortality experience. (In technical literature, this symbol means the average number of complete years of life remaining, excluding fractions of a year). These are based on
life table derived by comparing the population $p(1) x$ at age $x$ in one census with their survivors reported at age $p(2) x+10$ in the next census. From the 10 years survival ratios, survival ratios for individual years have been estimated. For younger and older ages, special methods have been adopted. With these survival ratios $\left(p_{x}\right)$ and smoothed- age data, mortality rates $\left(q_{x}\right)$ are worked out and the life table is prepared. The life tables are also prepared with the age-specific death-rates obtained by the Sample Registration System.

## Dependency Ratio

The dependency ratio is an age-population ratio of those typically not in the labour force (the dependent part) and those typically in the labour force (the productive part). It is used to measure the pressure on productive population and is normally expressed as a percentage. In international statistics, the dependent part usually includes those under the age of 15 and over the age of 64 . The productive part makes up the population in between, ages $15-64$. It is normally expressed as a percentage. The (total) dependency ratio can be decomposed into the child dependency ratio and the old-age dependency ratio.

## Old-Age Dependency Ratio (OADR)

In India, generally, persons aged 15 to 59 years are supposed to form the population of working ages and at age 60 , people generally retire or withdraw themselves from work. Thus, the Old age dependency ratio is defined as the number of persons in the age-group 60 or more per 100 persons in the age-group15-59years.

$$
\mathrm{OADR}=\left(\frac{\text { Population aged } 60 \text { years and above }}{\text { Population age } 15-59 \text { years }}\right) * 100
$$

## Economic Independence

A person is considered economically independent if he/she does not require to take financial help from others in order to live a normal life.

## Workers (or Employed)

Persons who, during the reference period, are engaged in any economic activity or who, despite their attachment to economic activity, have temporarily abstained from work for reasons of illness, injury or other physical disability, bad weather, festivals, social or religious functions or other contingencies constitute workers. Unpaid helpers who assist in the operation of an economic activity in the household farm or non-farm activities are also considered as workers. All the workers are assigned one of the detailed activity statuses under the broad activity category
'working or being engaged in economic activity'. It may be noted that workers have been further categorized as self-employed, regular wage/ salaried employee and casual wage labourer.

## Seeking or available for work (or unemployed)

Persons, who, during the reference period, owing to lack of work, had not worked but either sought work through employment exchanges, intermediaries, friends or relatives or by making applications to prospective employers or expressed their willingness or availability for work under the prevailing condition of work and remuneration are considered as those who are 'seeking or available for work' (or unemployed).

## Labour force

Persons who are either 'working' (or employed) or 'seeking or available for work' (or unemployed) during the reference period together constitute the labour force.

## Out of Labour Force

Persons who are neither 'working' and at the same time nor 'seeking or available for work' for various reasons during the reference period are considered to be 'out of labour force'. The persons under this category are students, those engaged in domestic duties, rentiers, pensioners, recipients of remittances, those living on alms, infirm or disabled persons, too young or too old persons, prostitutes, etc. and casual labourers not working due to sickness.

## Literacy Rate

A person who can both read and write with understanding a simple text in any language is considered as literate. It is not necessary that a person who is literate should have received any formal education or should have passed minimum educational standard. The literacy rate is the number of literates' per100 persons in any population.

## Educational level

It refers to the stage of educational attainment. It is the highest level a person has completed successfully.

## Ailment-Illness or Injury

Ailment, i.e. illness or injury, mean any deviation from the state of physical and mental well-being. An ailment may not cause any necessity of hospitalization,
confinement to bed or restricted activity. In other words, one is treated as sick if one feels sick. Injuries cover all types of damages, such as cuts, wounds, hemorrhage, fractures and burns caused by an accident, including bites to any part of the body. In addition, it also includes cases of abortion- natural or accidental.

## Living Arrangement

Aged person is living in his owned house (either owned by self or by spouse) or in a rented house, son's house, government quarters, old age home etc. The various descriptions for livening arrangement have been used in NSS survey on "Household Social Consumption on Health" which is given below:
i. living with spouse and other members
ii. living with spouse only
iii. living without spouse but with children
iv. living without spouse but with other relations
v. living without spouse but with non-relations
vi. living alone: not as an inmate of old age home
vii. living alone: as an inmate of old age home

## Physical Mobility:

Physically immobile persons will include (a) those who are unable to go to the lavatory/latrine on their own- Confined to bed (b) those who are able to go to the lavatory/latrine and also able to move within the house but unable to move outside the house- Confined to home (c) those able to move outside the house but only in wheelchairs.

## Proportion of Persons that Responded as Ailing (PPRA) in a 15-day period

For any group or domain, for example, the rural male population, proportion of persons that responded as ailing (PPRA) in a 15-day period was defined as the 'estimated number of persons in the population reported ailing' as a proportion of 'estimated total population' obtained on the basis of the survey data. The proportion was expressed as percentage.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\text { PPRA }=100 & * \text { (Estimated no. of persons in population reported as ailing } \\
& \div \text { Estimated population })
\end{array}
$$

## Participation Rate

Participation rate in a day in any activity is defined as the percentage of persons
performing that activity during the 24 hours of the reference period.
Participation rate in activity " A " $=\frac{\text { Number of personss participating in activit ' } \mathrm{A} \text { ' }}{\text { Total number of persons }} * 100$

## Average time spent in a day per participant

Estimates of average time spent in a day for any activity per participant is calculated by considering those who participated in the activity Estimates of average time spent in a day in different activities derived by considering only the participants in the activities will not add up to 1440 minutes of the day. These estimates are referred to as average time spent in a day per participant.

Average time spent per participant in activity ' A '

$$
=\frac{\text { total time spent by the participants in activity }{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}}{\text { total number of persons participating in activity }{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}}
$$

## Average time spent in a day per person

Estimates of average time spent in a day for any activity per person is calculated by considering all the persons irrespective of whether they participated in the activity or not. By this approach, distribution of total time of 1440 minutes of a day per person in different activities can be derived and percentage share of the different activities in total time of 1440 minutes of a day can be calculated. These estimates are referred to as average time spent in a day per person.

Average time spent per person in activity ' A '

$$
=\frac{\text { total time spent by the participants in activity }{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}}{\text { total number of persons }}
$$

## Disability

"Person with disability" means a person with long term physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairment which, in interaction with barriers, hinders his full and effective participation in society equally with others. "Barrier"' means any factor including communicational, cultural, economic, environmental, institutional, political, social, attitudinal or structural factors which hampers the full and effective participation of persons with disabilities in society (The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016).
I. Locomotor disability: Locomotor disability implies a person's inability to execute distinctive activities associated with movement of self and objects. For
the purpose of the Survey of Persons with Disabilities, the locomotor disabilities include leprosy cured person, cerebral palsy, polio, dwarfism, muscular dystrophy, acid attack victim and other locomotor disability.
II. Visual disability: The persons with any of the following conditions will be considered as persons with visual disability.
a. Cannot see at all;
b. Has no perception of light even with the help of spectacles;
c. Has perception of light but has blurred vision even after using spectacles, contact lenses etc. Such persons can however, move independently with the help of remaining sight;
d. Can see light but cannot see properly to move about independently;
e. Has blurred vision but had no occasion to test if her/his eyesight would improve after taking corrective measures.
III. Speech and Language disability: This refers to persons' inability to speak properly. A person with any one of the following conditions will be considered as a person with speech and language disability:
a. Cannot speak at all or she/he is unable to speak normally on account of certain difficulties linked to speech disorder. Speech of a person is judged to be disordered if the person's speech is not understood by the listener;
b. Able to speak in single words only and is not able to speak in sentences;
c. It also includes those whose speech is not understood due to defects in speech, such as stammering, nasal voice, hoarse voice and discordant voice and articulation defects, etc.;
d. Permanent disability arising out of conditions such as laryngectomy or aphasia will also be considered as speech and language disability;
e. Aphasia is an inability to comprehend and formulate language because of damage to specific brain regions;
f. Laryngectomy is the removal of the larynx and separation of the airway from the mouth, nose and esophagus. If a person has laryngectomy or a report of diagnosis with respect to aphasia, he will be considered as having speech and language disability. Diagnosis will mean a medical diagnosis by a medical practitioner.
IV. Hearing disability: A person with any one of the following conditions will be considered as a person with hearing disability:
a. Cannot hear at all;
b. The persons' inability to hear properly;
c. Has difficulty in hearing day-to-day conversational speech (hard of
hearing).
If the person is using a hearing aid, the person will be considered as having hearing disability. However, hearing problem in only one ear is not to be considered as having hearing disability.
V. Mental retardation/Intellectual disability: This is a condition characterized by significant limitation both in intellectual functioning (reasoning, learning, problem solving) and in adaptive behaviour which covers a range of every day, social and practical skills. These are "specific learning disabilities", "autism spectrum disorder" and "other mental retardation/intellectual disability".
VI. Mental Illness: "Mental illness" means a substantial disorder of thinking, mood, perception, orientation or memory that grossly impairs judgment, behaviour, capacity to recognize reality or ability to meet the ordinary demands of life, but does not include retardation which is a condition of arrested or incomplete development of mind of a person, specially characterized by sub-normality of intelligence.
VII. Other types of disability: Disability caused due to
a. Chronic neurological conditions- multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease and other chronic neurological conditions
b. Blood disorder - haemophilia, thalassemia and sickle cell disease.
VIII. Multiple disabilities: Persons with more than one of the above specified disabilities including deaf blindness which means a condition in which a person may have combination of hearing and visual disability causing severe communication, developmental, and educational problems.
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[^0]:    Source: Sample Registration System (SRS), Office of the Registrar General of India

[^1]:    Source: NSS $60^{\text {th }}$ Round (January-June 2004): Morbidity, Health care and Condition of the Aged; NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ Round, (July 2017 - June 2018): Social Consumption in India: Health

